
Near East Area Plan Amendement:

Main Street Visioning Charrette



2006 2010



TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 2 Introduction
 - 3 Letter From the Near East Area Commission Chair
 - **5** Amendment Intentions
 - 6 Process
- 8 Land Use and Zoning Observations
- 14 Final Plan
- 16 Recommendations
 - 20 Commercial
 - 26 Residential
 - 34 Mixed Use
 - 40 Identity
- 44 Appendix
 - 45 Participants

FIGURES

- 1-1 Charrette Discussion
- 1-2 Process in Progress
- 2-1 Visioning Charrette
- 3-1 Table Discussion
- 3-2 Embracing the Neighborhood
- 4-1 Current Commercial
- 4-2 Vision of Plaza
- 4-3 Existing Commercial
- 4-4 Potential Commercial
- 4-5 Tri-Pole Banner System
- 4-6 New in 2009
- 5-1 Current Residential
- 5-2 Residential Variety
- 5-3 Enhancing Residential
- 5-4 Existing Residential
- 5-5 Proposed Residential
- 5-6 Align Structure
- 5-7 Align Characteristics
- 6-1 Current Mixed Use Area
- 6-2 Mixed Use Vision
- 6-3 Existing Mixed Use
- 6-4 Potential Mixed Use
- 6-5 Row of Flats
- 6-6 Breezeway
- 7-1 Current Bus Stop
- 7-2 Bus Stop Identity
- 7-3 Streetscape
- 8-1 Community Involvement
- 8-2 Charrette Participants

INTRODUCTION



LETTER FROM THE NEAR EAST AREA COMMISSION CHAIR

Introduction to the Main Street Design Charrette

From the pen of Sinclair Lewis to the bidding floor of Wall Street, Main Street has become the embodiment of that which is America ... folks whose names never make the papers, yet their faith, hope, and tireless efforts made this county.

In July of 2006, that spirit was still alive when friends and neighbors convened at the Central Community House to memorialize their vision for the continued growth of Main Street – their Main Street. This document is the recorded evidence of that spirited event.

We invite developers, planners, purchasers, and others to embrace the spiritual and physical images contained within these pages to help form their contribution to this ever expanding piece of Americana.

Lastly, a special thanks to Walter R. Cates, President of the Main Street Business Association whose foresight and tenacity allowed our street to reclaim its rightful place on the Near East Side.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Bailey

Chair, Near East Area Commission

INTRODUCTION



AMENDMENT INTENTIONS

Near East Area Plan Amendment Main Street


In accordance with the Near East Area Plan, this amendment was created in reference to the development strategy for the East Main Street Corridor (pages 27 and 40-44). The amendment seeks to visually summarize all pertinent information from the plan, as well as provide design recommendations for future development in compliance with the Urban Commercial Overlay.

The following design concepts are based on the Main Street *Visioning Charrette held in 2006 which was facilitated by the City of Columbus and the Neighborhood Design Center. The subsequent guidelines were created in cooperation with the citizens and stakeholders of the Main Street community as an aid to future design development.

In certain instances, the concepts included in this document are applicable to specific locations (as noted); yet these ideas hold relevance throughout the Main Street corridor. These examples aim to demonstrate how various street elements connect to form a cohesive corridor identity. These broad brush ideas provide a collective vision for the next twenty years.

Cheryl Huffman Director of Landscape and Urban Design, Neighborhood Design Center *A visioning charrette is an open collaboration between designers, stakeholders, and residents in an effort to solve any magnitude of design issues. For a complete list of the 101 participants please see page 43.

PROCESS



PROCESS

NEAR EAST AREA PLAN

The Near East Area Plan was developed by the Columbus Planning Division and a large group of stakeholders under the auspices of the Near East Area Commission and adopted by Columbus City Council on September 19, 2005.

MAIN STREET VISIONING CHARRETTE


On Saturday, July 8, 2006, a visioning charrette for East Main Street in Columbus was held at the Central Community House. 23 design professionals and over 60 residents and other stakeholders participated. The objective of the charrette was to develop a vision for East Main Street as a whole. While specific sites and buildings may be shown in the results, the objective is to show how individual buildings and sites could be integrated into a cohesive vision of East Main Street.

NEAR EAST AREA PLAN AMENDMENT MAIN STREET

In 2009, the Near East Area Commission requested that the Neighborhood Design Center re-evaluate and package the results of the Main Street Visioning Charrette with the intent to provide examples of strategic development principles following preceding guidelines from the UCO and Near East Area Plan. The Near East Area Plan Amendment was recommended by the Near East Area Commission on March 18, 2010 and was adopted by Columbus City Council on September 20, 2010.

EXISTING LAND USE MAP 1-1

The existing land use map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.



Key:

Residential


Commercial

Mixed Use

Park/ Public Green Space

Religious Institution

EXISTING LAND USE MAP 1-2



ZONING FOR BUILT STRUCTURES MAP 2-1

The adjacent zoning for built structures map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

Key:

R4: Residential (1-4 Family)

R2F: Residential (1-2 Family)

> R3: Residential (Single Family)

ARLD/ ARO: Apartment Residential/ Office (Low Density)

C4: Commercial (General Commercial)

LC4: Limited Commercial (General Commercial, Limited)

C3: Commercial

(General Commercial, Limited)

LC3: Limited Commercial (General Commercial, Limited)


CPD: Commercial Planned Development

P: Park

R: Religous Institution



ZONING FOR BUILT STRUCTURES MAP 2-2



OVERALL CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS



he Near East Area Plan (NEAP) and Urban Commercial Overlay (UCO) serve as the primary design guidance for new development of Main Street. As a supplement to the former documents, the Near East Area Plan Amendment selectively visualizes key principles from the UCO and NEAP to assist with their understanding and realization. Additionally, desirable aesthetic and functional characteristics have been identified with assistance from community charrette participants in 2006. These guidelines represent generalized attitudes of the neighborhood, which are suggestive of corridor improvements identified by dialogue with community members and stakeholders.

Recommendations have been classified into 5 categories: overall, commercial, residential, mixed use, and identity. Discussed below are overall recommendations and suggestions applicable to the corridor as a whole. In the pages that follow, specific structures and uses have been recognized and examined for attractiveness, scale, and design details in each of the remaining recommendation categories.

Overall use and style concerns

- I. Existing buildings to be retained on re-development sites should be of good quality and engaged with the emerging context.
- II. Encourage designs that represent 19th and 20th century architecture which exhibit neighborhood authenticity. Intermixing contemporary buildings with historic is acceptable providing the scale and detail contribute to the flavor of the neighborhood.
- III. Initial priorities should include the maintenance and improvement of corner lots, as these spaces tend to set the tone for central properties inside each block.
- IV. Overall goals of use and function:
 - A. Small scale structures should generate an easy shopping experience.
 - B. Provide goods and services that attract both neighborhood and outside shoppers.
- V. Discourage using existing non-conforming setbacks for parking.
- VI. Encourage the development of businesses that compliment existing successful for profit entities (i.e. JP's Barbecue).

Overall circulation and parking matters

- I. One-way streets should be converted to two-way where possible.
- II. Maximum street parking should be incorporated without obstructing automobile or pedestrian

OVERALL CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS

visibility and safety.

III. Collective parking lots should be added in rear where possible as a supplement to on-street parking.

Embracing the Neighborhood (below, Figure 3-2): Contemporary architecture should be visually consistent with its context. The below building successfully alters a one story with brackets lengthening its height to meet the block's two story buildings.



Summary:

The Near East Area Plan Amendment selectively visualizes key principles from the UCO and NEAP to assist with their understanding and realization. Additionally, desirable aesthetic and functional characteristics have been identified with assistance from community charrette participants in 2006. These guidelines represent generalized attitudes of the neighborhood, which are suggestive of corridor improvements identified by dialogue with community members and stakeholders.

- A. Overall
- B. Commercial
- C. Residential
- D. Mixed Uses
- E. Identity


FINAL PLAN MAP 3-1

The provided final plan is based on recommendations which arose from the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006. Please note the plan is divided into four sections. Pages 14-17 contain enlarged views of each section for easier viewing.

Key: Residential East Main Street Restore/ Re-develop Residential Commercial Restore/ Re-develop Commercial Mixed Use Restore/ Re-develop Mixed Use Park/ Public Green Space **Religious Institution** Gateway Bus stop Parking Lot Screen



FINAL PLAN MAP 3-2



FINAL PLAN - SEGMENT A MAP 4-1


The existing land use map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

Residential Restore/ Re-develop Residential Commercial Restore/ Re-develop Commercial Mixed Use Restore/ Re-develop Mixed Use Park/ Public Green Space Religious Institution Gateway Bus stop Parking Lot Screen



Key:


FINAL PLAN - SEGMENT B MAP 4-2



The existing land use map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

FINAL PLAN - SEGMENT C MAP 4-3

The existing land use map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.



Residential

Restore/ Re-develop Residential

Commercial

Restore/ Re-develop Commercial

Mixed Use

Restore/ Re-develop Mixed Use

Park/ Public Green Space


Religious Institution

Gateway

Bus stop

Parking Lot Screen

FINAL PLAN - SEGMENT D MAP 4-4



The existing land use map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

COMMERCIAL



VISIONS OF COMMERCIAL




Figure 4-2 Vision of Plaza (left):

This plaza is a representation of what a successful business/retail block could look like. Incorporating an improved physical appearance to already thriving businesses can help entice new operations to the area. Please see page 22 for an example of the existing structure (top, Figure 4-3) and an example of potential upgrades (bottom, Figure 4-4).

East Side. Storefronts located near the street allow for easy viewing and public attraction to the opportunities that Main Street businesses have to offer. Clear signage would also enhance the marketability of such businesses, making them easy to locate.

Attractive facades for tenants compliment and enhance the historical qualities of the Near

VISIONS OF COMMERCIAL





VISIONS OF COMMERCIAL




Figure 4-5 Tri-Pole Banner System (left):

Charrette participants emphasized the need for informal commercial opportunities. Activities could include a festival, crafts fair, or street market. As a result, events such as the Main Street Farmers' Market have developed. Proving local and healthful goods are needed and in demand within this neighborhood.

In 2009 the Neighborhood Design Center created specs for a dynamic pole system, with rotating pinwheel. The temporary banner holder is brought in each week transforming Blackburn Park into an open air market. Types of commercial entities community members would like to see incorporated into the East Main Street corridor (as of 2006):

- A. Community/ art markets
 - 1. Monthly or weekly market events
- **B.** Delicatessens
 - 1. Coffee shop
 - 2. Bakery
 - 3. Deli
 - 4. Pizzeria
- C. Specialty shops
 - 1. Books/music/movies
 - 2. Antiques/second hand furniture
 - 3. Grocery
 - 4. Hardware
- D. Services and goods
 - 1. Bank
 - 2. Post office
 - 3. Grocery
 - 4. Hardware
- D. Health care offices
 - 1. Doctors
 - 2. Urgent Care





COMMERCIAL SUMMARY MAP 5-1

The commercial summary map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

- (1) Area shown in photographs and drawings on pages 18-20.
- ② Area shown in photographs and drawings on page 21.

Key:

Residential Restore/ Re-develop Residential Commercial Restore/ Re-develop Commercial Mixed Use Restore/ Re-develop Mixed Use Park/ Public Green Space Religious Institution Gateway Bus stop Parking Lot Screen



COMMERCIAL SUMMARY MAP 5-2



RESIDENTIAL





Figure 5-2 Residential Variety (left):

A variety of residential uses as well as mixed use development enhances the community feel by allowing people to purchase goods and services close to home. Street trees and lamps promote this community by providing better night security and a relaxing atmosphere. The variety will create curb appeal and interest for pedestrians and drivers as well. These aspects will attract residents to the area who are looking for the opportunity of community living.

An important aspect of complete neighborhoods is the growth and maintenance of a variety of residential uses, in addition to mixed use development (page 34-39).

High density re-development and restoration is advised for the residential zones within the Main Street corridor. Housing that addresses multiple economic levels and the aging population is a priority.

Future design concepts for housing unit should accommodate 2-3 stories (preferably brick), have ample parking (in rear), and provide individual and public green spaces. Locals stated to have an interest (in the 2006 charrette) in predominantly permanent housing (owner occupied) but realize the necessity for some tenant occupied units.

Figure 5-3 Enhancing Residential








Figure 5-5 Proposed: High density residential properties are efficient, affordable, and desirable. With

Enhancing the residential blocks along Main Street, *community greens (see page 26, Figure 5-3) become an attraction point and allow space for residents to gather and relax, or even play with the dog.

The open green with shade from heat, paved walkways, picnic tables, benches and close parking makes it a flexible space to meet the residents' needs. One-way streets slow down traffic and provide clearer visibility for pedestrians and drivers.

Street trees and wide sidewalks can carry out the enjoyable atmosphere beyond the community green's attractive fenced entry points, which also promote safety for residents.

Two-story apartments or condos surrounding the community green also promote safety by providing elevated visibility.

Infill residential should reciprocate and draw from the aesthetics of its surrounding structures. Aligning new structures with existing structures, is essential and can be achieved by mimicking attributes such as set-back and scale. This creates rhythm and visual continuity. Spacing between units should be kept to a minimum to avoid interruption.

Main Street, and the Near East Side in general, is a community of front porches. Keeping in character with this, as well as, following the placement of doors and windows, provides a continuing pattern of neighborhood closeness.





Scale should be maintained for the course of each block. 2-3 stories for each building is highly recommended, in order to respect the prevalent architecture. Single story buildings must be sufficiently tall to compliment this look.

Materials used should display comparable character to the already established context.

New buildings, in addition to rehabilitated, should reflect that of its surroundings with similar fenestration, parapets or roofs, and materials. Scale should also be maintained as well. Acquiring inspiration from the surrounding architecture without exactly replicating them will cultivate a unique identity with interesting charm, with new and existing structures coexisting harmoniously.

RESIDENTIAL SUMMARY MAP 6-1

The residential summary map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

- 1) Area shown in photographs and drawings on pages 24, 25, 28, and 29.
- ② Area shown in photographs and drawings on pages 26 and 27.

Key:

Residential

Restore/ Re-develop Residential

Commercial

Restore/ Re-develop Commercial

Mixed Use

Restore/ Re-develop Mixed Use

Park/ Public Green Space

Religious Institution


Gateway

Bus stop

Parking Lot Screen



RESIDENTIAL SUMMARY MAP 6-2



MIXED USES



VISIONS OF MIXED USES



Figure 6-2 Mixed Use Vision (left):

Three story buildings may be best utilized by mixing first floor retail and business with second and/or third story residences.

Mixed uses activate the street for more hours of the day, maximizing use as well as safety. The diversity of mixed use can allow for a mix of residential as well as commercial options, making it flexible to meet the needs of the community.

Mixed use development allows residents access to home, work, and recreational activities with minimal traffic. It promotes a healthy lifestyle by providing a variety of services, all within walking distance. It also encourages a sense of community, encouraging residents to interact with their neighborhood. Small business owners are able to live directly above their work.

This type of development maximizes land-use, not wasting any area, while still allowing for green space. It also makes the area useful for longer hours of the day. Rather than a district that is strictly utilized from nine to five, a community can be active throughout the day, whether people are going to work, heading home, or enjoying the night life. Mixed use provides resources that can be shared by multiple parties while potentially decreasing theft and vandalism since the area is occupied throughout all hours of the day.

VISIONS OF MIXED USES



Figure 6-4 Potential: Mimicking the styling and scale of the existing buildings, new structures can extend and enhance built character. Therefore, increasing this city block's contextual appropriateness while catering to the visual and physical senses.




VISIONS OF MIXED USES



located in a two story building which has been divided horizontally from its above and below use. Commonly, the first floor is used as commercial or office space while the second floor serves as a living space. This can vary on each property and can be modified to accommodate a single use.

Separate buildings provide a more individual feel rather than a continuous street front. The scale continues, but each building may have its own unique character and allows for a variety of living styles and residents. It also offers more green space surrounding structures, where residents may play or simply enjoy the sunshine. Front porches are also encouraged with this approach, where residents could interact with the street community.

Figure 6-6 Breezeway




Including a breezeway on two or more story structures will shield pedestrians from sun and precipitation thus encouraging more foot traffic. Also, more buildable space is created, allowing second and third floors to be larger. First floor garages or storefronts should be considered dependant upon location. Balconies are a welcome addition contributing to an urban neighborhood feel, allowing residents to connect with their environment.

MIXED USES SUMMARY MAP 7-1


The mixed uses summary map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

1) Area shown in photographs and drawings on pages 32-34.

Residential Restore/ Re-develop Residential Commercial Restore/ Re-develop Commercial Mixed Use Restore/ Re-develop Mixed Use Park/ Public Green Space Religious Institution Gateway Bus stop Parking Lot Screen



MIXED USES SUMMARY MAP 7-2



IDENTITY



VISIONS OF IDENTITY





Figure 7-2 Bus Stop Identity (top):

Marketing a district is aided by establishing a unique identity, in this example, with unified features. Stylistic repetition among various streetscape elements can insure the recognition of place. This principle is demonstrated by the triangular "MAIN" support beams in both a bus stop (top) and a fence (below). Note, these elements also share a similar horizontal regularity and form.

Consistent, well-designed bus stops can be easy to locate and encourages use by providing shelter from the elements.

Figure 7-3 Streetscape (bottom):

Continuing functionality, the fence acts as a clean stylistic buffer while still allowing enough visibility for uncomplicated orientation and continued safety.



East Main Street has a pedestrian friendly scale, with street lights and trees which are particularly beautiful in the spring. It is perceivable that additional trees and enhancements can even further encourage pedestrian use, while providing a buffer to vehicular traffic and parking lots.

With these considerations, simple yet unique streetscape elements can demonstrate community pride and cohesiveness, sculpting a true sense of place. Such elements can also be functional for visitors to the area.


When developing visual identity, it is important to keep in mind that all elements should compliment established structures on East Main Street.

IDENTITY SUMMARY MAP 8-1

The identity summary map is accurate as of the initial Main Street Charrette in 2006, and may not reflect changes to date.

1) Area shown in photographs and drawings on pages 38 and 39.

Residential Restore/ Re-develop Residential Commercial Restore/ Re-develop Commercial Mixed Use Restore/ Re-develop Mixed Use Park/ Public Green Space Religious Institution Gateway Bus stop Parking Lot Screen



IDENTITY SUMMARY MAP 8-2






Figure 8-2 CHARRETTE PARTICIPANTS

JULY 8, 2006

Community Members

Albert, Mary J. Bailey, Kathleen

Beard, Jon; Columbus Compact

Bohn, Roger Bowden, Heather Brewer, Mildred L.

Brooks, Barbara; Brooks Creature's Concept Barber and

Beauty Salon Brown, Nicholas A. Burrs, Shawn M.

Calderone, Jackie; Central Community House/

Calderone Arts

Chappelear, Constance Chappelear, Walter Cooley, Ashley Cooley, Margaret Crowe, Thom; NEAC Daffey, Margie Delzell, Dory Dunson, Olas Durst, Nate Ford, Frank

Funte Flus, Pastor Robert

Gibson, Peceul

Grear, Pam Community Threads

Green, Pam
Hairston, Calvin L.
Hairston, John
Hill, Antonio
Hill, Layné
Hunter, Gloria J.
Hunter, Kari
Hunter, Robert M.
Imeks, Ralph
Jacob, Abraham
Jacob, Jenny

Jennings, W. Earl

Community Members Continued

Johns, Marcus Johnson, Barbara Jollay, Tracey Jones, Priance Josh, Karen Kalous, T.; CPD Kinney, Grace K. Kobb, Elaine

Koelker, Elizabeth Levin, Todd Lowery, Jennifer

Lowery, Michael

Macke, Alex; Carriage Trade Realty

Maloof, Fred

McCarthy, Pam; Central Community House

McIntyre, John B. McIntyre, Lucille Molloseau, Erika D.

Nelson, Kym; Legislative Aide for Councilman Kevin

Boyce

O'Neal, Winslow Pfeiffer, Janet Pfeiffer, Rick Powell, Clyde Powell, Kim Rawahneh, Ayman Shabazz, Asan Z. Shaffer, Bill Shriver, Adam

Stan, Lynn; AFOOT, OTENA

Stenais, Leinesha Stevenson, Melvin

Tabit, George; Columbus Compact Tavares, Charleta B.; City Council

Thomas, Harold Tolliver, Yolanda Toone, John Tower, Jennifer Community Members Continued

Tower, Michael Turner, Ken

Watkins, Carol; AFOOT Whitesides, Annette Williams, Michelle Williams, Renay

Neighborhood Design Center Staff and Interns

Joel Teaford
Patrick Stuart
Timothy Imeokparia
Kaniz Khaleda
Susana Murillo
Marija Brdarski
Andrew Faulkner
Meghan Funk
Deanna Hinkle
Lisl Kotheimer
Kevin Smith
Cheryl Huffman*
Jennifer Saunier*

City Planning Division

Vince Papsidero
Daniel Thomas
Mark Dravillas
Dan Ferdelman
Devayani Puranik

AIA and other volunteer professionals

Stan Berringer Darrell Davis Ruth Gless ** Karen Joslin Sam Rosenthal Andy Taylor

^{* 2009-2010} Near East Area Plan Amendment

^{**} Ruth Gless was also president of the Neighborhood Design Center Board