

| 1 | BEFORE THE CITY OF COLUMBUS |
|----|---|
| 2 | MUNICIPAL CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION |
| 3 | |
| 4 | In the Matter of: |
| 5 | Regular Meeting |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Larry Price |
| 8 | President, Presiding |
| 9 | |
| 10 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Monday, June 27, 2022 12:44 p.m. |
| 14 | City of Columbus Civil Service Commission |
| 15 | 77 North Front Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 |
| 16 | Columbus, onlo 13213 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | CHRISTY M. HEANEY |
| 19 | PROFESSIONAL REPORTER |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | ANDERSON REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 3040 Riverside Drive, Suite 125 |
| 23 | Columbus, Ohio 43221 (614) 326-0177 |
| 24 | (014) 320-01// |



| 1 | COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| 2 | Larry Price, President |
| 3 | Dawn Tyler Lee Jennifer Lynch |
| 4 | |
| 5 | PRESENTERS: |
| 6 | Carol Lagemann Beth Dyke |
| 7 | Charday Litzy-Taylor Maggie Bier |
| 8 | Tammy Rollins |
| 9 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 10 | Wendy Brinnon |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

| 1 | MONDAY AFTERNOON SESSION |
|----|---|
| 2 | July 27, 2022 12:44 p.m. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 5 | |
| 6 | BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, on the 27th day of |
| 7 | July, 2022, the Municipal Civil Service Commission |
| 8 | came on for regular meeting, Larry Price, |
| 9 | President. And the parties appearing in person |
| 10 | and/or by counsel, as hereinafter set forth, the |
| 11 | following proceedings were had: |
| 12 | |
| 13 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Good afternoon. |
| 14 | Okay. You can speak. It's all right. |
| 15 | We're all right. I know I'm changing a few things. |
| 16 | But good afternoon. Before I begin |
| 17 | and, Amy, tell me if I'm out of order I would |
| 18 | like for our new commissioner, Commissioner Dawn |
| 19 | Tyler Lee, just to have a few words; and we welcome |
| 20 | you to the group. We've missed you. |
| 21 | MS. TYLER LEE: Thank you. |
| 22 | Good afternoon, everyone. It's a |
| 23 | pleasure to be here with you. I look forward to |
| 24 | serving in this new capacity as Civil Service |

- 1 Commissioner; and because I'm new just pull my
- 2 coattail if there's something that I need to know
- 3 that I don't know. But happy to be in this
- 4 capacity serving with these two very able
- 5 Commissioners. So thank you. I look forward to
- 6 working with everybody.
- 7 PRESIDENT PRICE: With that, I call to
- 8 order the City of Columbus Ohio Municipal Civil
- 9 Service Commission regular meeting, June 27, 2022.
- 10 We will follow the agenda as printed.
- 11 The first item is to review and approval
- 12 of the minutes from the May 23, 2022, regular
- 13 meeting.
- MS. LYNCH: Move to approve.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Second.
- 16 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor.
- MS. LYNCH: Aye.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Aye.
- 19 PRESIDENT PRICE: They are approved.
- There's no pre-hearing conference
- 21 reviews.
- 22 Item 3: Personnel Action City of
- 23 Columbus. Request of the Civil Service Commission
- 24 staff to reallocate two positions currently

20

21

22

23

24

5

1 classified as Public Health Assistant Commissioner 2 (Administrative Services) (0257) and Public Health Assistant Commissioner (Nursing) (0265) to Public 3 Health Assistant Commissioner (Job Code 0271) and 4 5 to allow the affected employees to retain their current classification status and seniority. 6 MS. LAGEMANN: Carol Lagemann, Personnel 7 8 Analyst with the Civil Service Commission. 9 We request to reallocate two positions 10 that are currently classified as the Public Health 11 Assistant Commissioner (Administrative Services) and Public Health Assistant Commissioner of Nursing 12 13 to the newly created Public Health Assistant 14 Commissioner classification. With the pay and bargaining unit being 15 16 assigned to the new classification, Public Health Assistant Commissioner, it's proposed to reallocate 17 these two employees currently performing the work 18

that is best allocated to the new classification.

(phonetic) and Tiffany Krause (phonetic). It is

proposed that they will retain their current

classification status and seniority since the

assigned work duties are not changing, only the

Current employees are Anita Clark

23

6

| 1 | classification title. |
|----|--|
| 2 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Any questions? |
| 3 | MS. LYNCH: I move to approve the |
| 4 | reallocation of two positions currently classified |
| 5 | as Public Health Assistant Commissioner |
| 6 | (Administrative Services) (0257) and Public Health |
| 7 | Assistant Commissioner (Nursing) (0265) to Public |
| 8 | Health Assistant Commissioner (Job Code 0271) and |
| 9 | to allow the affected employees to retain their |
| 10 | current classification status and and seniority. |
| 11 | MS. TYLER LEE: Second. |
| 12 | PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor. |
| 13 | Aye. |
| 14 | MS. LYNCH: Aye. |
| 15 | MS. TYLER LEE: Aye. |
| 16 | PRESIDENT PRICE: They are approved. |
| 17 | Items 4, 5 and 6 will be taken together. |
| 18 | Item 4: Request of the Civil Service |
| 19 | Commission staff to approve the specification |
| 20 | review for the classification Citywide GIS Manager |
| 21 | with no revisions. |

Item 5: Request of the Civil Service

Commission staff to approve the specification

review for the classification Equal Employment

- 1 Resource Manager with no revisions.
- 2 Item 6: Request of the Civil Service
- 3 Commission staff to approve the specification
- 4 review for the classification Deputy Chief of
- 5 Administration (City Attorney's Office) (U) with no
- 6 revisions.
- 7 MS. DYKE: Thank you.
- 8 Beth Dyke, Personnel Analyst With Civil
- 9 Service staff.
- 10 The review of the classifications for
- 11 Items 4 through 6 is part of the Civil Service
- 12 Commission staff's effort to review all
- 13 classifications every four to five years.
- 14 Based on feedback received, it was
- 15 determined that the current specification still
- 16 accurately reflects the work being performed.
- 17 It is recommended that the specifications
- 18 be approved with no revisions.
- 19 PRESIDENT PRICE: Any questions?
- Okay. No questions.
- 21 MS. LYNCH: Great. I move to approve the
- 22 specification review for the classification
- 23 Citywide GIS Manager with no revisions.
- 24 Also move to approve the specification

- 1 review for the classification Equal Employment
- 2 Resources Manager with no revisions.
- 3 And I also move to approve the
- 4 specification review for the classification Deputy
- 5 Chief Administration (City Attorney's Office) with
- 6 no revisions.
- 7 PRESIDENT PRICE: Let me -- let me make a
- 8 quick change --
- 9 MS. LYNCH: Sure.
- 10 PRESIDENT PRICE: -- because it -- it --
- 11 it changes sometimes. I guess it's on me to catch
- 12 it.
- Beth -- And let me say thank you, Carol,
- 14 by the way, for yours.
- Beth, when we do 4, 5 and 6 together, if
- 16 you would present 4, 5 and 6, then we would have
- just one vote.
- Is that appropriate, Amy? Or do we --
- 19 That's how we've been doing it, I think, in the
- 20 past.
- MS. DYKE: I think so.
- 22 MS. LYNCH: Did I just jump right in --
- PRESIDENT PRICE: Now, that's -- that's
- 24 fine.

- 1 MS. LYNCH: -- and she only did one?
- 2 PRESIDENT PRICE: Yeah. She only did
- 3 one. So we'll do --
- 4 MS. DYKE: I -- I did all four -- I did
- 5 all three.
- 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: Oh, you did all three?
- 7 That was the shortest three.
- 8 MS. DYKE: I'm sorry. I said Items 4
- 9 through 6.
- 10 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. I'm sorry.
- MS. LYNCH: And I thought maybe I didn't
- 12 have enough coffee this morning.
- 13 PRESIDENT PRICE: Maybe I didn't have
- 14 enough. That was the shortest three that I've
- 15 heard.
- MS. LYNCH: I was like --
- 17 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. Then it -- it is
- 18 appropriate.
- MS. DYKE: There's no revisions. That's
- 20 the --
- 21 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. So she cut
- 22 them --
- MS. LYNCH: Efficiency.
- 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: Stuck them together.



| 1 | MS. LYNCH: Efficiency. |
|----|---|
| 2 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. I missed that |
| 3 | one. So it is appropriate for your motion then. |
| 4 | MS. LYNCH: There you are. |
| 5 | MS. TYLER LEE: Second. |
| 6 | PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor. |
| 7 | MS. LYNCH: Aye. |
| 8 | MS. TYLER LEE: Aye. |
| 9 | PRESIDENT PRICE: They are approved, 4, 5 |
| 10 | and 6. |
| 11 | Item 7 and 8. |
| 12 | Request of the Civil Service Commission |
| 13 | staff to abolish the specification for the |
| 14 | classification Assistant Director. |
| 15 | Item 8: Request of the Civil Service |
| 16 | Commission staff to revise the specification for |
| 17 | the classification Assistant Director |
| 18 | (Sustainability) (U) retitle it to read Assistant |
| 19 | Director (Sustainability/Regulatory Compliance) (U) |
| 20 | (Job Code 0060). |
| 21 | MS. DYKE: May I make one correction |
| 22 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Yes. |
| 23 | MS. DYKE: to Item 7? |
| 24 | It is specific to the Regulatory |



| 1 | Compliance |
|----|---|
| 2 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. |
| 3 | MS. DYKE: Assistant Director. Okay. |
| 4 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you. |
| 5 | MS. DYKE: Beth Dyke, again. |
| 6 | The Assistant Director Regulatory |
| 7 | Compliance unclassified classification became |
| 8 | vacant in January of 2021. Since that time, the |
| 9 | classification has fallen out of use as many of the |
| 10 | duties have since been assigned to the Assistant |
| 11 | Director (Sustainability) unclassified |
| 12 | classification. |
| 13 | Through discussions with department |
| 14 | representatives and due to this organizational |
| 15 | transition, it was agreed and it is proposed to |
| 16 | abolish the Assistant Director Regulatory |
| 17 | Compliance unclassified classification. |
| 18 | With regards to the Assistant Director |
| 19 | (Sustainability) unclassified classification, it is |
| 20 | proposed to retitle the classification to read |
| 21 | Assistant Director (Sustainability/Regulatory |
| 22 | Compliance) unclassified and revise an existing |
| 23 | example of work statement to incorporate additional |
| 24 | programs the incumbent implements. |



1 It is further proposed to add two new 2 statements to the section to highlight the 3 regulatory compliance duties currently performed by the incumbent. 4 5 Therefore, it is recommended that the specification for the classification Assistant 6 Director (Sustainability) unclassified be revised 7 8 and retitled as proposed. 9 There are no other recommendations 10 proposed at this time. 11 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Beth. 12 Any questions? 13 MS. LYNCH: No. 14 I move to abolish the specification for 15 the classification Assistant Director (Regulatory 16 Compliance) (U) (Job Code 0058). And I also move to revise the 17 specification for the classification Assistant 18 Director (Sustainability) (U), retitle it to read 19 20 Assistant Director (Sustainability/Regulatory Compliance) (U) (Job Code 0060). 21 22 MS. TYLER LEE: Second. 23 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor. 24 MS. LYNCH: Aye.



| 1 | MS. TYLER LEE: Aye. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | PRESIDENT PRICE: They are approved. |
| 3 | Items 9 and 10. |
| 4 | Item 9 I'm sorry. |
| 5 | MS. DYKE: Yes. Just 9. |
| 6 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Just 9. Okay. |
| 7 | So I'm not doing 10. |
| 8 | Item 9: Request of the Civil Service |
| 9 | Commission staff to revise the specification for |
| LO | the classification Enterprise Architect. |
| 11 | MS. DYKE: Yes. The review of this |
| 12 | classification is part of the Civil Service |
| 13 | Commission's effort to review all classifications |
| L 4 | every five years. |
| 15 | The existing examples of work statements |
| 16 | have been reordered to better reflect the work |
| 17 | incumbents currently perform. |
| 18 | It is proposed to revise an existing |
| 19 | example of work statement to include product |
| 20 | renewals as the incumbents are also responsible for |
| 21 | reviewing and coordinating requests for major |
| 22 | hardware and software renewals. |
| 23 | It is also proposed to add an example of |
| 24 | work statement to exemplify duties performed by |



- 1 current incumbents with regard to the improvement
- 2 of technologies within the City's information
- 3 infrastructure.
- 4 There are no other changes -- changes --
- 5 there are no other changes proposed at this time.
- And it is, therefore, recommended that
- 7 the specification for the classification be
- 8 approved as proposed.
- 9 PRESIDENT PRICE: Any questions?
- MS. LYNCH: No.
- I move to revise the specification for
- 12 the classification Enterprise Architect --
- 13 Architect (Job Code 0565).
- MS. TYLER LEE: Second.
- 15 PRESIDENT PRICE: All those in favor.
- 16 Aye.
- MS. LYNCH: Aye.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Aye.
- 19 PRESIDENT PRICE: It is approved.
- 20 Items 11 through 14.
- MS. BRINNON: You skipped 10.
- 22 PRESIDENT PRICE: 10.
- MS. LAGEMANN: 10 on its own.
- 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. I guess I didn't



- 1 have my coffee this morning. So 10 $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$
- MS. LYNCH: You're good. You're good.
- 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: Item 10: Request of
- 4 the Civil Service Commission staff to revise the
- 5 specification for the classification Assistant
- 6 Auditor V unclassified.
- 7 MS. DYKE: Yes. The request to revise
- 8 the specification was initiated through
- 9 correspondence received by the City Auditor's
- 10 Office. Discussions occurred with a department
- 11 representative regarding the need for an additional
- 12 Assistant Auditor V unclassified to operate under
- 13 the working title Director of Financial Reporting.
- 14 The work intended for this position is
- 15 considered highly technical and requires equivalent
- 16 education, experience and continued professional
- 17 training as does the current two Assistant Auditor
- 18 V unclassified positions within the City Auditor's
- 19 Office.
- 20 Therefore, in -- in order for the
- 21 department to fill an employment need, it is
- 22 proposed to revise the guidelines for class use
- 23 section by increasing the number of positions that
- 24 may be allocated to the classification from two



- 1 positions to three positions.
- 2 Additionally, given the scope of
- 3 responsibility and use of this classification
- 4 within the organizational structure, the level of
- 5 supervision within the definition would be more
- 6 appropriately identified as under general
- 7 direction. And it is recommended to revise the
- 8 definition as such.
- 9 Lastly, there is one revision proposed
- 10 within the examples of work section to update the
- 11 language used within an existing statement.
- 12 There are no other changes proposed at
- 13 this time; and it is, therefore, recommended that
- 14 the specification for the classification be
- 15 approved as proposed.
- 16 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Beth.
- 17 Any questions?
- 18 MS. LYNCH: I move to revise the
- 19 specification for the classification Assistant
- 20 Auditor V (U) (Job Code 0658).
- 21 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor.
- MS. LYNCH: Aye.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Aye.
- 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: Aye.



| 1 | It is approved. |
|----|--|
| 2 | We're going to do better with Charday, |
| 3 | right? |
| 4 | MS. LYNCH: Just warming up. |
| 5 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Items 11, 12, 13 and 14 |
| 6 | will be combined. |
| 7 | Item 11: Request of the Civil Service |
| 8 | Commission staff to revise the specification for |
| 9 | the classification Parks Maintenance Worker. |
| 10 | Item 12: Request of the Civil Service |
| 11 | Commission staff to revise the specification for |
| 12 | the classification Parks Maintenance Supervisor. |
| 13 | Item 13: Request of the Civil Service |
| 14 | Commission staff to revise the specification for |
| 15 | the classification Parks Maintenance Assistant |
| 16 | Manager. |
| 17 | Item 14: Request of the Civil Service |
| 18 | Commission staff to revise the specification for |
| 19 | the classification Parks Maintenance Manager. |
| 20 | MS. LITZY-TAYLOR: Good afternoon. |
| 21 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Good afternoon. |
| 22 | MS. LITZY-TAYLOR: Charday Litzy-Taylor, |
| 23 | Personnel Analyst with the Commission. |
| 24 | The review of these four classifications |



- 1 is part of the Civil Service Commission's effort to
- 2 review all classes every five years.
- 3 Minor wording revisions are proposed to
- 4 the examples of work and knowledge, skills and
- 5 ability sections for all four classifications to
- 6 better represent the work being performed and the
- 7 abilities necessary for successful performance of
- 8 those duties.
- 9 Per new Commission policy, it is also
- 10 recommended that motor vehicle operator's license
- 11 be revised to read driver's license within the
- 12 minimum qualifications sections.
- 13 Currently, the minimum qualifications for
- 14 Parks Maintenance Worker requires two years of
- 15 experience operating a tractor mower; and the
- 16 Maintenance Supervisor classification requires one
- 17 year of experience performing landscaping or
- 18 grounds maintenance work.
- 19 For progression and consistency within
- 20 the series, it is recommended that the years of
- 21 experience be revised so that the entry level
- 22 worker classification requires one year of
- 23 experience and the supervisor class, which is the
- 24 next level within the series, require two years of



- 1 experience.
- 2 No other revisions are proposed to these
- 3 classifications at this time.
- 4 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Charday.
- 5 Any questions?
- 6 MS. LYNCH: I move to revise the
- 7 specification for the classification Parks
- 8 Maintenance Worker (Job Code 3770).
- 9 And I move to revise the specification
- 10 for the classification Parks Maintenance Supervisor
- 11 (Job Code 3772).
- 12 Also move to revise the specification for
- 13 the classification Parks Maintenance Assistant
- 14 Manager (Job Code 3773).
- 15 And move to revise specification for the
- 16 classification Parks Maintenance Manager (Job Code
- 17 3778).
- 18 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Second.
- 20 PRESIDENT PRICE: I'm sorry.
- 21 All in favor.
- MS. LYNCH: Aye.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Aye.
- 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: They are approved.



Item 15: Request of the Civil Service 1 Commission staff to revise the specification for 2 the classification Parks Management Coordinator. 3 MS. LITZY-TAYLOR: Again, the review of 4 5 this classification is part of the Civil Service Commission's efforts to review all classes every 6 7 five years. 8 One minor wording revision is proposed to 9 the examples of work section. Per new policy, it 10 is also recommended that motor vehicle operator's 11 license be revised to read driver's license within the minimum qualifications section. 12 13 No other revisions are proposed at this 14 time. PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Charday. 15 16 Any questions? MS. LYNCH: I move to revise the 17 specification for the classification Parks 18 Maintenance Coordinator (Job Code 3780). 19 20 MS. TYLER LEE: Second. PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor. 21 22 MS. LYNCH: Aye. 23 MS. TYLER LEE: Aye.

PRESIDENT PRICE: Aye.



- 1 Item 16: Request of the Civil Service
- 2 Commission staff to revise the specification for
- 3 the classification Police Records Technician.
- 4 MS. BIERE: Can we please combine 16 and
- 5 17?
- 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: I guess we can. They
- 7 nodded their heads. That's the only reason I said
- 8 that.
- 9 16 and 17. So, again, Item 16 and
- 10 Item -- I'm sorry. Item 15 and 16 will be
- 11 combined.
- 12 MS. BIERE: 16 and 17.
- MS. LYNCH: Maggie's.
- 14 PRESIDENT PRICE: I got you now.
- MS. BIERE: Thank you.
- 16 PRESIDENT PRICE: Items 16 and 17 will be
- 17 combined.
- 18 Again, Item 16: Request of the Civil
- 19 Service Commission staff to revise this
- 20 specification for the classification Police Records
- 21 Technician.
- 22 Item 17: Request the Civil Service
- 23 Commission staff to revise the specification for
- 24 the classification Police Records Technician



| 1 | Supervisor. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. BIERE: Thank you. |
| 3 | PRESIDENT PRICE: You're welcome. |
| 4 | MS. BIERE: Maggie Biere, Personnel |
| 5 | Analyst with the Civil Service Commission. |
| 6 | A review of these classifications is part |
| - | - |
| 7 | of the Civil Service Commission's efforts to review |
| 8 | all classifications every five years. |
| 9 | For the Police Records Technician |
| 10 | classification, it is recommended to add two |
| 11 | statements in the examples of work section: One |
| 12 | statement regarding communication with other |
| 13 | government agencies and offices, and one statement |
| 14 | regarding communications with individuals who may |
| 15 | have limited English language skills. |
| 16 | For the Police Records Technician |
| 17 | Supervisor classification, it is recommended to add |
| 18 | a statement regarding monitoring equipment and |
| 19 | scheduling maintenance and repairs and to remove a |
| 20 | statement that is no longer performed within the |
| 21 | examples of work section. |
| 22 | Within the knowledge, skills and ability |

section, it is recommended to add several ability

statements in both classifications to better



- 1 reflect the duties that are being performed.
- 2 There are no other changes proposed at
- 3 this time.
- 4 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Maggie.
- 5 Any questions?
- 6 MS. LYNCH: No.
- 7 I move to revise the specification for
- 8 the classification Police Records Technician
- 9 Supervisor (Job Code 0446).
- 10 And -- Oh. And move -- I went -- I
- 11 did -- anyways.
- 12 MS. BIERE: 16.
- 13 PRESIDENT PRICE: Yeah, 16.
- 14 MS. LYNCH: Starting with 16, I move to
- 15 revise the specification for the classification
- 16 Police Records Technician (Job Code 0445).
- 17 And move to revise the specification for
- 18 the classification Police Records Technician
- 19 Supervisor (Job Code 0446).
- MS. TYLER LEE: Second.
- 21 PRESIDENT PRICE: All those in favor.
- MS. LYNCH: Aye.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Aye.
- 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: Aye.



| 1 | They are approved. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Now, Tammy, we're going to get this right |
| 3 | with you. |
| 4 | Item 18: Request of the Civil Service |
| 5 | Commission staff to revise the classification of |
| 6 | Community Relations Coordinator. |
| 7 | MS. ROLLINS: Tammy Rollins with Civil |
| 8 | Service. |
| 9 | The Community Relations Coordinator |
| 10 | classification is part of a two-level |
| 11 | classification series that consists with a |
| 12 | Community Relations Representative as the entry |
| 13 | level and then the Community Relations Coordinator |
| 14 | as the more advanced level within the series. |
| 15 | Ideally, classifications in this series |
| 16 | are structured so that it's only time served in a |
| 17 | lower class that prepares one to be ready for the |
| 18 | second-level classification in the series. |
| 19 | In looking back at the community |
| 20 | relations series, that structure does not exist; |
| 21 | and while the class was recently revised, we feel |
| 22 | that it's important today to make the revision that |
| 23 | would allow the Community Relations Representative |

incumbents be able to advance to the Community



- 1 Relations Coordinator based on experience alone.
- 2 So before you is a proposal to the
- 3 minimal qualifications that would allow an
- 4 experienced substitution, which already exists
- 5 within the Community Relations Representative
- 6 classification.
- 7 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. Any questions?
- 8 MS. TYLER LEE: I do have a question,
- 9 Mr. Chair.
- 10 Tammy, I apologize if I missed it in the
- 11 packet, but in what departments would this position
- 12 be found?
- 13 PRESIDENT PRICE: That was mine.
- MS. ROLLINS: This classification is used
- 15 in -- It could be used in any -- any department.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Okay.
- MS. ROLLINS: Specifically, this -- what
- 18 kind of brought this to our attention is there's a
- 19 new position being added to public safety, but most
- 20 often this classification --
- 21 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay.
- 22 MS. ROLLINS: -- is probably more often
- 23 used in the development department and the health
- 24 department.



- 1 MS. TYLER LEE: Okay. Thank you.
- 2 MS. ROLLINS: And maybe public utilities.
- 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: And that was actually
- 4 my question. Thank you, Commissioner. That was
- 5 actually my question. Both of us coming from the
- 6 Mayor's office at least have a familiarity with the
- 7 Community Relations Coordinator.
- 8 So you're saying right now public safety
- 9 is probably going to have this position.
- 10 MS. ROLLINS: Public safety is looking to
- 11 add this --
- 12 PRESIDENT PRICE: Add this.
- MS. ROLLINS: -- this position, and it's
- 14 currently in place in development, the health
- 15 department, and I think there may be one or two
- 16 also in public utilities.
- 17 MS. TYLER LEE: Would it be in
- 18 neighborhoods or no?
- 19 MS. ROLLINS: Possibly in neighborhoods
- 20 as well.
- 21 MS. TYLER LEE: Okay.
- MS. ROLLINS: They also have a
- 23 neighborhood relations but very easily could also
- 24 be in neighborhood.



| 1 | MS. TYLER LEE: Thank you. |
|----|---|
| 2 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. Very good. |
| 3 | MS. LYNCH: I move to revise the |
| 4 | classification of Community Relations Coordinator |
| 5 | (Job Code 0796). |
| 6 | MS. TYLER LEE: Second. |
| 7 | PRESIDENT PRICE: All those in favor. |
| 8 | MS. LYNCH: Aye. |
| 9 | MS. TYLER LEE: Aye. |
| 10 | PRESIDENT PRICE: Aye. |
| 11 | It is approved. |
| 12 | Item 19: Request of the Civil Service |
| 13 | Commission staff to create the classification of |
| 14 | Personnel Analyst III, assign a probationary period |
| 15 | of 365 days, designate the examination type as |
| 16 | noncompetitive and amend Rule XI accordingly. |
| 17 | MS. ROLLINS: The proposed classification |
| 18 | would represent the third level within a personnel |
| 19 | analyst series that currently consists of a |
| 20 | learning level, which is our Personnel Analyst I; |
| 21 | the advanced fully skill level, Personal Analyst |
| 22 | II; and then we currently have a managerial level. |
| 23 | The proposed classification would |
| 24 | introduce a third level, which would be a |



- 1 supervisory level. The work assigned to this
- 2 series is very specialized within the human
- 3 resources field and is performed exclusively within
- 4 the Civil Service Commission.
- 5 Because this work is so specialized and
- 6 not performed elsewhere in the City, it's important
- 7 that we have -- the Civil Service Commission has
- 8 the ability to develop and train employees within
- 9 this series so that they are ready to be promoted
- 10 to the next level.
- It's also important that the Civil
- 12 Service Commission has all the different working
- 13 levels; and at this point, we do not have a
- 14 supervisory level, which would be a key to being
- 15 able to prepare employees to advance to the next
- 16 level, which is the managerial level.
- 17 The proposed definition would be that the
- 18 Personnel Analyst III would be responsible for
- 19 participating and supervising a small team of
- 20 personnel analysts.
- 21 The examples of work are largely taken
- 22 from the work that we have in-house and -- and that
- 23 we -- and it's work that we would expect the
- 24 supervisory level to also review. It's expected



- 1 that this classification could be assigned to any
- 2 of our teams that has personnel analysts assigned
- 3 to it.
- 4 A guideline for class use is proposed
- 5 that will clarify that this classification, like
- 6 the others in its series, is restricted to the
- 7 Civil Service Commission and that the supervisory
- 8 requirement would entail supervision of at least
- 9 two full-time personnel analysts.
- The proposed minimum -- minimum
- 11 qualifications kind of are, you know, positioned
- 12 within the series so that it's more years of
- 13 experience than the PA II, but less than the
- 14 manager, which comes in at a bachelor's degree and
- 15 four years of experience performing the personnel
- 16 analyst work.
- 17 It is proposed that the probationary
- 18 period be 365 days and that the examination type be
- 19 designated as noncompetitive.
- 20 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Tammy.
- 21 Any questions?
- MS. LYNCH: I move to create the
- 23 classification of Personnel Analyst III, assign a
- 24 probationary period of 365 days, designate the



1 examination type as noncompetitive and amend Rule 2 XI accordingly. MS. TYLER LEE: Second. 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor. 4 5 MS. LYNCH: Aye. MS. TYLER LEE: Aye. 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: Aye. 7 8 It is approved. 9 Item 20: Request of the Civil Service 10 Commission staff to create the specification for 11 the classification Parking Services Division Administrator, assign a 365 day-probationary 12 13 period, designate the examination type as 14 noncompetitive and amend Rule XI accordingly. 15 MS. ROLLINS: So in late 2018 the Parking Services Division was officially established by 16 City ordinance. In anticipation of this ordinance 17 being passed, the Commission approved the creation 18 of an unclassified Assistant Director specification 19 to serve as the Division's administrative head. 20 21 Notably, this is not the typical 22 hierarchy that is used throughout the City where -where division administrators without work is 23

typically housed.



1 It was more recently decided by the 2 Department of Public Service that they would like to treat the Parking Services Division 3 Administrator similarly as the other divisions 4 5 within the department and also across the City. So, essentially, it is proposed to create 6 a Parking Services Division Administrator 7 8 classification. The proposed classification would 9 be in the classified service and would be housed 10 within the division in which the work is located 11 and that authorized strength for that division 12 would encompass the Division Administrator within 13 that division. 14 The current classification that's on the books, the Unclassified Assistant Director, will be 15 abolished once that classification is vacated; and 16 this classification, if approved, has a pay and 17 bargaining unit assigned to it. 18 19 As far as the work, largely -- it was largely taken from the -- the unclassified 20 21 position, those duties that are basically 22 administering the division. Minimal qualifications for Division Administrators are pretty solidly at a 23 bachelor's degree and five years of administrative 24



16

17

2 very welcoming to have these minimum qualifications on the proposed classification. 3 Like other Division Administrators, it's 4 5 proposed that the probationary period be 365 days 6 and the exam type designated as noncompetitive. 7 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Tammy. 8 Any questions? 9 MS. LYNCH: I move to create the 10 specification for the classification Parking 11 Services Division Administrator, assign a 365 day-probationary period, designate the examination 12 type as noncompetitive and amend Rule XI 13 14 accordingly. 15 MS. TYLER LEE: Second.

PRESIDENT PRICE: All those in favor.

or managerial experience, and the department was

- 18 MS. LYNCH: Aye.
- MS. TYLER LEE: Aye.

Aye.

- 20 PRESIDENT PRICE: It is approved.
- MS. ROLLINS: Thank you.
- PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Tammy.
- There's no residency hearing reviews.
- 24 Background removals will be as follows.

| 1 | Applicants removed pre-exam: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Karim DoBosu, police officer, reinstate. |
| 3 | Tamia Brown, police officer, reinstate. |
| 4 | Jorden Thompson, police officer, |
| 5 | reinstate. |
| 6 | Applicants removed post-exam: |
| 7 | Gabriela I hope I'm pronouncing that |
| 8 | properly Lopez, police officer, do not |
| 9 | reinstate. |
| 10 | Other Administrative Jurisdictional |
| 11 | Reviews: |
| 12 | Suzette Wainwright, Michael Hurd, Sarah |
| 13 | Hevener, Lizzibeth Baker, Richard Bingmer will be |
| 14 | approved for dismissal. The director may |
| 15 | distribute the letters as written to the |
| 16 | candidates. |
| 17 | And with that, that will conclude the |
| 18 | business of the Commission for the day. |
| 19 | Thank you. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | And, thereupon, the meeting was |
| 22 | concluded at approximately 1:13. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |



| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E |
| 7 | |
| 8 | I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a |
| 9 | true, correct and complete written transcript of |
| 10 | the digitally recorded proceedings in this matter |
| 11 | and transcribed by me to the best of my ability on |
| 12 | the 12th day of July, 2022. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Christy M. Heaney Professional Reporter |
| 16 | Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | My Commission Expires: March 25, 2026. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |