

1	BEFORE THE CITY OF COLUMBUS
2	MUNICIPAL CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	In the Matter of:
6	Regular Meeting
7	
8	Larry Price
9	President, Presiding
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	
13	
14	Monday, July 25, 2022
15	12:45 p.m. City of Columbus
16	Civil Service Commission 77 North Front Street
17	Columbus, Ohio 43215
18	
19	TRACI E. PEOPLES
20	PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTER
21	
22	ANDERSON DEPONDING SERVICES INC
23	ANDERSON REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 3040 Riverside Drive
24	Columbus, Ohio 43221 (614) 326-0177



1	COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	Larry Price, President Jennifer Lynch
3	Dawn Tyler Lee
4	PRESENTERS:
5	Maggie Bier Beth Dyke
6	Jennifer Hutchinson
7	Carol Lagemann Charday Litzy-Taylor
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	Wendy Brinnon
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	MONDAY AFTERNOON SESSION July 25, 2022
2	12:45 p.m.
3	
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	
6	BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, on the 25th day of
7	July, 2022, the Municipal Civil Service Commission
8	came for a regular meeting, Larry Price, President.
9	And, the parties appearing in person and/or by
LO	counsel, as hereinafter set forth, the following
L1	proceedings were had:
L2	
L3	PRESIDENT PRICE: Good afternoon,
L 4	everyone.
L5	ATTENDEES: Good afternoon.
L 6	PRESIDENT PRICE. Great. We'll begin.
L7	I'll call to order the City of Columbus
L 8	Ohio Municipal Civil Service Commission regular
L9	meeting, July 25, 2022. We will follow the agenda
20	as printed.
21	Review and approval of the minutes from
22	the June 27, 2022, regular meeting.
23	Motion?
24	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to approve



- 1 the minutes from the June 27, 2022, regular meeting.
- 2 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor, say
- 4 "aye."
- 5 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: They are approved.
- 7 No. 2, prehearing conference reviews,
- 8 none submitted.
- 9 No. 3, trial board recommendations.
- 10 Review and approval of the findings and
- 11 recommendations of the trial board hearing held on
- 12 Monday, May 16, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., Jason Williams
- 13 v. Columbus City Schools, from the action of the
- 14 Columbus City Schools discharging Mr. Williams from
- 15 his position as a truck driver, Appeal
- 16 No. 21-BA-0005.
- MS. HUTCHINSON: Good afternoon.
- 18 Jennifer Hutchinson, Personnel Administrative
- 19 Manager with Civil Service. A trial board of the
- 20 Municipal Civil Service Commission was convened on
- 21 May 16, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., with Commissioner Larry
- 22 Price presiding, and Jennifer Hutchinson and
- 23 Jennifer Shea serving as members of the trial board.
- 24 A hearing was held on the merits of the

- 1 appeal of Jason Williams v. Columbus City Schools,
- 2 from the action of Columbus City Schools discharging
- 3 Mr. Williams from the positions of truck driver,
- 4 Appeal No. 21-BA-0005.
- 5 Both parties presented opening statements
- 6 and closing arguments at the hearing. All witnesses
- 7 were sworn in individually by Commissioner Price
- 8 prior to testifying, and all offered exhibits were
- 9 admitted without objection.
- 10 After hearing the presentation of each
- 11 party's case and review of all evidence submitted,
- 12 it is the trial board's recommendation that the
- 13 decision by Columbus City Schools to discharge the
- 14 appellant be overturned.
- 15 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to approve
- 17 the findings and recommendation of the Trial Board
- 18 hearing held on Monday, May 16, 2022, at 10:00 a.m.,
- 19 Jason Williams v. Columbus City Schools, from the
- 20 action of the Columbus City Schools discharging
- 21 Mr. Williams from his position as a truck driver,
- 22 Appeal No. 21-BA-0005.
- 23 PRESIDENT PRICE: Second?
- 24 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.

23

6

1	PRESIDENT PRICE: It has been moved and
2	seconded.
3	The recommendation of the Trial Board is
4	adopted to disaffirm appointing authority employee
5	action, and employee is to be reinstated.
6	MS. HUTCHINSON: Thank you.
7	PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Jennifer.
8	MS. HUTCHINSON: Thank you.
9	PRESIDENT PRICE: No. 4, request of the
10	Civil Service Commission staff No. 4 and No. 5
11	will be combined.
12	Request of the Civil Service Commission
13	staff to approve the specification review for the
14	classification Water Supply and Treatment
15	Coordinator, with no revisions.
16	No. 5, Request of the Civil Service
17	Commission staff to approve the specification review
18	for the classification 911 Emergency Communication
19	Specialist, with no revisions.
20	MS. DYKE: Thank you. Beth Dyke,
21	Personnel Analyst with the Civil Service staff.

The review of the classifications for

Items 4 and 5 is part of the Civil Service

24 Commission staff's effort to review all

- 1 classifications every four to five years. Based on
- 2 feedback received, it was determined that the
- 3 current specifications still accurately reflect the
- 4 work being performed.
- 5 It is recommended that the specifications
- 6 be approved, with no revisions.
- 7 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: 4 and 5?
- 8 PRESIDENT PRICE: 4 and 5.
- 9 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to approve
- 10 the specification review for the classification
- 11 Water Supply and Treatment Coordinator, with no
- 12 revisions, Job Code 1165.
- 13 And I move to approve the specification
- 14 review for the classification 911 Emergency
- 15 Communication Specialist, with no revisions, Job
- 16 Code 3005.
- 17 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 18 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor, say
- 19 "aye."
- THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 21 PRESIDENT PRICE: It has been approved.
- I will go back, for clarification, to
- No. 3. There was a motion. There was a second.
- 24 But I did not say "All in favor." So I want the

- 1 record to reflect that. So we're going back up to
- 2 No. 3. The motion has been made. It has been
- 3 seconded.
- 4 All in favor, say "aye."
- 5 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: All right. Just for
- 7 the record's sake.
- 8 All right. No. 6 and No. 7 shall be
- 9 combined.
- 10 Request of the Civil Service Commission
- 11 staff to revise the specification for the
- 12 classification Technology Project Manager.
- No. 7, request of the Civil Service
- 14 Commission Staff to revise the specification for the
- 15 classification Senior Technology Project Manager.
- MS. DYKE: Beth Dyke again, Personnel
- 17 Analyst, with the staff.
- 18 The review of these classifications is
- 19 part of the Civil Service Commission's effort to
- 20 review all classifications every five years.
- 21 In conjunction with both classification
- 22 reviews, several conversations took place between
- 23 Civil Service staff and the representatives from the
- 24 Department of Technology to discuss the continued



- 1 growth and size, number, difficulty and complexity
- 2 of the information technology projects within the
- 3 City. To acknowledge such growth, it is proposed to
- 4 broaden the use of the Senior Technology Project
- 5 Manager classification. Such revision will allow
- 6 the department the flexibility to utilize the
- 7 classification for the assignment and management of
- 8 difficult and complex information technology
- 9 projects and/or for maintaining its current purpose
- 10 of directing and supervising staff engaged in
- 11 information technology project management.
- 12 Therefore, it is proposed to revise the
- 13 definition and add two statements to the "Examples
- 14 of Work" section to add -- to reflect such
- 15 expansion. It is also proposed to revise several
- 16 existing statements within the "Examples of Work"
- 17 section to update and clarify types of management as
- 18 related to the supervision of incumbents and the
- 19 guidance and consultation of staff as related to the
- 20 technical aspects and administrative constraints
- 21 associated with such projects.
- 22 It is further proposed to add a statement
- 23 to the "Guidelines for Class Use" section in order
- 24 to clarify the management of difficult and complex

- 1 information technology projects.
- 2 And with regard to the Technology Project
- 3 Manager classification, it is proposed to revise the
- 4 "Definition" to include "implementation" within the
- 5 list of responsibilities, as incumbents performing
- 6 duties in this classification do, indeed, implement
- 7 technology projects.
- 8 Lastly, it is proposed to revise the
- 9 language city-wide to read "enterprise," where
- 10 applicable, throughout both classifications, as this
- 11 is the preferred language when referencing the
- 12 organization and its technology infrastructure as a
- 13 whole.
- 14 There are no other changes proposed at
- 15 this time, and it is therefore recommended that the
- 16 specifications be approved as proposed.
- 17 PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions?
- 18 (No audible response.)
- 19 PRESIDENT PRICE: No?
- 20 Motion?
- 21 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the
- 22 specification for the classification Technology
- 23 Project Manager, Job Code 0070, and move to revise
- 24 the specification for the classification Senior

- 1 Technology Project Manager, Job Code 0071.
- 2 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: All in favor, say
- 4 "aye."
- 5 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion passed.
- 7 No. 8, request of the Civil Service
- 8 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 9 classification Public Health Assistant Commissioner,
- 10 Medical.
- MS. DYKE: The review of this
- 12 classification is part of the Civil Service
- 13 Commission's effort to review all classifications
- 14 every five years.
- A minor revision is proposed to the
- 16 "Examples of Work" section to update language used
- 17 within the existing statement.
- 18 Within the "Minimum Qualifications"
- 19 section, is proposed to revise the statement
- 20 "possession of a valid motor vehicle operator's
- 21 license" to read "possession of a valid driver's
- 22 license" so that the currently preferred language is
- 23 utilized.
- 24 There are no other changes proposed at



18

19

2.0

21

accordingly.

2 specification be approved as proposed. 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions? 4 (No audible response.) 5 PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion? COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the 6 7 specification for the classification Public Health 8 Assistant Commissioner, Medical, Job Code 0256. COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second. 9 PRESIDENT PRICE: Moved and seconded. 10 All in favor, say "aye." 11 12 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion passes. 13 14 No. 9, 10 and 11 shall be combined. Thank you, Beth, again. They got you 15 working overtime. 16 No. 9, request of the Civil Service 17

this time, and it is therefrom recommended that the

No. 10, request of the Civil Service

the classification Public Health Assistant

23 Commission staff to abolish the specification for

Commission staff to abolish the specification for

Commissioner, External Affairs, and to amend Rule XI

24 the classification Public Health Assistant



- 1 Commissioner, Administrative Services, and amend
- 2 Rule XI accordingly.
- No. 11, request of the Civil Service
- 4 Commission staff to abolish the specification for
- 5 the classification Public Health Assistant
- 6 Commissioner, Nursing, and amend Rule XI
- 7 accordingly.
- 8 MS. DYKE: The request to abolish these
- 9 classifications comes due to the recent creation of
- 10 the classification Public Health Assistant
- 11 Commissioner. The purpose of the newly created
- 12 classification is to combine three specific areas of
- 13 administrative services, external affairs, and
- 14 nursing into one classification within a unified
- 15 definition and minimum qualifications.
- 16 The Public Health Assistant Commissioner,
- 17 External Affairs, classification was vacant. And
- 18 the incumbent serving within the Public Health
- 19 Assistant Commissioner, Nursing and Administrative
- 20 Services, classification were recently reallocated
- 21 to the Public Health Assistant Commissioner
- 22 classification.
- 23 Therefore, it is recommended that these
- 24 classifications be abolished as proposed, and

- 1 Rule XI amended accordingly.
- 2 PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions?
- 3 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Just a point of
- 4 clarification, Mr. Chair, since I'm new.
- 5 So I know last month we approved the
- 6 positions. And so is that typically the cadence,
- 7 you approve the new ones and then get rid of the
- 8 ones you don't need anymore the following month?
- 9 MS. DYKE: Yes. Sometimes. Sometimes
- 10 the department will choose to maintain the
- 11 classification for a period of time after.
- 12 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Okay.
- MS. DYKE: In this case, it was decided
- 14 that those employees within the existing
- 15 classification would be reallocated into the new one
- 16 and the previous classification be abolished.
- 17 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Thank you.
- 18 PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?
- 19 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to abolish
- 20 the specification for the classification for Public
- 21 Health Assistant Commissioner, External Affairs, and
- 22 amend Rule XI accordingly.
- I move to abolish the specification for
- 24 the classification Public Health Assistant



- 1 Commissioner, Administrative Services, and amend
- 2 Rule XI accordingly.
- 3 And I move to abolish the specification
- 4 for the classification Public Health Assistant
- 5 Commissioner, Nursing, and amend Rule XI
- 6 accordingly.
- 7 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 8 PRESIDENT PRICE: It's been properly
- 9 moved and seconded. All in favor, say "aye."
- 10 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 11 PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion passed.
- 12 12 and 13 will be combined.
- The request of the Civil Service
- 14 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 15 classification Information Technology Account
- 16 Manager.
- No. 13, request of the Civil Service
- 18 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 19 classification Information Technology Account
- 20 Coordinator.
- 21 MS. DYKE: The review of these
- 22 classifications is, again, a part of the Civil
- 23 Service Commission's effort to review all
- 24 classifications every five years.



1	Through communications with department
2	representatives, it was agreed that information
3	technology services and solutions have become
4	increasingly more complex, and there is a need to
5	establish standards for the policies and benchmarks
6	related to acquisition of information technology
7	services and solutions.
8	It was also agree that, with minor
9	revisions to the Information Technology Account
10	Coordinator classification, it could be utilized to
11	support such duties as information technology
12	solution acquisition and needs and cost analysis.
13	As such, it is proposed to add reference to such
14	duties by revising the "Definitions" and the
15	"Examples of Work" section.
16	With regard to the Information Technology
17	Account Manager classification, it is proposed to
18	broaden the "Minimum Qualifications" by removing the
19	specific coursework requirement as related to the
20	bachelor's degree by stating the language used
21	within the substitution portion of the section
22	related to the required education and generalization
23	the master's degree requirement by removing the
24	specific degree requirement.

1	The revisions are proposed to aid the
2	department in recruitment by creating a larger and
3	more diverse applicant pool.
4	There are no other changes proposed at
5	this time. It is therefore recommended that the
6	specifications be approved as proposed.
7	PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you.
8	Any questions?
9	(No audible response.)
10	PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion, please.
11	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the
12	specification for the classification Information
13	Technology Account Manager and move to revise the
14	specification for the classification Information
15	Technology Account Coordinator.
16	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
17	PRESIDENT PRICE: It is has been moved
18	and seconded. All in favor, say "aye."
19	THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
20	PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion carries.
21	No. 14 They left your last name off,
22	Beth. It normally says "Beth Dyke."
23	Anyway, moving right along.
24	No. 14, request of the Civil Service

- 1 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 2 classification Information Technology Security
- 3 Officer.
- 4 MS. DYKE: Again, this classification was
- 5 reviewed as part of the Civil Service effort to
- 6 review all classifications every five years.
- 7 There are revisions proposed throughout
- 8 the specification to revise the duties associated
- 9 with the rapidly changing technology industry. As
- 10 such, it is proposed to revise the definition to
- 11 better reflect specific functions of information
- 12 security management and information security
- 13 strategies of the City's IT network.
- 14 It is important to note that this would
- 15 not include such department-specific computer
- 16 networks like SCADA and ICF, as operated within the
- 17 Departments of Public Utilities and Public Service.
- 18 Within the "Examples of Work" section, it
- 19 is proposed to generalize and update several
- 20 existing statements. And it is also proposed to add
- 21 two new statements in order to exemplify the duties
- 22 performed related to information security strategies
- 23 and enterprise risk management plans.
- It is recommended that the "Minimum

23

19

1 Qualifications" be revised to update and clarify the 2 list of qualifying IT security experience. 3 And, lastly, it is proposed to revise two 4 existing knowledge statements within the "Knowledge, Skills and Abilities" section in order to update the 6 security concept, principles, procedures and tools 7 listed. 8 There are no other changes proposed at this time, and it is therefore recommended that the 9 10 specification be approved as proposed. PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you. 11 12 Questions? (No audible response.) 13 14 PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion? COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the 15 specification for the classification Information 16 Technology Security Officer. 17 18 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second. 19 PRESIDENT PRICE: It's been properly moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye." 2.0 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye. 21

PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion carries.

No. 15, request of the Civil Service

24 Commission staff to revise the specification for the

- 1 classification Boiler Operator.
- 2 MS. DYKE: The review of this
- 3 classification is part of the Civil Service
- 4 Commission's effort to review all classifications
- 5 every five years.
- 6 There is one minor revision proposed
- 7 within the "Minimum Qualifications" section. In
- 8 order to update the specification with the currently
- 9 preferred language, it is proposed to revise the
- 10 statement "possession of a valid motor vehicle
- 11 operator's license" to read "possession a valid
- 12 driver's license."
- 13 There are no other changes proposed at
- 14 this time, and it is therefore recommended the
- 15 specification be approved as proposed.
- 16 PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions?
- 17 (No audible response.)
- 18 PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?
- 19 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the
- 20 specification for the classification Boiler
- 21 Operator.
- 22 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 23 PRESIDENT PRICE: It's been properly
- 24 moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."

1	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: Aye.
2	PRESIDENT PRICE: Aye.
3	The motion passes.
4	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Aye.
5	PRESIDENT PRICE: I know I'm getting old,
6	but
7	No. 16, request of the Civil Service
8	Commission staff to revise the specification for the
9	classification Maintenance Plumber.
10	MS. DYKE: Again, lastly, the review of
11	this classification is part of the Civil Service
12	Commission's effort to review all classifications
13	every five years.
14	Minor revisions are proposed to the
15	"Examples of Work" section to add duties currently
16	performed, such as performing preventative
17	maintenance on pluming and related systems and
18	winterizing and dewinterizing plumbing systems.
19	Within the "Minimum Qualifications"
20	section, it is proposed to revise the statement
21	"possession of a valid motor vehicle operator's
22	license" to the currently preferred language of
23	"possession of a valid driver's license."
24	In the same section, it is proposed to

- 1 $\,$ add a statement notating that some positions may
- 2 require a valid State of Ohio Backflow
- 3 Certification, as some incumbents serving in this
- 4 classification perform service to both isolation and
- 5 containment backflow prevention devices, as well as
- 6 perform regular maintenance, repair and annual
- 7 testing of such backflow devices.
- 8 Lastly, it is proposed to add a general
- 9 knowledge statement regarding state and local
- 10 plumbing codes to the "Knowledge, Skills and
- 11 Abilities" section in support of duties which
- 12 require such general knowledge.
- There are no other changes proposed at
- 14 this time, and it is therefore recommended that this
- 15 specification be approved as proposed.
- 16 PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions?
- 17 (No audible response.)
- 18 PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?
- 19 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the
- 20 specification for the classification Maintenance
- 21 Plumber.
- 22 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 23 PRESIDENT PRICE: It's been properly
- 24 moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."

1	THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
2	MS. DYKE: Thank you.
3	PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion carries.
4	Thank you, Beth.
5	No. 17, request of the Civil Service
6	Commission staff to revise specification for the
7	classification Public Health Program Manager III,
8	RN.
9	MS. LITZY-TAYLOR: Good afternoon.
10	Charday Litzy-Taylor, Personnel Analyst with the
11	Civil Service Commission. This review was initiated
12	by Columbus Public Health in order to open up
13	advancement opportunities for employees in the
14	advanced practice registered nurse classification.
15	Currently, the "Minimum Qualifications"
16	require a valid State of Ohio license as a
17	registered nurse or a valid temporary permit to
18	practice nursing issued by the Ohio Board of
19	Nursing, and four years of experience conducting or
20	managing public health services or programming, one
21	year of which involving supervisory experience.
22	As written, employees assigned to the
23	advanced practice registered nurse job
24	classification would have to take a demotion or



1 leave the City in order to obtain the one year of a 2 supervisory experience. Therefore, it is recommended that a substitution be added allowing 3 4 for a valid certificate of authority from the Ohio 5 Board of Nursing to practice nursing as a certified 6 registered nurse anesthetist, clinical nurse 7 specialist, certified nurse midwife or certified 8 nurse practitioner to substitute for the one year of supervisory experience. These certifications give 9 10 the advanced practice registered nurse the ability to exercise broader oversight and authority in the 11 12 field of nursing, which would prepare the employee to perform the necessary supervisory functions. 13 14 No other revisions are proposed to this 15 classification at this time. PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Charday. 16 17 Questions? 18 (No audible response.) 19 PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion? COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the 2.0 21 specification for the classification Public Health Program Manager III, RN. 22 23 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second. 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: It's been properly



- 1 moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."
- THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion passes.
- 4 18, 19, 20, and 21 -- since we didn't
- 5 give Beth any water, you don't get any water either.
- 6 18, 19, 20, and 21 will be combined.
- 7 18, request of the Civil Service
- 8 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 9 classification Natural Resources Manager.
- No. 19, request of the Civil Service
- 11 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 12 classification Nature Programs Educator.
- No. 20, request of the Civil Service
- 14 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 15 classification Nature Programs Developer.
- No. 21, request of the Civil Service
- 17 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 18 classification Nature Programs Administrative
- 19 Manager.
- 20 MS. LITZY-TAYLOR: The review of these
- 21 classifications was also part of the Civil Service
- 22 Commission's efforts to review all classifications
- 23 every five years.
- One minor wording revision is proposed



1	within the "Examples of Work" section for both the
2	Natural Resources Manager and Nature Programs
3	Developer classifications.
4	It is recommended that the specific
5	degree field requirement be removed from the
6	"Minimum Qualifications" for Natural Resources
7	Manager, making it easier for applicants with a
8	degree and applicable experience to qualify.
9	Per new Commission policy, reference to
10	the "motor vehicle operator's license" has been
11	revised to read "driver's license" within each
12	specification.
13	And, lastly, the lifeguard certification
14	language on both the Nature Programs Educator and
15	Nature Programs Developer specification has been
16	updated to read "American Red Cross Lifeguard
17	certification."
18	No other revisions are proposed to these
19	specifications at this time.
20	PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Charday.
21	Questions?
22	(No audible response.)
23	PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?
24	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the



- 1 specification for the classification Natural
- 2 Resources Manager.
- 3
 I move to revise the specification for
- 4 the classification Nature Programs Educator.
- 5 I move to revise the specification for
- 6 the classification Nature Programs Developer.
- 7 And I move to revise the specification
- 8 for the classification Nature Programs
- 9 Administrative Manager.
- 10 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 11 PRESIDENT PRICE: It's been properly
- 12 moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."
- 13 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 14 PRESIDENT PRICE: The motions pass.
- No. 22 and 23 shall be combined.
- 16 Request of the Civil Service Commission
- 17 staff to revise the specification for the
- 18 classification Plant Maintenance Electrician I.
- 19 23, request of the Civil Service
- 20 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 21 classification Plant Maintenance Electrician II.
- MS. LITZY-TAYLOR: Again, these
- 23 classifications are also part of the Civil Service
- 24 Commission's effort to review all classifications



1	every five years.
2	One minor grammatical revision is
3	proposed to the "Examples of Work" section within
4	the Plant Maintenance Electrician I specification.
5	It is recommended that the specific
6	voltage range requirement within the "Minimum
7	Qualifications" sections for both specifications be
8	removed, as it has proven too cumbersome to be
9	completed on the application. Plus, the experience
10	with high voltage is addressed by having electrical
11	experience in an industrial setting.
12	Also, per new Commission policy, it is
13	recommended that reference to a valid motor vehicle
14	operator's licence be revised to read "valid
15	driver's license."
16	There are no other changes proposed to
17	these specifications at this time.
18	PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions?
19	(No audible response.)
20	PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?
21	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the
22	specification for the classification Plaint
23	Maintenance Electrician I and move to revise the
24	specification for the classification Plant



- 1 Maintenance Electrician II.
- 2 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
- 3 PRESIDENT PRICE: It has been properly
- 4 moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."
- 5 THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
- 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: The motions pass.
- 7 MS. LITZY-TAYLOR: Thank you.
- 8 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you.
- 9 No. 24, request of the Civil Service
- 10 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 11 classification Public Health Peer Advocate.
- MS. BIER: Maggie Bier, Personnel Analyst
- 13 with the Civil Service Commission.
- 14 The review of the Public Health Peer
- 15 Advocate classification is part of the Civil Service
- 16 Commission's effort to review all classifications
- 17 every five years.
- 18 It is recommended to revise the language
- 19 regard the incident command system team in the
- 20 "Examples of Work" to fit the current vernacular
- 21 used in Columbus Public Health.
- 22 Within the "Guidelines of Class Use," it
- 23 is also recommended to update "Columbus Public
- 24 Health Department" to "Columbus Public Health."



1	Regarding the "Minimum Qualifications,"
2	it is proposed to revise the language regarding the
3	possession of a valid motor vehicle operator's
4	license to a valid driver's license.
5	There are no other proposed revisions at
6	this time.
7	PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Maggie.
8	Questions?
9	(No audible response.)
LO	PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?
L1	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the
L2	specification for the classification Public Health
L3	Peer Advocate.
L 4	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.
L5	PRESIDENT PRICE: It has been properly
L 6	moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."
L7	THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.
L8	PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion passes.
L9	No. 25, request of the Civil Service
20	Commission staff to revise the specification for the
21	classification Refuse Collection Operations
22	Coordinator.
23	MS. BIER: Thank you.
24	The review of the Refuse Collection



1 Operations Coordinator Classification was initiated 2 at the request of the Department of Public Service. 3 This classification is currently limited 4 to one incumbent by the "Guidelines of Class Use" section. It is proposed to remove this limitation 5 6 as the Refuse Collection Division is expanding 7 several of their programs and services, such as Keeping Columbus Beautiful and the Container 8 Management program to better service the community. 9 10 By removing this restriction, the work at the 11 department could be allocated more effectively. Regarding the "Minimum Qualifications," 12 it is also proposed to revise the language regarding 13 14 the possession of a valid motor operator's license 15 to a valid driver's license. There are no other proposed revisions at 16 this time. 17 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Maggie. 18 19 Questions? 2.0 (No audible response.) PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion? 21 22 COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the specification for the classification Refuge 23 24 Collection Operations Coordinator.



perly ay "aye."
ries.
ries.
nmission
lonary
rdingly.
rvice
on for the
ns is part
co review
s to
rsery.
add
ements to
les that



1 "Knowledge, Skills and Abilities" sections within 2 both classifications. 3 Regarding the "Minimum Qualifications," 4 it is proposed within both classification to revise 5 the language regarding the possession of a valid 6 motor vehicle operator's license to a valid driver's 7 license. And lastly, it is recommended to increase 8 the probationary period of the Gardener 9 classification from 270 days to 365 days in order to 10 provide individuals with a reasonable amount of time 11 12 to learn the various aspects of the job. 13 There are no other proposed revisions at 14 this time. It is therefore recommended that the 15 specifications be revised as proposed and Rule XI amended accordingly. 16 PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you, Maggie. 17 18 Questions? 19 (No audible response.) 20 PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion? COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the 21 specification for the classification Gardener, 22 update the probationary period to 365 days, and 23 24 amend Rule XI accordingly.



1	I also move to revise the specification	
2	for the classification Gardener Supervisor.	
3	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.	
4	PRESIDENT PRICE: It has been properly	
5	moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."	
6	THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	
7	PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion passes.	
8	Thank you, Maggie.	
9	No. 28 and 29 shall be combined.	
10	28, request of the Civil Service	
11	Commission staff to abolish the specification for	
12	the classification Office of Diversity and Inclusion	
13	Executive Director, Secretary (U).	
14	29, request of the Civil Service	
15	Commission staff to abolish the specification for	
16	the classification Education Director (U).	
17	MS. LAGEMANN: Carol Lagemann, Personnel	
18	Analyst with the Civil Service Commission.	
19	In January of 2022, a more generalized	
20	classification for executive leadership in the	
21	Mayor's Office was proposed and created. It was	
22	titled the Executive Director, Office of the Mayor,	
23	Unclassified.	
24	With that new classification created, and	



- 1 with vacancies now in the two older classifications,
- 2 it is proposed that the Office of Diversity and
- 3 Inclusion Executive Director, Unclassified, and the
- 4 Education Director, Unclassified, classifications be
- 5 abolished as proposed.
- 6 PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions?
- 7 (No audible response.)
- 8 PRESIDENT PRICE: You're familiar with
- 9 this.
- 10 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: I am. I don't
- 11 have any questions, Mr. Chairman.
- 12 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. I have
- 13 questions. Because I'm familiar that they existed.
- 14 So the reason why they are being abolished is it
- 15 falls under a new something?
- 16 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Are you asking
- 17 me, Mr. Chairman? I believe so. But we should ask
- 18 the expert.
- 19 PRESIDENT PRICE: It's nice to have a
- 20 former member of the Mayor's Office.
- 21 COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: I do believe
- 22 that is what it is. I'm a little rusty at the
- 23 moment.
- 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: Okay. Well, I will

1	punt it to you.		
2	MS. LAGEMANN: Well, that's fine.		
3	So, yes. In January, we created a more		
4	generalized classification that will allow for		
5	executive leadership to be in one classification so		
6	we're not constantly creating for different programs		
7	as they come up. So this will allow them to use one		
8	classification for multiple different areas.		
9	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: That's what I		
10	thought.		
11	PRESIDENT PRICE: No more questions?		
12	(No audible response.)		
13	PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion, please.		
14	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to abolish		
15	the specification for the classification Office of		
16	Diversity and Inclusion Executive Director,		
17	Secretary (U), and move to abolish the specification		
18	for the classification Education Director (U).		
19	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.		
20	PRESIDENT PRICE: It's been properly		
21	moved and seconded. All in favor, say "aye."		
22	THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.		
23	PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion carries.		
24	No. 30, request of the Civil Service		

- 1 Commission staff to revise the specification for the
- 2 classification Sewer Telemonitoring Supervisor.
- 3 MS. LAGEMANN: Carol Lagemann again,
- 4 Personnel Analyst with Civil Service.
- 5 The review of this classification is part
- 6 of our effort to review all classifications every
- 7 five years.
- 8 Within the "Minimum Qualifications," it
- 9 is proposed to allow for possession of the Pipeline
- 10 Assessment and Certification Program certificate
- 11 from the National Association of Sewer Service
- 12 Companies to substitute for six months of the
- 13 required experience and to then also update language
- 14 describing the driver's license.
- Within the "Examples of Work,"
- 16 rearranging some statements, adding a statement
- 17 about using tracking systems, forward inspection
- 18 work and including mention of the liaison activities
- 19 required of the supervisor to ensure those impacted
- 20 by sewer inspections are kept in proper
- 21 communication are proposed.
- It is recommended this classification be
- 23 approved as proposed.
- 24 PRESIDENT PRICE: Questions?

1	(No audible response.)	
2	PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?	
3	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the	
4	specification for the classification Sewer	
5	Telemonitoring Supervisor.	
6	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.	
7	PRESIDENT PRICE: It has been properly	
8	moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."	
9	THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.	
LO	PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion carries.	
L1	31, request of the Civil Service	
L2	Commission staff to revise the specification for the	
L3	classification of Planner II.	
L 4	MS. LAGEMANN: Carol Lagemann, presenting	
L5	on behalf of Tammy Rollins for the Civil Service	
L 6	Commission.	
L7	The review of this classification is by	
L8	request of the Department of Development.	
L9	Updates to the Planner II classification	
20	within the "Minimum Qualifications" are intended to	
21	broaden the applicant pool. Proposed qualifications	
22	would allow two paths for applicants to be deemed	
23	minimally qualified. The most significant of the	
24	proposal is allowing a master's degree in city and	

- 1 regional planning or closely related field to be a
- 2 sole qualifier. Currently, individuals with a
- 3 master's degree need to be hired as a Planner I, the
- 4 learning classification, for one year before being
- 5 promoted to Planner II.
- 6 Since many master's programs are
- 7 including practical experience in progress to the
- 8 master's degree, it is proposed to allow the
- 9 master's degree to satisfy the minimum
- 10 qualifications on its own as to one path.
- 11 And then the second path is being -- the
- 12 second path to be considered minimally qualified is
- 13 the more typical path, which might include the
- 14 bachelor's degree and two years of professional
- 15 planning related experience. For that secondary
- 16 path, it is now proposed that an internship or other
- 17 learning experience could also be accepted, with the
- 18 understanding that those internships are intended to
- 19 provide preparation and readiness to be a fully
- 20 skilled planner. Currently as written, internship
- 21 experience would not have been credited as
- 22 professional experience. However, this proposal
- 23 allows that experience to be qualifying because of
- 24 its learning nature and it being similar to the



23

24

1	Planner I experience.		
2	It is therefore recommended the		
3	classification be approved as proposed.		
4	PRESIDENT PRICE: Thank you.		
5	Questions?		
6	(No audible response.)		
7	PRESIDENT PRICE: Motion?		
8	COMMISSIONER LYNCH: I move to revise the		
9	classification of Planner II.		
10	COMMISSIONER TYLER LEE: Second.		
11	PRESIDENT PRICE: It has been properly		
12	moved and seconded. All those in favor, say "aye."		
13	THE COMMISSION MEMBERS: Aye.		
14	PRESIDENT PRICE: The motion carries.		
15	No. 32, residency hearing reviews, none		
16	submitted.		
17	No. 33, background removals, none		
18	submitted.		
19	No. 34, other administrative reviews		
20	<pre>I'm sorry other administrative/jurisdictional</pre>		
21	reviews.		

All right. A review and approval to

dismiss the appeal filed June 22, 2202, regarding

denied RFR for Mark Gerhart, Appeal No. 22-CA-0009.



1	Civil Service Commission staff determined the	
2	minimum qualification for Engineering Associate III	
3	were not met. There is no motion required. The	
4	recommendation of the Commission to dismiss the	
5	appeal has been adopted and approved.	
6	6 With that, we have completed the agenda,	
7	and we are adjourned. Thank you.	
8		
9	And, thereupon, the proceeding was	
10	concluded at approximately 1:22 p.m.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		



1			
2			
3			
4			
5	C-E-R-T-	-I-F-I-C-A-T-E	
6			
7	I do hereby certi:	fy that the foregoing is a	
8	true, correct and complete written transcript of the		
9	proceedings in this matter, taken by me on the		
10	25th day of July, 2022, and transcribed from my		
11	stenographic notes.		
12			
13		TRACI E. PEOPLES	
14		Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for	
15		the State of Ohio	
16	My Commission Expires:	July 15, 2024	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			