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Introduction

The City of Columbus (City) was founded in 1812 and has served as the state capital since 1816. The City has the largest population in the state of Ohio and a land area of nearly 213 square miles, making it the sixteenth largest city by area in the United States. The City's administrative structure and development regulations related to stormwater have evolved to address the City's growth. The Division of Sewerage and Drainage (DOSD) was created by ordinance in 1950 as a part of the Department of Public Service (renamed Department of Public Utilities in 1991). In 1994, the City created a Stormwater Management Program and established a stormwater utility fee to finance infrastructure improvement projects and implement programs designed to improve stormwater quality.

In 2006, the City adopted the Stormwater Drainage Manual (the Manual). The Manual sets forth the City’s standards applicable to new development or redevelopment. Generally speaking, the Manual requires all public and private development to control stormwater leaving the site after construction for both water quality and water quantity.

In 2011, the City convened a committee to review the 2006 Manual and its implementation. The committee consisted of a variety of stakeholders, including developers, design professionals, environmental advocacy organizations and other interested governmental agencies. The committee reviewed the technical standards of the 2006 Manual, as well as the process for obtaining a variance from the Manual requirements. As a result of that review process, the City is reissuing the Manual.


Purpose

Experience has shown that most of the more serious flooding, erosion, and water quality problems are “created.” Usually this occurs from conveying more stormwater to a given area than can be carried away effectively. Ever increasing drainage problems emerge unless well-conceived, cooperative stormwater drainage and flood control programs are undertaken throughout the entire watershed. The stormwater management goals of the City of Columbus, Ohio, are to prevent flooding, streambank erosion, and water quality degradation that may result from stormwater runoff from development and redevelopment projects.

The purpose of the Manual is to protect existing natural stormwater resources, convey and control stormwater in a safe and responsible manner, and meet water quality goals. The Manual is intended to provide information to the general public on the City’s stormwater policies and design practices, as well as assist developers, engineers, and City staff in the preparation, review and approval of the Stormwater Management Report and Construction Drawings that must accompany private and public
development proposals. This document is organized to facilitate specific design and submittal activities related to stormwater management infrastructure.

Stormwater management, particularly in the area of stormwater quality management, is an evolving science. The goal of the City is to be responsive to changes in stormwater policy and design brought forth by the natural progression of the industry. As such, the Manual will be updated as necessary to reflect accepted standard practice in stormwater management.

The City also recognizes that there may be instances where alternative stormwater standards may apply to protect sensitive ecological areas (i.e., Hellbranch Run and the Darby Creek watersheds) or to meet the goals of Total Maximum Daily Loads established by Ohio EPA. Where alternative standards conflict with the requirements of the Manual, the more stringent criteria shall apply.

Major Changes from 2006 Manual

As noted above, the 2006 Manual was revised after an extensive review process. Some of the more significant changes include the following:

- **De minimis exception:** The 2006 Manual did not have any minimum threshold for applicability. This resulted in some very small projects being required to go through a more extensive review process than was warranted. The current Manual exempts projects that disturb less than 10,000 square feet and add less than 2,000 square feet of impervious surface.

- **Variance process:** The 2006 Manual had very little detail regarding how an applicant could obtain a variance from the Manual. The current Manual sets forth greater detail regarding when a variance may be granted and the procedure for obtaining one. This will provide more predictability for developers.

- **Downtown development:** The City encourages downtown redevelopment, and the Manual has been revised to reflect this priority. First, the Manual does not require a development that is discharging to a combined sewer to control for water quality. Second, the Manual has a variance only applicable to the downtown area which requires less justification for obtaining the variance.

- **Green Infrastructure:** The City wants to encourage the use of green infrastructure to control stormwater. To accomplish this, the following changes have been made. First, the manual will have more green BMPs listed, including pervious pavement. Second, there is a green infrastructure credit in the stormwater credit rule being issued simultaneously with the Manual. Third, the requirement that green roofs have monitoring performed has been eliminated.
Applicability

The Manual is being adopted as a rule of the Director of Public Utilities pursuant to the authority provided in Columbus City Code 1145.11, 1145.71 and 1149.04. Unless otherwise exempted, the Manual shall be used for all land-disturbing public and private projects that change existing stormwater flow, conveyance system and/or stormwater pollutant discharges from applicable premises within the City of Columbus.

Unless otherwise exempt, any new development or redevelopment involving the following shall be subject to the Manual:

1. Construction of commercial, industrial, institutional, residential or multi-family residential facilities that disturbs more than 10,000 square feet, and/or creates more than 2,000 square feet of impervious surface.

2. Expansion of commercial, industrial, institutional, residential or multi-family residential facilities if the expansion will disturb more than 10,000 square feet, and/or add more than 2,000 square feet of impervious surface.

3. Redevelopment of commercial, industrial, institutional, residential or multi-family residential facilities if the expansion will disturb more than 10,000 square feet, and/or add more than 2,000 square feet of impervious surface.

4. Construction, reconstruction, improvement and/or modification of all private and public transportation and transit facilities when the project disturbs more than 10,000 square feet, adds impervious surface or alters existing drainage patterns. Routine maintenance of these facilities is excluded.

For purposes of determining whether an expansion will add more than 2,000 square feet of impervious surface, if there is a common plan of development or sale for the site that will eventually exceed 2,000 square feet this exception does not apply. USEPA describes a "larger common plan of development or sale" for purposes of the general construction permit as follows:

a contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules under one plan." For example, if a developer buys a 20-acre lot and builds roads, installs pipes, and runs electricity with the intention of constructing homes or other structures sometime in the future, this would be considered a larger common plan of development or sale. If the land is parceled off or sold, and construction occurs on plots that are less than one acre by separate, independent builders, this activity still would be subject to stormwater permitting requirements if the smaller plots were included on the original site plan. The larger common plan of development or sale also applies to other types of land.
development such as industrial parks or well fields. A permit is required if 1 or more acres of land will be disturbed, regardless of the size of any of the individually-owned or developed sites.


The Manual is not applicable to the expansion, construction, or reconstruction of one single-family dwelling or one two-family dwelling on a single parcel.

This manual will be effective on August, 2012. Any development that occurs after this date must comply with the Manual, unless a variance has been granted.

Organization

To simplify the use of the Manual, it is organized into two parts. Part I of the Manual supports the layout, design, and maintenance of stormwater management facilities. Four sections make up this part of the Manual:

1. Preservation and Protection (Section 1) defines how to site the project in relation to any streams, floodplains, steep slopes, and wetlands within the project site.

2. Stormwater Conveyance (Section 2) provides design requirements for storm sewers, open watercourses, stream crossings, and other facilities intended to convey stormwater from the site.

3. Stormwater Controls (Section 3) provides design requirements for detention basins and stormwater quality control devices intended to control the rate, volume, and/or pollutant load in stormwater runoff.

4. Operation and Maintenance of Stormwater Controls (Section 4) defines maintenance responsibilities for stormwater controls and provides easement, access, inspection and reporting requirements.

Part II describes the City’s submittal requirements related to stormwater management:

1. Private and Public Development Review Processes (Section 5) provides guidance on the review process for public and private development which propose to construct stormwater infrastructure within the City limits.

2. Stormwater Management Report submittal requirements (Section 6) are summarized in this section. The design for proposed stormwater management systems shall be submitted to the City for review and approval in accordance with this section.

3. Stormwater Management Report Submittal Approval Process (Section 7) provides guidance on the information required for plan approval and presents plan details
(including title, plan, profile, and cross section sheets) which shall be included in the construction plans.

Construction Requirements

The City’s Construction and Materials Specifications (CMSC), current edition, and the Standard Construction Drawings maintained by the City shall govern the construction of stormwater facilities described in the Manual. All construction activity within the City must also comply with the requirements stipulated by the OEPA and the City’s Erosion and Sediment Control Regulations, whichever is more restrictive. Copies of the current CMSC and Standard Construction Drawings are available at the Department of Public Utilities, Utility Permits Desk, 3rd Floor, 910 Dublin Road, Columbus, Ohio, or online at: utilities.columbus.gov.

The City’s Erosion and Sediment Control Regulation, outlined in Section 3.5, is included in Appendix A.

Variances

The City recognizes that there may be individual projects involving special or unusual design challenges such that strict adherence to the Manual will result in substantial hardship. An applicant may apply for a variance with regard to any requirement of this manual pursuant to the following terms.

1. Standard for granting a variance.

   All applicants must make a good faith effort to comply with the Manual. The good faith effort requires, at a minimum, developing a site plan that is in compliance with the Manual. The City recognizes that there are special circumstances, related to individual site conditions that may make compliance with the Manual an undue hardship. If such conditions exist, the applicant may pursue one or more of the following types of variances. As part of the variance process, the applicant may provide and the City may consider evidence of the economic impact of the Manual on the project. Please note, however, that a modest increase in the cost of the project or on the estimated rate of return does not justify a variance.

   a) Downtown: Type I variances are only applicable for redevelopment projects that occur in the Downtown Zoning District as defined in City Code 3359.03. See Figure 1-1. A Type I variance may be granted if an applicant demonstrates that full compliance with the Stormwater Drainage Manual is impracticable because of specific site conditions.

   b) Non Stream Protection: Type II variances are applicable to requests for a variance from anything in the Manual other than the stream protection issues.
Examples include a request for a variance from the detention requirements or floodplain fill compensation of the Manual. A Type II variance may be granted if there are unique circumstances applicable to the site such that strict adherence to the requirements of the Manual will deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the land or result in substantial hardship to applicant.

c) Stream Protection: Type III variances are applicable to requests for variances from the Manual's prohibitions on stream relocation or enclosure, and/or from the Manual's Stream Corridor Protection Zone (“SCPZ”) requirements. As set forth in more detail in Section 1 of the Manual, the SCPZ is necessary to enhance and maintain water quality, protect the stream channel, conserve and protect habitat and prevent damage to structures from erosion. A Type III variance may be granted if an applicant demonstrates both of the following:

i) there are unique circumstances applicable to the site such that strict adherence to the Manual will deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the land or result in substantial hardship to applicant; and

ii) the applicant has provided for sufficient mitigation to any impacts on the stream or Stream Corridor Protection Zone.

2. Variance Applications.

Type I Variance Application (Downtown): To obtain a Type I variance, applicant must submit an application meeting the standard set forth above.

A Type I variance application must include two site development plans: one that demonstrates full compliance, and one that is the preferred alternative. The application should support determining that the full compliance alternative is impracticable. Such information can include but is not limited to technical challenges of meeting the requirements of the Manual and projected loss of revenue. The Type I variance should also include the information required by the Variance Guidance Policy, which can be found online at utilities.columbus.gov

Type II Variance Applications (NonStream Protection): To obtain a Type II variance, applicant must submit an application meeting the standard set forth above.

A Type II variance application must include three site development plans: full compliance, minimal impact and preferred alternative. The application should provide supporting information explaining why the full compliance alternative is impracticable. Such information can include but is not limited to technical challenges of meeting the requirements of the Manual and projected loss of revenue. In addition, the application should include the information required by the Variance Guidance Policy, which can be found online at utilities.columbus.gov
Type III Variance Application (Stream Protection): To obtain a Type III variance, applicant must submit an application meeting the standard set forth above.

A Type III variance application must include three site development plans: full compliance, minimal impact and preferred alternative. The application should provide supporting information explaining why the full compliance alternative is impracticable. Such information can include but is not limited to technical challenges of meeting the requirements of the Manual and projected loss of revenue. In addition, an application for a Type III variance shall demonstrate sufficient mitigation for any impacts on the Stream Corridor Protection Zone or the stream. Mitigation shall be considered sufficient if it meets one of the following criteria.

a) If the impact is directly to the stream, the applicant must demonstrate that the predicted post-construction QHEI/HHEI will meet or exceed the existing QHEI/HHEI. How such scores are calculated is set forth in the Variance Guidance Policy.

b) If the impact is solely to the SCPZ, and not directly into the stream, mitigation shall be considered sufficient if additional equivalent SCPZ is created at the following ratios:

- On site: 1 to 1
- Adjacent site: 1 to 1.5
- Same watershed assessment unit: 1 to 2
- Same County: 1 to 3
- Contiguous County: 1 to 5

Generally, mitigation SPCZ will be considered equivalent if it performs the same function as the disturbed SPCZ; for instance, if the disturbed SPCZ includes trees, the mitigation SPCZ should include at least an equivalent number of trees.

A Type III variance application should include the information required by the Variance Guidance Policy, which can be found online at utilities.columbus.gov.

3. Review Process for Variances

An applicant may request a preliminary meeting to discuss proposal and submittal content. Please contact One Stop Shop, at 614-645-6315 or Private Development Section Manager at 645-3702. An applicant may also request that City personnel participate in meetings with other regulatory agencies, such as Ohio EPA, regarding the development. The City may not be able to attend every such meeting, but will work with the applicant to make the approval process as smooth as possible.

Once the application is received, the City will check it for completeness.
If the application is complete, it will be reviewed by the Private Development Section Manager. The Manager may recommend an application be approved, and forward that recommendation to the Administrator. If the Manager does not immediately approve the application after initial review, the Manager may ask for additional information or forward the application to the Variance Review Committee for additional consideration.

The Variance Review Committee (VRC) typically meets once each month to discuss pending variance requests. Generally, the VRC will review the variance application at the meeting following receipt of the application. The VRC will take action on the application at that time, and the VRC will communicate with the applicant the results of the meeting. At the meeting, the VRC will do one of the following:

a) Recommend that the Administrator approve the variance request.

b) Request additional information from the applicant. Such a request shall be specific regarding what additional information is needed and why. The request may be communicated by email. The VRC will consider the additional information at the next scheduled VRC meeting.

c) The VRC will not request more information from an applicant more than twice. If, after the Committee has sought additional information from the Applicant two times, the Committee still believes that the Variance request is incomplete or otherwise unacceptable, it may recommend that the Administrator deny the variance request.

The Administrator shall act on the recommendation of the VRC Committee within 7 days of receiving it. Any person adversely affected by the action of the Administrator may appeal the decision pursuant to and in accordance with Section 1145.82 of the Columbus City Code.

If an application is resubmitted for the same project after it has been issued a proposed or final denial, the applicant shall pay a resubmittal fee of $5,000.
Definitions

For the purpose of the Manual, the following terms, phrases, and definitions shall apply and are provided here for quick reference and convenience. Words used in the singular shall include the plural, and the plural - the singular. Words used in the present tense shall include the future tense. The word SHALL is mandatory and not discretionary.

Administrator — The Administrator of the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, or his/her designee.

Agricultural Lands — Those lands in any agricultural use, including forestry.

Applicant — Any person or duly designated representative applying for a permit or other type of city, federal, or state regulatory approval to proceed with a project.

Best Management Practice (BMP) — Schedules of activities, programs, technology, processes, siting criteria, operating methods, measures, devices, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices used to prevent, control, remove or reduce the pollution of waters of the United States. BMPs also include, but are not limited to, treatment requirements, operating procedures, practices to control site runoff, spillage or leaks, waste disposal, or drainage from raw material. BMPs may include structural or nonstructural practices.

CC Drawings — Plans for stormwater infrastructure that are privately owned, capital projects or public sanitary sewer projects.

Check Storm — A lesser frequency event used to assess the hydraulic grade line, pavement spread, flood routing and hazard analysis, and critical locations where water can pond to appreciable depths.

City — The City of Columbus, Ohio.

Commercial Activity Areas — Outdoor areas within non-residential properties where pollutants are or may become more concentrated than typical urban runoff as characterized by the USEPA National Urban Runoff Program (NURP). Commercial/industrial activity areas are as listed below or otherwise defined by the City:

1. Material and waste handling and storage areas, including but not limited to loading docks, fuel and other liquid storage/dispensing facilities, material bins, containers, stockpiles, and other storage containers, waste dumpsters, bins, cans, tanks, stockpiles, and other waste containers,

2. Processing, manufacturing, fabrication, cleaning, or other permanent outdoor equipment or work areas, and
3. Areas where vehicles and equipment are repaired, maintained, stored, disassembled, or disposed.

**Compensatory Floodplain Storage** — Equivalent floodplain storage provided to counterbalance floodplain filling within designated FEMA floodplain boundaries.

**Constructed Open Watercourses** — Constructed drainage courses that confine and conduct a periodic flow of water in such a way that concentrates flow. For the purposes of the Manual, constructed open watercourses include swales or ditches that are constructed to convey stormwater runoff within development sites and along public and private roadway systems.

**Construction** — The building, assembling, expansion, modification or alteration of the existing contours of the site, the erection of buildings or other structures, or any part thereof, or land clearing.

**Culvert or Stream Crossing** — A closed conveyance structure with open ends, designed to carry water through a roadway embankment.

**Detention or to Detain** — To retard or slow the discharge, directly or indirectly, of a given volume of stormwater runoff into surface waters or downstream system.

**Development or Development Activity** — The alteration, construction, installation, demolition or removal of a structure, impervious surface or drainage facility; or clearing, scraping, grubbing, killing or otherwise removing the vegetation from a site; or adding, removing, exposing, excavating, leveling, grading, digging, burrowing, dumping, piling, dredging or otherwise significantly disturbing the soil, mud, sand or rock of a site.

**Discharge** — The outflow of stormwater runoff from a project, site, aquifer, drainage basin or facility.

**Division** — Division of Sewerage and Drainage.

**Drainage Facility** — Any component of the drainage system.

**Drainage System** — All facilities used for the movement of stormwater through and from a drainage area, including, but not limited to, any and all of the following conduits and appurtenant features: channels, ditches, flumes, culverts, storm sewers, curb inlets, catch basins, headwalls, detention basins, etc., as well as all watercourses, waterbodies and wetlands.

**Drawer D** — Plans for new or improved infrastructure associated with a private development project that is to be publicly owned and operated within a public right-of-way or in publicly owned easements, formatted to fit onto a “D” size drawing sheet.
**Drawer E** — Plans for new or improved infrastructure associated with a private development project that is to be publicly owned and operated within a public right-of-way or in publicly owned easements, formatted to fit onto an “E” size drawing sheet.

**Easement** — A grant by a Property Owner for the use of a specified portion of land for a specified purpose.

**Erosion** — The wearing or washing away of soil by the action of water due to either natural or manmade causes.

**FEMA 100-year Floodplain** — Any land area recognized by FEMA as susceptible to being inundated by flood waters with a one percent chance of annual recurrence, as defined on the FIS and FIRM for Franklin County and incorporated areas.

**FEMA 100-year Floodway** — The place in which water is likely to be the deepest and fastest; the area of the floodplain which should be reserved to allow floodwaters to move downstream without causing the 100-year peak flood water surface elevation to raise more than one foot, as defined on the FIS and FIRM for Franklin County and incorporated areas. (The maximum allowable surcharge for the City of Columbus is 0.5 feet.)

**Forebays** — Areas at detention basin inlets that are designed to trap coarse sediment particles and trash by separating a specified volume from the remainder of the basin with a lateral sill, rock-filled gabions, a retaining wall, or horizontal rock filters.

**Groundwater** — Water below the surface of the ground, whether or not flowing through known or defined channels.

**Hydrograph** — A graph of discharge rate versus time for a selected point in the drainage system.

**Illicit Discharges** — Any natural or man-made conveyance or drainage system, pipeline, conduit, inlet, or outlet (including natural surface flow patterns, depressions or channels traversing one or more properties) through which the discharge of any pollutant to the stormwater drainage system occurs or may occur unless the connection is authorized under a discharge permit issued by the Ohio EPA. This definition shall be consistent with the City’s existing NPDES permit for stormwater discharges from its municipal separate storm sewer system.

**Impervious Surface** — A surface which has been covered with a layer of material so that it is resistant to infiltration by water. Impervious surfaces include conventionally surfaced streets, roofs, sidewalks, paved parking lots, and other similar surfaces.

**Maintenance** — The action taken to restore or preserve the design functionality of any facility or system.
**Major Outfall** — A municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) outfall that discharges from a single pipe with an inside diameter of 36 inches or more or its equivalent (discharge from a single conveyance other than circular pipe which is associated with a drainage area of more than 50 acres); or for MS4s that receive stormwater from lands zoned for industrial activity (based on comprehensive zoning plans or the equivalent), an outfall that discharges from a single pipe with an inside diameter of 12 inches or more or from its equivalent (discharge from other than a circular pipe associated with a drainage area of 2 acres or more).

**Major Stormwater Routing Systems** — An above ground conveyance system which routes stormwater from larger runoff events. This is often the portion of the total drainage system which collects, stores, and conveys runoff that exceeds the capacity of the minor system. It is usually less controlled than the minor system and will function regardless of whether or not it has been deliberately designed and/or protected against encroachment, including when the minor system is blocked or otherwise inoperable.

**Minor Drainage Systems** — Portions of a stormwater conveyance system within the urban environment including things such as catch basins, detention basins, and storm sewer pipes. The portion of the drainage system that collects, stores and conveys frequently occurring runoff, and provides relief from nuisance and inconvenience. This system has been traditionally planned and constructed, and normally represents the major portion of the urban drainage infrastructure investment. Minor systems include curbs, gutters, ditches, inlets, access holes, pipes and other conduits, open channels, pumps, detention basins, water quality control facilities, etc.

**ODOT L&D Manual** — ODOT Design Manual in effect as of the date of the effective date of the SWDM or any applicable revisions or amendments.

**Offsite** — Taking place or located away from the site.

**Onsite** — Taking place or located within the site.

**Ordinary High-Water Mark** — The point on one or both banks of a stream to which the presence and action of surface water is so continuous as to leave a distinctive mark by erosion, destruction, or prevention of terrestrial vegetation, predominance of aquatic vegetation, or other easily recognized characteristics. Where the bank or shore of any particular place is of such character that it is difficult or impossible to ascertain where the point of ordinary high–water mark is, it shall be established at the elevation of the ordinary high-water mark on the opposite bank.

**Outfall** — A point source where an MS4 discharges to Waters of the State and does not include open conveyances connecting two municipal separate storm sewers, or pipes, tunnels, or other conveyances which connect segments of the same stream or other Waters of the United States and are used to convey Waters of the State.
**Parcel or Parcel of Land** — A contiguous quantity of land in possession or owned by, or recorded as property of the same claimant person.

**Person** — Any individual, firm, corporation, governmental agency, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, association, two or more persons having a joint or common business interest, or any other legal entity.

**Post-development or Post-construction** — Site conditions at the completion of construction that pertains to the management of stormwater from a site.

**Pre-development** — The hydrologic and hydraulic condition of the project site immediately before development or construction begins.

**Private Facility** — Property or facility which is not owned by the City of Columbus.

**Professional Engineer** — A professional engineer licensed by the State of Ohio skilled in the practice of civil engineering and the engineer of record for the project under consideration.

**Professional Landscape Architect** — A person licensed by the State of Ohio to practice landscape architecture.

**Public Facility** — Property or facility which is owned by the City of Columbus.

**Redevelopment** — A change to previously existing, improved real estate, including but not limited to the demolition or building of structures, filling, grading, paving, or excavating.

**Riparian** — Situated or dwelling on the bank of a stream or other body of water.

**Roadside Ditch** — An artificial watercourse designed to convey stormwater runoff generated from the roadway surface.

**Runoff** — Precipitation, snow melt, or irrigation water not absorbed by soil.

**Sediment** — Solid material, whether mineral or organic, that is in suspension, is being transported, or has been moved from its site of origin by water.

**Site** — Any tract, lot, or parcel of land or combination of tracts, lots, or parcels of land which is in one ownership, or contiguous and in diverse ownership where development is to be performed as part of a unit, subdivision, or project.

**Storm Event** — The storm of a specific duration, intensity, and frequency.

**Stormwater** — Discharges to surface waters that originate from precipitation events.
**Stormwater Management Report** — Refers to the approved detailed analysis and supporting documentation for the design of the stormwater management system required for all construction.

**Stormwater Management System** — All natural and constructed facilities used for the conveyance and storage of stormwater through and from a drainage area, including, but not limited to, any and all of the following: channels, ditches, swales, flumes, culverts, streets, streams, watercourses, waterbodies, wetlands, detention/retention facilities, and treatment devices.

**Stormwater Pollutants** — Any liquid, solid, or semi-solid substance, or combination thereof, that enters stormwater runoff in concentrations or quantities large enough to contribute to the degradation of the beneficial uses of the body of water receiving the discharge or are prohibited by state law.

**Stream** — Streams shown on USGS 7.5 minute Quad maps as solid or dashed blue lines or a surface watercourse with a well-defined bed and bank, either natural or artificial, which confines and conducts continuous or periodic flowing water.

**Stream Corridor Protection Zone** — A zone that allows for the natural, lateral movement of open watercourses and prevents structures from being impacted by natural streambank erosion. A corridor with natural vegetation is left in its natural state, typically vegetated to provide stream stabilization and water quality benefits through infiltration.

**Streambank Erosion** — The removal of streambanks by flowing water below the ordinary high water mark.

**Streambed** — The portion of a stream below the ordinary high-water mark where the erosion and deposition of sediments occur.

**Substantially Affect Stormwater Drainage** — Any change to the site drainage characteristics including, but not limited to, removal of existing or installation of new collection and conveyance features such as inlets, curb and gutter, underdrains, or the alteration of existing site grading that changes drainage direction or volume.

**Swale** — An artificial watercourse that may contain contiguous areas of standing or flowing water only following a rainfall event, or is planted with or has stabilized vegetation suitable for soil stabilization, stormwater treatment, and nutrient uptake, or is designed to take into account the soil erodibility, soil percolation, slope, slope length, and contributing area so as to prevent erosion and reduce the pollutant concentration of a given volume.

**Terrestrial Vegetation** — Upland vegetation and facultative upland vegetation, as defined in the City's Approved Native Plant Species for Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices, found in Appendix B.
**Watershed** — A region draining into a river, river system, or body of water.

**Wetland Vegetation** — Obligate hydrophyte, facultative wetland and facultative vegetation as defined in the Native Plant Species list. (Reference Appendix B for the City’s list of approved native plant species.)

**Wetlands** — Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater with a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

### Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDF</td>
<td>Basin Development Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP</td>
<td>Best Management Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>City of Columbus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSC</td>
<td>City of Columbus Construction and Materials Specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Curve Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corps</td>
<td>Army Corps of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOSD</td>
<td>Division of Sewerage and Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC</td>
<td>Erosion and Sediment Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGL</td>
<td>Hydraulic Grade Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSG</td>
<td>Hydrologic Soil Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDF</td>
<td>Intensity-Duration-Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L &amp; D Manual</td>
<td>ODOT Location and Design Manual, Volume 2, Drainage Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4</td>
<td>Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDES</td>
<td>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly the SCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODNR</td>
<td>Ohio Department of Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODOT</td>
<td>Ohio Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEPA</td>
<td>Ohio Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORC</td>
<td>Ohio Revised Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TND</td>
<td>Traditional Neighborhood Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WQv</td>
<td>Water Quality Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>The United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service (which is now the NRCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWPPP/SWP3</td>
<td>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>United States Geologic Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part I
Introduction
Part I – Stormwater Policy and Facility Design Criteria

Part I of the Manual supports the layout and design of stormwater management facilities. The City’s Division of Sewerage and Drainage (part of the Department of Public Utilities) was granted the authority to generate design standards and to enforce rules governing stormwater management under Title 2 Administrative Codes, Section 221.05 of the Columbus City Code. Columbus City Code Title 11, Section 1145.11 grants the Director authority to adopt rules and regulations as necessary for administration of this chapter, Regulation of Sewer Use. In addition, Section 1145.71 authorizes the Director to adopt regulations governing the quantity and quality of stormwater discharges from premises within the City, and from premises outside of the City which are tributary to the City’s sewer system. Furthermore, Section 1149.04 authorizes the Director to promulgate rules as are necessary for the safe, economical, and efficient management and protection of the stormwater system. The City has determined that the stormwater management requirements set forth in the Manual are necessary to govern stormwater quantity and quality, and for the safe and efficient management of the stormwater system. This section provides the City’s requirements for successfully designing the stormwater management facilities and the layout requirements that must accompany acceptable projects altering land use. These requirements are organized in three sections containing subsections for each pertinent element of the stormwater management system.

Section 1  Preservation and Protection
- Stream Protection Policy Statement
- Stream Identification
- Stream Corridor Protection Zone
- Floodplain Preservation and Developments within Special Flood Hazard Areas
- Wetland Policy

Section 2  Stormwater Conveyance
- General Criteria
  - Offsite Tributary Area
  - Onsite Stormwater Conveyance
  - Downstream Analysis
  - Agricultural Field Tiles
  - Stormwater System Diversions
- Hydrology Requirements
- Design of Minor Stormwater Conveyance Systems
  - Storm Sewers
  - Curbs Inlets and Catch Basins
  - Culverts
• End Treatments
• Outlet Channel Protection
• Level Spreaders
• Open Watercourses
• Design of Major Stormwater Routing Systems

Section 3 Stormwater Controls
• General Criteria
• Stormwater Quantity Controls
  • Stormwater Quantity Control Exemptions
  • Hydrologic Requirements
  • Acceptable Methods and Criteria
  • Dry and Wet Detention Basins (general criteria)
  • Parking Lot Storage
• Tank Storage
• Green Roof Technologies
• Stormwater Quality Controls
  • General Requirements
  • Water Quality Volume (WQv) Determination
  • Stormwater Quality Control - Acceptable Methods and Criteria
  • Group 1 – Stormwater Basins
  • Group 2 – Media Filters
  • Group 3 – Swales and Filter Strips
  • Group 4 – Water Quality Controls for Commercial Activity Areas
  • Applicant-Proposed Stormwater Controls
• As-built Surveys
• Construction Stormwater Quality Controls
  • Additional Requirements

Section 4 Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring of Stormwater Controls
• Stormwater Control Facility Maintenance Responsibilities
  • Stormwater Control Facility Easement and Access Requirements
  • Stormwater Control Facility Maintenance Plan
  • Maintenance Inspection and Reporting Requirements
  • Stormwater Control Facility Monitoring Requirements
Section 1
Preservation and Protection

The City has determined that establishing a Stream Corridor Protection Zone along streams is necessary to protect structures from damage caused by natural erosion. A Stream Corridor Protection Zone may provide the following benefits:

- Reduce stream bank erosion and thereby protect structures;
- Add to the natural character and provide viewsheds within the community;
- Prevent or reduce flood related damage;
- Remove sediment, nutrients, and pollutants from the stormwater entering the stream;
- Provide shade that maintains cooler water temperatures;
- Maintain biological diversity;
- Maintain adequate flows of water to underground aquifers; and
- Provide greenway corridors for wildlife and to provide other environmental and aesthetic values.

Unless otherwise exempt, all development and redevelopment projects that include a portion of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone must minimize alterations of the stream, keep new structures out of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone, and maintain a riparian corridor along the stream to minimize streambank erosion and to protect stream habitat. Section 1 of the Manual provides stream protection standards for all development and redevelopment projects in the City.

1.1 Stream Protection Policy Statement

With the exception of roadside ditches and approved roadway crossings, all streams identified on the United States Geologic Survey (USGS) quad maps with solid or blue dashed lines and all watercourses having a defined bed and bank shall remain open and shall not be enclosed within a storm sewer or other engineered structure. A Stream Corridor Protection Zone shall be established that provides for adequate conveyance, water quality benefit and allows for the natural, lateral movement of streams to prevent structures from being impacted by natural streambank erosion. Streams may not be realigned or relocated.
1.2 Stream Identification

A stream is a surface watercourse with a well-defined bed and bank, either natural or artificial, which confines and conducts continuous or periodic flowing water. The Applicant shall identify and label all streams within the project site and/or streams receiving stormwater discharges from the project site on the master drainage plan (Section 6) submitted as part of the Stormwater Management Report. The Applicant shall provide information that supports the classification of streams with the Stormwater Management Report. Such information may include, but not be limited to, copies from USGS Quad sheets, photographs, FEMA maps, or soils maps showing the location of a stream and delineation of the upstream tributary area.

If the City determines that the submitted evidence is inconclusive, then they may require a site inspection and input from other sources of information including, but not limited to, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Ohio EPA, ODNR, or the appropriate County Soil and Water Conservation District. Final determination regarding whether the watercourse or channel meets the classification of a stream for the purposes of the Manual shall be at the discretion of the Director or designee. Photographs of a stream and a constructed open channel, which is not considered to be a stream, are on the following page. Stream Corridor Protection Zones are not required along constructed open channels that are not classified as streams.

If the applicant has already received an approved stream and wetland delineation from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the City will accept that delineation. The applicant may submit to the City its pending application with the Corps; however, an application that is pending and has not been approved by the Corps will not automatically be approved by the City.

Example of a Stream
Section 1
Preservation and Protection

Example of a Swale/Open Channel that is not classified as a stream

1.3 Stream Corridor Protection Zone

A Stream Corridor Protection Zone consists of the stream and the riparian area along the stream. Its purpose is to allow the natural, lateral movement of open water courses, provide sufficient area for flood conveyance, protect water quality and prevent structures from being impacted by natural streambank erosion.

1.3.1 Stream Corridor Protection Zone Delineation

The total width of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone for streams shall be established using the following criteria, whichever is greater:

1. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designated 100-year floodway, or

2. Using the equation below with a minimum of 50 feet to a maximum of 250 feet. The zone shall be centered on the stream valley generally located at the point where both zone boundaries intersect equal elevations on either side of the stream. Where topography is flat the zone shall be centered on centerline of the stream:

   \[
   \text{Stream Corridor Protection Zone, in feet of width}^1 = 147(\text{DA})^{0.38}
   \]

   Where DA = drainage area of the stream in square miles, or

3. 50 feet from the top of each bank for fourth order streams or larger.

---

\(^1\)Equation is from the Rainwater and Development Manual by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) based on regional curve analysis for various watercourses measured in the eastern United States region.
In most instances the Stream Corridor Protection Zone is located by placing its centerline over the centerline of the watercourse. However, individual site conditions including, but not limited to, topography and slope must be considered when determining the precise location of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone.

The width of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone shall be extended to include slopes that are greater than 15 percent and begin at a point within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone. The maximum width of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone extension shall be to the top of the slope or to a point up-slope, as measured horizontally, where the width of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone is doubled, whichever is less. Slope protection widths may be extended beyond these limits at the City's discretion on a case-by-case basis.

Where wetlands protected under federal or state law are located partially within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone, the Stream Corridor Protection Zone shall be extended to include the full extent of the wetland area.

### 1.3.2 Permanent Protection of the Stream Corridor

The Stream Corridor Protection Zone shall be kept in as natural state as possible so that it can perform its inherent function of erosion protection, flood storage, and water quality protection. In order to ensure the permanent protection of the zone, the developer shall provide for the permanent protection of the zone.

The developer shall identify on the plat or plan and visibly delineate on the site the Stream Corridor Protection Zone prior to any construction on the site to prevent excursions onto the zone during construction. Such delineation must be submitted to the Director of Public Utilities or the Director's designee for review and approval prior to construction.

No later than the conclusion of construction, the developer shall permanently delineate the Stream Corridor Protection Zone in an aesthetically harmonious manner, approved by the director, such that the location of the zone is apparent to casual observers and permits access to the zone.

Language preventing Property Owners from constructing facilities and performing activities that are prohibited within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone, as described in Section 1.3.3, shall be shown on the plat and reflected on all deeds. Land designated as a Stream Corridor Protection Zone may, at the option of the land owner, be deeded in fee simple to the City of Columbus or placed in a Preservation Easement.

That portion of a lot or parcel reserved as the Stream Corridor Protection Zone may be included in the total area for computing the density permitted by the particular underlying zoning district for that parcel, even if ownership of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone is subsequently transferred. The resulting increase in net density permitted on that portion of the lot or parcel located outside of the Stream Corridor
Protection Zone is acceptable to the extent that the gross density for the total area does not exceed the density prescribed by the underlying zoning district.

1.3.3 Prohibited Uses in the Stream Corridor Protection Zone

Table 1-1 lists facilities/activities that are prohibited within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone. Where feasible and elevations permit, stormwater pipe outfalls shall be located outside the Stream Corridor Protection Zone and discharged into either a structural level spreader or a constructed open channel with appropriate protection from erosion.

Table 1-1
Facilities and Activities Prohibited in the Stream Corridor Protection Zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prohibited Facilities</th>
<th>Prohibited Activities*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Buildings/structures (except bridges)</td>
<td>- Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Swimming pools</td>
<td>- Industry/ commercial business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Signs</td>
<td>- Filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Billboards</td>
<td>- Excavation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fences</td>
<td>- Ditching/diking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Parking lots</td>
<td>- Removal of topsoil, sand, gravel, rock, oil, gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Electric lines that run parallel to the stream (with the exception of transmission lines)</td>
<td>- Any other change in topography other than what is caused by natural forces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Utility lines or pipes that run parallel to the stream (except for necessary public sanitary, water, stormwater [see above] and public utility transmission lines as approved by the City)</td>
<td>- Herbicides/pesticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Telecommunications lines that run parallel to the stream (with the exception of transmission lines)</td>
<td>- Removal of native trees/vegetation except as approved by the City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cable TV lines that run parallel to the stream</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other improvements deemed unacceptable to the City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Unless designated a permitted use by the City

1.3.4 Permitted Uses in the Stream Corridor Protection Zone

Uses permitted within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Passive uses including hiking, fishing, picnicking, and similar uses. Construction of paved trails to further such passive recreation uses is permitted; however, trails that become damaged due to natural erosion should not be repaired but should be moved upland or removed altogether,

2. Vegetation removal on existing levees and dikes,

3. Activities by City personnel that are necessary to maintain the function of any open watercourse and the West Columbus Local Protection Project (floodwall),
4. Removal of damaged or diseased trees.
5. Revegetation and/or reforestation with plantings of native species,
6. Public utility crossings (Those utilities owned by the City, suburb or any entity contracting with the City as defined by Title 11 of the City Code.),
7. Street crossings,
8. Excavation for providing compensatory floodplain volume immediately adjacent to the channel,
9. Construction activities associated with properly permitted stream restoration projects,
10. Disturbances resulting from permitted stream and/or wetland mitigation projects provided the mitigation is to offset impacts to local protected wetlands (see Section 1.5), and
11. Activities related to enhancement of existing wetlands.

Disturbances within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone as a result of a permitted use must be mitigated through revegetation/reforestation, with the exception of vegetation removal for floodwall and dike/berm maintenance and inspection.

1.3.5 Applicability of Stream Corridor Protection Zones

A Stream Corridor Protection Zone is required for all projects subject to the Manual, except as follows:

**Exemption 1** — Stream Corridor Protection Zones will not be required along existing streams located within the Downtown Zoning District as defined in City Code 3359.03 (See Figure 1-1 at the end of this section).

**Exemption 2** — Where the Stream Corridor Protection Zone for the Scioto River falls beyond the limits of the existing West Columbus Local Protection Project (floodwall), the limits of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone for the Scioto River shall end at the river side face of the floodwall or floodwall easement. Streams tributary to the Scioto River, however, that are not located within the downtown zoning district, will have a Stream Corridor Protection Zone based on their respective tributary area or floodway width as specified in Section 1.3.

1.4 Floodplain Preservation and Developments within Special Flood Hazard Areas

All development within FEMA designated Special Flood Hazard Areas is subject to conditions of the City of Columbus’ Title 11 Water Sewer and Electric Code, Chapter
1150 Flood Plain Management. The process for approving and administering floodplain fill permits is administered by the Columbus Development Department.

The Division of Sewerage and Drainage prohibits the filling of FEMA designated floodplains without compensation due to potential for problems associated with flooding, erosion, and environmental impact. With the exception of fills associated with widening an existing public roadway within a FEMA designated Flood Hazard Area, fill within the FEMA delineated 100-year floodplain outside of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone must be compensated by removing an equivalent volume of material or greater. (Information on FEMA's 100-year floodplains can be obtained through ODNR's Geographic Information Management Systems metadata or directly through FEMA.)

The amount of compensatory storage shall be determined by the volume of material removed above the ordinary high-water mark of the stream and below the 100-year flood elevation established for the area. The compensation area must have an unrestricted hydraulic connection to the affected stream and provide the same rate of flood storage capture and discharge over the course of the flood event as in pre-project conditions. First consideration shall be given to expanding the stream’s existing floodplain next to the existing channel and within the limits of the development site. In instances where compensatory storage within the limits of the development site is proven to be impractical, the City will consider offsite compensatory storage as long as:

1. First consideration is given to performing compensatory storage by expanding the stream's existing floodplain next to the channel.

2. The mitigation is performed as close to the proposed fill area as possible.

3. The mitigation occurs within the same hydraulic reach of the same stream in which filling is proposed to occur.

4. Where the Applicant proposes to provide compensatory storage on property owned by others, the Applicant must submit a written agreement between such landowner and the Applicant wherein the landowner agrees to convey an easement or other property interest or right to the Applicant allowing compensatory storage, and to permanently maintain such area for flood storage purposes in the event that the City approves the Applicant’s proposed project.

The same hydraulic reach is defined as the reach of a stream between the nearest features controlling the flood water elevations upstream and downstream from the proposed fill area.

Disturbances created within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone for the purpose of providing compensatory floodplain storage adjacent to the stream are permitted; however, all disturbances must be mitigated through reforestation and revegetation. A streambank restoration plan that incorporates bioengineering techniques shall be prepared for compensatory floodplain fill work that occurs immediately adjacent to the streambank. The streambank restoration plan shall be submitted as part of the Stormwater Management Report and Construction Plan submission (Part II) for the project. The means and methods for stream restoration work, including non-vegetative and vegetative materials, shall be shown in the plan. Streambank restoration plans
shall be designed and constructed based on the bankfull discharge and able to withstand the inundation, stream velocities, and channel stresses associated with the 100-year flood event without structural failure once vegetative cover is established. Streambank restoration plans shall be submitted with the construction plans. Guidance and further references for streambank stabilization techniques are provided under USDA’s *Stream Corridor Restoration: Principles, Practices and Processes* and *Engineering Handbook*.

Embankment slopes proposed in compensatory storage areas must reasonably conform to the natural slopes adjacent to the disturbed area. The use of vertical retaining structures constructed of concrete, brick, block or other like-material is specifically prohibited. The use of crib walls with bioengineered fascines may be approved on a case-by-case basis.

### 1.5 Wetland Policy

The City of Columbus supports the preservation of existing wetlands and values the stormwater benefits that they provide. Wetlands have been determined to provide flood and storm control by the hydrologic absorption and storage capacity; pollution treatment by nutrient uptake from wetland plants and the filtering of silt and organic matter by settlement; protection of subsurface water resources by recharging ground water supplies; and wildlife habitat in nesting areas, feeding grounds, and cover for many species including migratory waterfowl, rare, threatened, or endangered wildlife species.

Jurisdictional and isolated wetlands on development sites shall be delineated by a qualified professional as required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA). Wetland boundaries shall be mapped in an acceptable electronic format and submitted to the Division of Sewerage and Drainage. Copies of all permit applications and any associated wetland mitigation plans shall also be submitted to the Division of Sewerage and Drainage with the Stormwater Management Report. The City may not approve stormwater management reports or plans prior to receipt of copies of approved Federal (404) and State (401) permits if the permits are required.

Where wetlands protected under federal or state law are located partially within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone, the Stream Corridor Protection Zone shall be extended to include the full extent of the wetland area plus any setback from the wetland required by a Section 404 permit.

For impacted wetlands that fall outside the Stream Corridor Protection Zone, the City encourages the mitigation of proposed impacts to occur within the limits of the development site but not outside the HUC-14 subwatershed. To encourage onsite/intra-watershed wetland mitigation, the City will consider the location of mitigation projects within the Stream Corridor Protection Zones of properties that are located adjacent to a tributary stream provided that:
1. Impacts to isolated wetlands and associated mitigation plans are approved/permited by the Corps and/or OEPA, and

2. Wetlands constructed for Section 404/401 mitigation purposes are not used to serve as a stormwater Best Management Practice (BMP) to treat onsite stormwater runoff.

The stormwater system design for the project shall provide that the predevelopment quantity and quality of stormwater flows directed to any protected wetlands is maintained. Constructed wetlands (including bio-retention basins) shall not be considered subject to these requirements. Existing wetlands shall not be used for stormwater management or stormwater runoff quality treatment of the development site.

Figure 1-1
Downtown District Boundary per City Code 3359.03
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Section 2
Stormwater Conveyance

This section describes the criteria and methodologies that shall be used to plan and design stormwater conveyance systems within the City of Columbus. Subsections include:

2.1 General Criteria
2.2 Hydrology Requirements
2.3 Design of Minor Stormwater Conveyance Systems
2.4 Design of Major Stormwater Routing Systems

2.1 General Criteria

The City’s stormwater management goals are to prevent hazardous or detrimental flooding, streambank erosion, and water quality degradation that may result from stormwater runoff from development and redevelopment projects. This section presents general criteria for meeting this goal.

2.1.1 Offsite Tributary Area

Stormwater runoff from offsite upstream tributary areas that discharge to or across a development site shall be accommodated within the stormwater facilities planned for the development site. No stormwater management plans will be approved until it is demonstrated that offsite runoff will be adequately conveyed through the development site in a manner that will not cause or contribute to hazardous or detrimental upstream and downstream flooding and erosion. The estimation of the offsite flows must be done separately from the estimation of onsite flows (i.e., separate hydrographs for offsite areas must be determined).

2.1.2 Onsite Stormwater Conveyance

Stormwater runoff generated from the proposed development site shall be accommodated, in addition to offsite flows, within the stormwater facilities planned for the development. Onsite stormwater runoff shall be conveyed through the development site to adequate stormwater control facilities designed in accordance with the requirements specified in Section 3 of the Manual. No stormwater management plans will be approved until it is demonstrated that onsite runoff will not cause flooding within the development site for the designated design storm.
2.1.3 Downstream Analysis

Onsite stormwater systems must discharge to one of the following offsite stormwater systems:

1. A stream,
2. An open channel system (generally excluding roadside ditches),
3. A storm sewer system adequately sized for the intended flows, or
4. A combined sewer system, only if discharging stormwater into either a stream, open channel system, or storm sewer system, is not available.

If none of the four options above is feasible, then the Applicant must demonstrate that only sheet flow is being discharged with adequate quantity and quality controls in place, since concentrated flow may cause offsite erosion unless it is discharged into a conveyance system. In general, sheet flow occurs at the upstream extent of an overland flow path, rarely exceeding a length of 300 feet in mildly sloped, undeveloped areas. In developed areas, sheet flow lengths are typically no longer than 100 to 150 feet in pervious areas, and 50 to 75 feet in impervious areas. Flow that has become concentrated must be converted to sheet flow using a level spreader (see Section 2.3.6) or other similar device. Flow from drainage areas with overland flow paths greater then 300 feet must discharge into one of the five defined conveyance systems listed above.

The Applicant shall use one of the accepted hydrologic methods defined in Section 2.2.1, to demonstrate that the offsite stormwater system can convey existing offsite flows and projected onsite flows in a manner that does not increase downstream peak water surface elevations during the 1-year through the 100-year design storms and satisfies the various design criteria in the Manual. Downstream analysis shall be performed between the outlet of the onsite system and one of the following points:

1. The next increase in pipe diameter in an existing downstream storm sewer system,
2. The downstream face of the next bridge or culvert crossing in an open conveyance system (generally excluding roadside ditches), or
3. A point designated by the Administrator based upon known drainage issues in the downstream system.

In instances where it is determined that the existing downstream system(s) does not meet the criteria of the Manual, the Administrator will require that more stringent release rates from onsite detention facilities built for the development site be required, and/or require the Applicant to provide the necessary downstream improvements to satisfy the conditions of this section.

The following sources of information may be utilized to establish downstream tailwater conditions:

1. Previous studies that may be on file at the City,
2. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) and data, and/or
3. Calculations prepared by a Professional Engineer using standard engineering practice.

The Applicant must prepare a preliminary Stormwater Management Report (Section 6) that shall clearly show, through use of drawings, calculations, and narrative, how the proposed development project will comply with these requirements. One of the hydrologic calculation methods described in Section 2.2 must be used, and design criteria specified in the Manual shall be used to evaluate the offsite drainage systems of the same type.

2.1.4 Agricultural Field Tile Systems

Agricultural field tiles are for agricultural drainage purposes only and, in general, may not be used as an outlet of any development or stormwater facility except in instances where the field tile is the only available outlet of the site. Field tiles that are discovered or intercepted during construction and do not exhibit evidence of conveying septic effluent or other illicit discharge shall be reconnected or connected into the proposed stormwater system. Field tiles that exhibit evidence of conveying septic effluent shall not be used for stormwater conveyance and shall be reported upon discovery to the City Health Department for resolution. Field tiles that exhibit evidence of conveying any illicit discharge as defined by the City’s present NPDES Permit shall not be connected for stormwater conveyance and shall be reported upon discovery to the Department of Public Utilities.

Designers preparing plans for development on existing agricultural lands shall, at a minimum, contact the respective County Engineer’s office and local Soil and Water Conservation District to confirm the existence and location of existing tile systems. All visible field tile outlets and locations shall be field located and shown on the stormwater management plans. Any plan information for field tile systems received from county agencies shall also be shown.

In the event that a development proposes to discharge into an existing downstream field tile system on an adjacent property, the following requirements shall apply:

1. Runoff from the proposed development plus offsite flows currently entering the field tile system must be restricted to no more than the development’s “fair-share” of full-flow hydraulic capacity of the field tile system for all storms up to and including the critical storm as defined in Section 3.2. The development’s “fair-share” of the full-flow tile capacity is defined as the ratio of the development’s tributary area to the total area tributary to the field tile system at the point of discharge. In no instance shall the release rate for any storm, up to and including the critical storm, exceed the 1-year predevelopment rate. Full-flow capacity, based upon the entire tributary area,
shall be determined through a field survey and hydraulic evaluation of the receiving tile system to the nearest open watercourse.

2. An easement or other written owner agreement(s), as necessary, (such as making improvements to the downstream system) with the downstream owner is required for discharges to “private” (i.e., non-petitioned) field tile systems.

### 2.1.5 Stormwater System Diversions

The diversion of stormwater runoff from one watershed or receiving stormwater system to another is generally prohibited because such diversions have the potential to cause or exacerbate flooding, erosion, or water quality problems in receiving watercourses. For the purposes of the Manual, stormwater diversions are defined as the relocation of stormwater discharges from original receiving streams or stormwater systems to other systems that did not receive such discharges prior to construction. While it is recognized that stormwater runoff from small, onsite, tributary areas must be conveyed between catch basin subcatchments, the City will not allow the diversion of stormwater runoff from one major storm sewer system or open watercourse to another without proper documentation that includes proof of benefit and public comment. Stormwater system diversions between streams shall be considered on a case-by-case basis under circumstances where it can be shown that flooding and erosion will not increase and benefits to each watercourse can be achieved as a result of diverted flows. The diversion of any stormwater runoff from one stormwater system or watercourse to another shall be at the sole discretion of the Administrator or his/her designee.

### 2.2 Hydrology Requirements

The hydrology requirements provided in the Manual shall be used to determine the volume and discharge rate of stormwater from land areas. All Applicants shall satisfy the requirements of this section.

#### 2.2.1 Acceptable Hydrologic Methods/Models

**Tables 2-1 and 2-2** indicate which method must be used to design various components of the stormwater system. In general, the peak flow calculation methods (the maximum runoff flow rates at a given point as a result of a storm event) presented in Section 2.2.3 shall be used for designing conveyances serving areas less than 200 acres (e.g., stream crossings, storm sewer systems, small open channels, swales, roadside ditches, overland flow, shallow concentrated flow, roadway curbs, and storm sewer inlets). The City allows three methods for calculating stormwater runoff peak flows:

1. The Rational Method described in Section 2.2.3.1,
2. USGS Regression Equations (limited to analysis of stream crossings draining more than 17 acres) described in Section 2.2.3.2, and

3. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS, formerly the Soil Conservation Service or SCS) Curve Number method described in Section 2.2.3.3.

The fundamental hydrologic components defined in Section 2.2.2 shall be used in each of these methods.

Hydrograph methods better account for the timing of runoff in larger watersheds and storage provided by detention facilities and/or floodplains. Therefore the hydrograph methods presented in Section 2.2.4 may be used to size any drainage component, but must be used for downstream analysis and to design detention facilities. Section 2.2.4 defines acceptable hydrograph methods. Information regarding the water quality volume and water quality flow used to design stormwater quality management facilities is provided in Section 3.3.2.

**Table 2-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Manual Section</th>
<th>Rational Method (Section 2.2.3.1)</th>
<th>Regression Equations (Section 2.2.3.2)</th>
<th>NRCS (SCS) Curve Number Method (Section 2.2.3.3)</th>
<th>Approved Hydrograph Method (Section 2.2.4)</th>
<th>Water Quality Volume/Flow (Section 3.3.2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storm Sewers</td>
<td>2.3.1</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb Inlets &amp; Catch Basins</td>
<td>2.3.2</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culverts for Constructed Open Watercourses</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culverts Constructed for Streams</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructed Open Watercourses</td>
<td>2.3.7</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Analysis</td>
<td>2.1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention Basins for Quantity Control</td>
<td>3.2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Controls</td>
<td>3.3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 For new culvert or culvert replacements developed under City CIP projects only.
Table 2-2
Constraints to Using Recommended Hydrologic Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Size Limitation</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak Flow Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rational Method</td>
<td>Up to 200 acres</td>
<td>Method can be used for estimating peak flows and the design of small conveyance systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regression Equations</td>
<td>Between 17 and 2600 acres with defined channels</td>
<td>Method can be used for estimating peak flows along streams. More specific size limitations are outlined in each of the USGS reports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NRCS (SCS) Curve Number Method</td>
<td>Peak flow for areas 200 to 640 acres(^2)</td>
<td>Method can be used for estimating peak flows and the design of larger conveyance systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved Hydrograph Methods</td>
<td>All drainage area sizes</td>
<td>Method can be used for estimating peak flows and hydrographs for all design applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality</td>
<td>Limits set for each Structural Control</td>
<td>Method used for calculating the Water Quality Volume (WQ(_v))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Hydrologic Components

2.2.2.1 Rainfall

Rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curves for Central Ohio\(^3\) (Figure 2-1) shall be used in conjunction with the appropriate hydrologic method and/or model defined in Sections 2.2.3 and 2.2.4 to determine design runoff volumes and intensities. In general, these curves shall be used directly where the rational formula is appropriate to calculate runoff. Design rainfall hyetographs distributed over a 24-hour period with the SCS Type II distribution are presented in Table 2-3. The 24-hour Type II rainfall distribution represents design rainfall intensities over a time of concentration range typical of a small urban watershed, coupled with wet antecedent conditions at the time of peak rainfall intensity.

\(^2\) Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission, Stormwater Design Manual, 1977
\(^3\) Bonnin, Martin, Lin, Parzybok, Yetkta, Riley, NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Version 3, 2004
Figure 2-1

Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Curves
Ohio (39.972 N, 83.01 W, 744 Feet)
### Table 2-3

**Type II SCS Design Storm Hyetograph**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>Type II Mass Curve</th>
<th>Delta Rain</th>
<th>Frequency: 100-yr 50-yr 25-yr 10-yr 5-yr 2-yr 1-yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Duration: 24-hr 24-hr 24-hr 24-hr 24-hr 24-hr 24-hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Depth (in): 5.63 5.02 4.44 3.74 3.24 2.63 2.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Type II 24-Hour Distribution Rainfall (in)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hr)</th>
<th>Rainfall (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:15</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:30</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:45</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:45</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:45</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:15</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:45</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Type II SCS Design Storm Hyetograph

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type II Mass Curve</th>
<th>Delta Rain</th>
<th>Frequency: 24-Hour Distribution</th>
<th>Rainfall (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100-yr 24-hr</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50-yr 24-hr</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25-yr 24-hr</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10-yr 24-hr</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-yr 24-hr</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-yr 24-hr</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-yr 24-hr</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hour</td>
<td></td>
<td>Depth (in):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>0.6632 0.2762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.0438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:15</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:45</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:15</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:45</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:15</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:15</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:45</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:15</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:30</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:45</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:15</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:45</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:15</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:30</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:45</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:00</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:15</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:30</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:45</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:00</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:15</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:30</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:45</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:00</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:15</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:30</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:45</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23:00</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23:15</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23:30</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23:45</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.2.2 Time of Concentration

A time of concentration shall be calculated for each drainage structure that is designed. This time of concentration relates the maximum amount of flow coming from any watershed to the amount of time it takes for the entire watershed to be contributing flow to the point of interest. Although some places in a watershed are “hydraulically” closer to the point of discharge than others, peak flow generation calculations with the Rational Method (Section 2.2.3.1) shall consider only the most hydraulically remote location in the largest drainage area contributing to the point of discharge. Time of concentration is defined by the amount of time it takes for the first drop of water from this location to reach the discharge point.4

The time of concentration (tₖ) shall be calculated as the summation of overland flow time (tₒ), the time of shallow concentrated flow (tₛ), and the time of pipe or open channel flow (tₚ). The minimum time of concentration shall be five (5) minutes. Time of concentration calculations shall be based on the ultimate buildout land use for the tributary area. The time of concentration calculations shall assume that upstream, offsite, undeveloped areas will be served by storm sewers with a design flow velocity of 3.5 feet/sec.

Overland Flow or Sheet Flow
Overland flow, or sheet flow, is defined as flow that maintains a uniform depth across a sloping surface with no discernible channel. In general, sheet flow occurs at the upstream extent of an overland flow path, rarely exceeding a length of 300 feet in mildly sloped, undeveloped areas. In developed areas, sheet flow lengths are typically no longer than 100 feet in pervious areas, and 50 to 75 feet in impervious areas. The overland flow time shall be calculated using Manning’s kinematic equation5:

\[
tₒ = \frac{0.007(nL)^{0.8}}{P₂^{0.5}S^{0.4}}
\]

where

\[
tₒ = \text{Time of overland flow (hr)},
\]
\[
n = \text{Manning’s roughness coefficient for sheet flow}:
\]
\[
L = \text{Flow length (ft)}
\]
\[
P₂ = 2\text{-year, 24-hour rainfall (in)}
\]
\[
s = \text{Slope of hydraulic grade line (land slope, ft/ft)}
\]

---

Table 2-4 gives Manning’s $n$ values for sheet flow for various surface conditions. These $n$ values are for very shallow flow depths less than or equal to 0.1 foot.

Table 2-4
Roughness Coefficients (Manning’s “$n$”) for Sheet Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Description</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smooth surfaces (concrete, asphalt, gravel, or bare soil)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallow (no residue)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated soils:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue cover ≤ 20%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue cover &gt; 20%</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short grass prairie</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense grasses(^2)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermuda grass</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (natural)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light underbrush</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense underbrush</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The $n$ values are a composite of information compiled by Engman (1986).
2. Includes species such as weeping lovegrass, bluegrass, buffalo grass, blue grama grass, and native grass mixtures.
3. When selecting $n$, consider cover to a height of about 0.1 ft. This is the only part of the plant cover that will obstruct sheet flow.

**Shallow Concentrated Flow**
Beyond the maximum overland flow length defined in the previous section, sheet flow becomes concentrated flow and must be conveyed by a storm sewer, drainage ditch, or natural channel. The average velocity for shallow concentrated flow shall be determined from Figure 3-1 of SCS TR-55\(^6\), in which average velocity is a function of watercourse slope and type of channel. For slopes less than 0.005 ft/ft, use equations given in Appendix F of SCS TR-55.

**Pipe or Open Channel Flow**
The velocity of flow in an open channel or pipe shall be estimated using the Manning’s Equation. The travel time for both shallow concentrated flow and open channel or pipe flow is calculated as follows\(^7\):

$$t_s \text{ or } t_d = \frac{L}{60V}$$

where:

- $t_s$ = Travel time for shallow concentrated flow in minutes
- $t_d$ = Travel time for open channel or pipe flow in minutes

---


\(^7\) Ohio Department of Transportation, *Location and Design Manual, Volume 2, Drainage Design*
2.2.2.3 Soil Variables

The hydrologic soil group (HSG) associated with soils on the project site prior to development shall be defined by Table 16 – Soil and Water Features\(^8\) of the latest edition of the “Soil Survey of Franklin County”. A table of the HSGs for the United States soil classifications is also provided in Appendix A of SCS TR-55\(^9\). Pertinent figures, tables, and infiltration parameters characterizing the soils native to the project site and the soils that will be re-graded, compacted or otherwise altered to a degree that changes their hydrologic characteristics shall be included in the Stormwater Management Report prepared for the project. Designers should be aware that hydrologic characteristics of soils on a given site can change significantly as a result of grading and compaction during construction. The use of different hydrologic soil groups that reflect the changes in post construction soil hydrology shall be considered when determining runoff estimates for post construction conditions.

2.2.3 Peak Flow Calculation Methods/Models

In general, peak flow calculation methods shall be used to design the stormwater conveyance systems or flow-through type water quality best management practices within a development. The following sections describe peak flow calculation methods acceptable for use within Columbus.

2.2.3.1 Rational Method

The rational method shall be used to estimate runoff from drainage areas smaller than 200 acres. Its use shall be limited to the evaluation and design of storm sewer systems, small open channels, swales, roadside ditches, overland flow, shallow concentrated flow, roadway curbs, and storm sewer inlets. Design discharge, “Q” is obtained from the equation:

\[
Q = fCIA
\]

where:

- \(Q\) = Discharge in cubic feet per second
- \(C\) = Coefficient of runoff, see Table 2-5. An average \(C\) is to be computed based on the percentage of each land use within the drainage area
- \(f\) = \(C\) value correction factor for the design storm, listed in footnote 7 of Table 2-5
- \(I\) = Average rainfall intensity in inches per hour from Figure 2-1 for a given storm frequency and a duration equal to the time of concentration
- \(A\) = Drainage area in acres

---

\(^8\) United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Soil Survey of Franklin County, February 1980

### Table 2-5
Runoff Coefficients “C” for Typical Land Uses in Columbus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Type and Hydrologic Condition</th>
<th>Average percent impervious area (5)</th>
<th>Runoff Coefficient for Hydrologic Soil Group (7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established) (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc)</td>
<td>Poor (grass cover, 50%)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair (grass cover 50% to 75%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good (grass cover &gt;75%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial and business (TND – TC) (6)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Districts by Average Lot Size (6):</td>
<td>Multi-family (TND – NG)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/12 to 1/6 acre lots (TND – NG)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/8 acre (TND – NE)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/4 acre</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/2 acre</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 acre</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undeveloped or agricultural lands(1)</td>
<td>Cultivated Land:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without conservation treatment</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With conservation treatment</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pasture, grassland, or range – continuous forage for grazing (2)</td>
<td>Hydrologic condition:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meadow – continuous grass, protected from grazing and generally mowed for hay.</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brush – brush-weed-grass mixture with brush the major element. (3)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woods. (4)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farmsteads – buildings, lanes, driveways, and surrounding lots.</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

NA – Method to derive value is not applicable for curve number values less than 40.

(1) Average runoff condition, and Ia=0.2s.

(2) Poor: <50% ground cover or heavily grazed with no mulch.

Fair: 50 to 76% ground cover and not heavily grazed.

Good: >75% ground cover and lightly or only occasionally grazed.

(3) Poor: <50% ground cover.

Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover.

Good: >75% ground cover.

(4) Poor: Forest litter, small trees, and brush are destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning.

Fair: Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil.

Good: Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil.

(5) The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CN’s which were then used to derive runoff coefficient values. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a runoff coefficient of 0.94 (or CN of 98), and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition.

(6) Acres for zoning of residential districts are as follows:

• TND – TC: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Town Center
• TND – NC: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Neighborhood Center
• TND – NG: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Neighborhood General
• TND – NE: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Neighborhood Edge

(7) These runoff coefficients were calculated from CN’s drawn from the NRCS (SCS) Peak Discharge Method from TR-55 assuming a 10-year, 24-hour storm. For larger design storms, the runoff coefficients should be increased using the following C value correction factors:

1.0 for the 10-year design storm and less

1.1 for the 25-year design storm

1.2 for the 50-year design storm

1.3 for the 100-year design storm
The coefficient of runoff is expressed as a dimensionless decimal value that estimates the percentage of rainfall that becomes runoff. The residential runoff coefficients in Table 2-5 shall be used for runoff projections using the rational formula. Runoff coefficients used to project onsite flows for multi-family, commercial, and industrial type developments must be calculated based on the actual impervious surface amounts planned for the development site. The estimation of offsite flows may be determined using the appropriate runoff coefficient for the undeveloped land uses and/or the categorical development types (residential, commercial, and industrial) listed in Table 2-5.

2.2.3.2 Regression Equations

The regression equations presented in USGS Report 93-135 is an accepted method for estimating design peak-discharge values for streams with drainage areas between 17 and 2600 acres. The application of this method is limited to the estimation of peak discharges for City funded culvert installation and replacement projects. The following equations shall be used for the various design storms:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_2 &= 155 (A)^{0.68} (P-30)^{0.5} (13-BDF)^{-0.5} \\
Q_5 &= 200 (A)^{0.71} (P-30)^{0.63} (13-BDF)^{-0.44} \\
Q_{10} &= 228 (A)^{0.74} (P-30)^{0.68} (13-BDF)^{-0.41} \\
Q_{25} &= 265 (A)^{0.76} (P-30)^{0.72} (13-BDF)^{-0.37} \\
Q_{50} &= 293 (A)^{0.78} (P-30)^{0.74} (13-BDF)^{-0.35} \\
Q_{100} &= 321 (A)^{0.79} (P-30)^{0.76} (13-BDF)^{-0.33}
\end{align*}
\]

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_N &= \text{peak discharge rate in cfs}, \\
A &= \text{the drainage area in square miles}, \\
P &= \text{average annual precipitation in inches} = 37 \text{ inches for Columbus, and} \\
BDF &= \text{the basin development factor}.
\end{align*}
\]

The basin development factor (BDF) is determined by subdividing the drainage basin into thirds (lower, middle, and upper) with two lines drawn across the basin that are perpendicular to the main channel and principal tributaries. Four aspects of the drainage system are then evaluated within each third of the basin and assigned a value of 1 or 0:

1. **Channel improvements** include any straightening, enlarging, deepening, and clearing made in the main drainage channel and principal tributaries. If at least 50 percent of the upstream channels in the basin are improved, then a value of 1 is assigned.

---

10 United States Geological Survey, USGS Report 93-135 *Estimation of Peak-Frequency Relations, Flood Hydrographs, and Volume-Duration-Frequency Relations of Ungaged Small Streams in Ohio*
2. **Channel linings** include any length of the main drainage channels and principal tributaries that have been lined with an impervious material such as concrete. A value of 1 is assigned if at least 50 percent of the upstream channels have been lined.

3. **Storm drains or storm sewers** are defined as enclosed drainage structures (usually pipes) frequently used on secondary tributaries where drainage is received directly from streets or parking lots. A value of 1 is then assigned when more than 50 percent of the upstream secondary tributaries consist of storm drains.

4. **Curb and gutter streets** frequently empty into storm drains. If more than 50 percent of the upstream basin is developed with streets and highways constructed with curbs and gutters, then a value of 1 will be assigned.

Table 2-6 provides an example for calculating the overall BDF for the entire basin that has channel improvements throughout, no channel linings, and storm drains with curb and gutter streets in the lower 2/3rds of the basin:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portion of Basin</th>
<th>Channel Improvements</th>
<th>Channel Linings</th>
<th>Storm Drains</th>
<th>Curb &amp; Gutter Streets</th>
<th>Basin Development Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower 1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle 1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper 1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3.3 The NRCS (SCS) Curve Number Method

The NRCS (SCS) Curve Number method, developed in 1969, partitions the total depth of rainfall into initial abstractions, retention, and effective rainfall. This method shall be used for areas larger than 200 acres. Worksheets 2 through 6 are available in the TR-55 publication and are acceptable methods for showing calculations described in this and other applicable sections. The following equation 11 is used to estimate runoff:

\[ Q = \frac{(P-I_a)^2}{(P-I_a) + S} \]

where:

- \( Q \) = runoff depth (in)
- \( P \) = rainfall (in)
- \( S \) = potential maximum retention after runoff begins (in) = 1000/CN-10,

---

CN= runoff curve number, and
Ia = initial abstraction (in) = 0.2 * S

CN values range between 0 and 100, while practical CN values range from 30 to 98 where larger values are associated with more impervious land surface. Soil groups are classified by NRCS into four hydrologic groups: Groups A, B, C, and D. Group A soils have high infiltration rates while Group D soils have low infiltration rates. Table 2-7 (adapted from SCS) shall be used to define curve numbers for normal antecedent moisture conditions (Type II) for various land uses and soil classifications. The residential curve numbers in Table 2-7 shall be used for runoff projections using the SCS method. Curve numbers used to project onsite flows for multi-family, commercial, and industrial type developments must be calculated based on the actual impervious surface amounts planned for the development site. For example, an area with a directly connected impervious area (DCIA) of 70 percent with good grass cover on hydrologic soil group D soils would have the following curve number:

\[
CN = CN_{\text{impervious}} \times \% \text{ Imperviousness} + CN_{\text{pervious}} \times (1 - \% \text{ imperviousness})
\]

\[
= 98 \times 0.7 + 80 \times (1-0.7)
\]

\[
= 93
\]

The estimation of offsite flows may be determined using the appropriate curve numbers for the undeveloped land uses and/or the categorical development types (residential, commercial, and industrial) listed in Table 2-7. Additional information regarding the use of SCS’s runoff curve number method is available in Technical Release 55 – Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds.

The peak rate of runoff is then calculated as:

\[
q_p = q_u A_m Q F_p
\]

where:

\[
q_p = \text{peak discharge (cfs)}
\]

\[
q_u = \text{unit peak discharge (csm/in) (see Figure 2-2)}
\]

\[
A_m = \text{drainage area (mi}^2\text{)}
\]

\[
Q = \text{runoff depth (in)}
\]

\[
F_p = \text{pond and swamp adjustment factor (see Table 2-8)}
\]
Table 2-7
Runoff Curve Numbers (CN) for Typical Land Uses in Columbus (SCS, 1986 except as noted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Type and Hydrologic Condition</th>
<th>Average percent impervious area (6)</th>
<th>Curve Numbers for Hydrologic Soil Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established) (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious areas:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. (excluding right-of-way)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor condition (grass cover, 50%)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good condition (grass cover &gt;75%)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial and business (TND – TC) (7)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Districts by Average Lot Size (7):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family (TND – NC) (9)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12 to 1/6 acre lots (TND – NG) (9)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8 acre (TND – NE)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4 acre</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 acre</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 acre</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undeveloped or agricultural lands (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated Land:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without conservation treatment</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With conservation treatment</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture, grassland, or range – continuous forage for grazing. (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrologic condition:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadow – continuous grass, protected from grazing and generally mowed for hay. --</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush – brush-weed-grass mixture with brush the major element. (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods. (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmsteads – buildings, lanes, driveways, and surrounding lots. --</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) Average runoff conditions, and $I_a=0.2s$.
(2) Poor: <50% ground cover or heavily grazed with no mulch.
Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover and not heavily grazed.
Good: >75% ground cover and lightly or only occasionally grazed.
(3) Poor: <50% ground cover.
Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover.
Good: >75% ground cover.
(4) Actual curve number is less than 30; use CN=30 for runoff computations.
(5) Poor: Forest litter, small trees, and brush are destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning.
Fair: Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil.
Good: Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil.
(6) The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CN’s. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98, and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition.
(7) Acronyms for zoning of residential districts are as follows:
  TND – TC: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Town Center
  TND – NC: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Neighborhood Center
  TND – NG: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Neighborhood General
  TND – NE: Traditional Neighborhood Development – Neighborhood Edge.
(8) Source: SCS National Engineering Handbook, Section 4, Hydrology, Chapter 9, August 1972.
(9) Source: Curve numbers were calculated based upon percent of impervious area.
Table 2-8
Adjustment Factor ($F_p$) for Ponds and Swamps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of pond and swamp areas</th>
<th>$F_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Per TR-55 pgs. 4-1-4-2, Include Adjustment Factor $F_p$ if pond and swamp areas are spread throughout the watershed and are not considered in the $T_c$ computation.)
2.2.4 Acceptable Runoff Hydrograph Development Methods

Peak flow methods are not appropriate for designing stormwater detention basins, evaluating downstream impacts on streams, and designing major conveyances with drainage areas larger than 200 acres. In these cases, the City requires that a hydrograph be developed and routed through the system to support design and/or evaluation. In addition, hydrograph methods may be used to design other elements of the drainage system as part of a comprehensive hydrologic/hydraulic evaluation supported by computer models or other appropriate means. Designs using hydrograph methods shall be accepted if the results are presented in the format defined in the Manual for peak flow calculations.

Several methodologies are available for defining runoff hydrographs and routing them through the drainage system. The City will accept the unit hydrograph methodology presented in this section, and may accept other equivalent methods if supported by proper documentation and a demonstrated record of successful application for drainage system design. Furthermore, hydrograph methods are generally provided by common engineering computer software, such as the NRCS TR-20, the US Army COE HEC-1 models and U.S. EPA SWMM, which may be allowed if the model results are presented in the format defined in the Manual.

2.2.4.1 Rainfall Hyetographs
All runoff hydrographs shall be based upon a design storm hyetograph defined using the 24-hour design storm rainfall volumes for the City of Columbus extracted from Figure 2-1, and the 24-hour SCS Type II rainfall distribution. These design rainfall hyetographs for the various design storms referenced in the Manual are provided in Table 2-3.

2.2.4.2 Abstractions from Rainfall
For each catchment, abstractions from rainfall must be determined for each 15-minute rainfall volume within this hyetograph. Abstractions are comprised of depression storage and infiltration into the soil, and shall be based upon the soil and land cover characteristics of the catchment. The initial abstraction at the beginning of the design storm shall be based upon average soil moisture conditions. Changes in abstractions shall be tracked during the storm event as available depression storage and soil infiltration capacity is filled. The NRCS curve number methodology presented in Section 2.2.3.3 is accepted by the City for defining rainfall abstractions. Other methods, including the Green-Ampt and Horton’s methods, for determining the change in soil infiltration during a precipitation event may be used with appropriate documentation at the discretion of the City.

2.2.4.3 Unit Hydrographs
A unit hydrograph is the hydrograph of direct runoff that results from one inch of excess rainfall generated uniformly over a watershed at a constant rate during a specified time. The City will accept the SCS dimensionless unit hydrograph as the basis for developing runoff hydrographs.

---

This method uses the table at the right, in conjunction with the following equations, to develop a unit runoff hydrograph from each catchment for each 15 minute rainfall increment within the SCS Type II distribution:

\[ t_p = 0.666 \times t_c \]

and

\[ Q_p = P_e \times 484 \times \frac{A}{t_p} \]

where:

- \( t_p \) = time to peak, hours
- \( t_c \) = time of concentration, hours, from Section 2.2.2.2
- \( Q_p \) = peak flow rate from one inch of excess rainfall, cfs
- \( P_e \) = excess rainfall during the 15 minute rainfall increment, in.
- \( A \) = watershed area, \( \text{mi}^2 \)

The total hydrograph responding to the SCS Type II rainfall hyetograph from the catchment is determined by adding the individual unit hydrographs determined using the previous equation. The City will accept calculations based on computer models that use the SCS unit hydrograph method to develop runoff hydrographs. In addition, the City will consider use of alternative methods for developing runoff hydrographs, including the Snyder and Clark unit hydrograph methods included in the US Army COE HEC-1 model, and the kinematic wave method included in the US Army COE HEC-1 model and U.S. EPA SWMM.

### 2.3 Design of Minor Stormwater Conveyance Systems

Flooding is a natural phenomenon accommodated within natural drainage systems. During rain-fall events of small to moderate size, stormwater runoff is contained within the banks, or the bankfull channel, of streams. During larger, less frequent storms, runoff overflows the channel banks into the surrounding floodplain. As areas develop, portions of the natural drainage system are often replaced with underground storm sewers sized to collect and convey runoff from small to moderate storms. Properly designed developments will use streets or swales as a major storm conveyance system to convey runoff from larger, less frequent storms to the open channel drainage system.

Effective drainage system design depends upon how frequently the capacity of the minor storm conveyance system should be exceeded, and how severe the impact of flooding would be within the major storm conveyance system. Frequency is expressed...
as a probability of occurrence in any given year. For example, the 100-year design storm event is defined as a storm that has a 1% chance of occurring in any given year. While a 100-year storm event could occur more frequently than once in every 100 years, over a very long period of time the frequency of a storm of this magnitude occurring averages to once in a hundred years.

Table 2-9 and Table 2-10 provide a summary of the hydraulic design requirements for conveyance infrastructure discussed in this section.

On roadways with multiple through lanes in each direction, or one direction on a one-way roadway, one driving lane in each direction must be free of water. If there is only one through lane in each direction, or one direction on a one-way roadway, then the Check Storm Spread must be the same as the Maximum Design Spread. Stormwater spread on shoulders, full-time parking lanes, and other paved roadside areas and non-traffic lanes is permitted to be full width.
### Table 2-9
Pavement Spread Criteria (Stormwater Drainage Manual Section 2.3.2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Classification</th>
<th>Design Speed</th>
<th>Design Storm Frequency</th>
<th>Maximum Design Spread**</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate Highways</td>
<td></td>
<td>Refer to ODOT L&amp;D Manual Volume 2, Section 1103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeways and Expressways</td>
<td>≥ 45 mph</td>
<td>10-Year</td>
<td>4 Feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Non Interstate)</td>
<td>&lt; 45 mph</td>
<td>10-Year</td>
<td>8 Feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>50-Year</td>
<td>Meet associated spread for mph</td>
<td>Applies at underpasses and sag points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Arterial (ADT &gt; 10,000)</td>
<td>≥ 45 mph</td>
<td>10-Year</td>
<td>4 Feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 45 mph</td>
<td>10-Year</td>
<td>2-Lanes, 6 Feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-Lanes (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>25-Year</td>
<td>Meet associated spread for mph</td>
<td>Applies at underpasses and sag points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial and Collectors</td>
<td>≥ 45 mph</td>
<td>5-Year</td>
<td>4 Feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ADT 3,501 – 10,000)</td>
<td>&lt; 45 mph</td>
<td>5-Year</td>
<td>2-Lanes, 6 Feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-Lanes (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>10-Year</td>
<td>Meet associated spread for mph</td>
<td>Applies at underpasses and sag points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locals (ADT ≤ 3,500), Other Parking and Development Areas</td>
<td>&lt; 35 mph</td>
<td>2-year</td>
<td>May not exceed Crown Elevation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 35 mph</td>
<td>5-year</td>
<td>May not exceed Crown Elevation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES TO DESIGNER:**

(1) On roadways with multiple through lanes in each direction, or one direction on a one-way roadway, one through travel lane in each direction must be free of water. Stormwater spread on shoulders, full-time parking lanes, and other paved roadside areas and non-traffic lanes is permitted to be full width of that designated pavement area.

(2) Spread is considered the encroachment of ponding water in the through travel lane. The allowable depth of water on a roadway, within the design spread, shall be 1” below the top of curb or 5” maximum (i.e., no overtopping of curb allowed). 6” is permissible when a barrier shape is provided adjacent to the pavement.

(3) Travel lanes are defined for noted street classifications as follows:
- A-Freeways and Expressways - Divided highway with 12-feet travel lanes
- B-Major Arterial - Minimum travel lane 11-Feet, refer to (STD DWG, 2110, 2115, 2120, and 2125)
- C-Minor Arterials and Collectors - Minimum travel lane 10-Feet, refer to (STD DWG, 2110 and 2115)
- D-Locals - Minimum travel lane 9-Feet (centered over pavement crown), refer to (STD DWG, 2100, 2105 and 2110)

(4) Other pavement spread computation requirements:
- roughness coefficient (n) = 0.015 to be shown on spread computation table or worksheet
- show allowable spread from above Table on spread computation table or worksheet

(5) Rainfall intensities shall be consistent with Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Curves in the current City of Columbus Stormwater Drainage Manual.

(6) ODOT CDSS Program is acceptable for use in City of Columbus Spread calculation submittals; however, if ODOT CDSS is NOT used, note (5) above prevails.

Define and design travel lane configuration in accordance with the requirements herein. Any project specific variations to defined travel lanes...
Table 2-10
Storm Sewers, Culverts, Level Spreaders, and Open Watercourses
Design Criteria (Manual Sections 2.3.1, 2.3.3, 2.3.6 and 2.3.7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Classification</th>
<th>Storm Sewers</th>
<th>Culverts 13</th>
<th>Level Spreaders</th>
<th>Open Watercourses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Storm</td>
<td>Check Storm</td>
<td>10-year 25-year</td>
<td>50-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeways and Expressways ≥ 4-Lanes (Non-Interstate)</td>
<td>10-year</td>
<td>25-year</td>
<td>50-year</td>
<td>Designed to carry the peak rate of runoff from a 10-year, 24-hour frequency storm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Arterial (ADT &gt; 10,000)</td>
<td>10-year</td>
<td>25-year</td>
<td>25-year</td>
<td>Those used for major storm routing shall be designed to convey the 100-year, 24-hour storm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial and Collectors (ADT 3,501 – 10,000)</td>
<td>5-year</td>
<td>25-year</td>
<td>25-year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locals (ADT ≤ 3,500), Other Parking and Development Areas</td>
<td>2-year*</td>
<td>5-year*</td>
<td>10-year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Does not apply to storm sewer systems serving as outlets from detention facilities where flows are reduced per the City’s stormwater control criteria (See Section 3).

2.3.1 Storm Sewers

Storm sewer systems are designed to collect and carry stormwater runoff from the first pavement, ditch inlet, or catch basin to the predetermined outlet. Storm sewers shall generally follow the alignment of the roadway, increasing in size as necessary to accept the flow from a series of inlets. Existing drainage patterns should be perpetuated insofar as practicable, and storm sewer outlets shall be located to minimize the possibility of actionable damage for the diversion of substantial volumes of flow.

Storm sewer calculations shall be summarized onto a Storm Sewer Computation Sheet and a Storm Sewer Check Sheet, presented in Appendix C, for each proposed sewer run. These sheets shall be submitted to the City as part of the Stormwater Management Report (see Section 6).

2.3.1.1 Storm Sewer Hydrology Requirements

The Rational Method shall be used to size storm sewers, as described in Section 2.2.3.1. The City will also accept storm sewer designs based on hydrograph methods in Section 2.2.4 as long as the results are tabulated in the referenced storm sewer computation and check sheets (Appendix C).
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2.3.1.2 Storm Sewer Hydraulic Requirements

Pipe Sizing Criteria

All storm sewer systems shall be designed using Manning’s Equation:

\[ Q_f = \frac{(1.49/n) \cdot A \cdot R^{2/3} \cdot S^{1/2}}{ } \]

where:

- \( Q_f \) = Full flow capacity of the storm sewer (cfs)
- \( n \) = Manning’s roughness coefficient
- \( R \) = Hydraulic radius (feet)
  \[ = \frac{A}{P} \]
- \( A \) = Cross-sectional area (feet\(^2\))
- \( P \) = Wetted perimeter (feet)
- \( S \) = Slope of the conduit
  \[ = \frac{\text{vertical rise of the pipe (feet)}}{\text{length of the pipe (feet)}} \]

A Manning’s “n” or roughness coefficient of 0.012 per ODOT’s L&D Manual shall be used to design storm sewer systems for all City-approved pipe materials.

Table 2-10 specifies the design storm frequency that shall be used to size storm sewers for various types of roadways. Storm sewer sizes may need to be increased as necessary to meet the allowable spread requirements specified in Section 2.3.2.1.

Storm Sewer Layout Requirements

All storm sewer systems shall be deep enough to receive the flow from all possible nearby sources within the watershed. Crown elevations for storm sewers shall be matched at junctions where possible. If the outlet elevation permits, the crown of the outlet pipe may be lowered.

Unless located within City Right-of-Way, storm sewers that are to be privately maintained shall have a minimum pipe inside diameter of eight inches. Storm sewers located within the City Right-of-Way that connect a private storm sewer system to a storm sewer owned by the City shall have a minimum inside diameter of 12 inches. Storm sewers that are to be publicly owned and maintained shall have a minimum inside diameter of 12 inches.

Storm sewers shall be designed to operate under subcritical flow conditions at all times because flow transients and/or small blockages may cause storm sewers built on supercritical slopes to surcharge unexpectedly. Drop manholes or other drop structures shall be used to maintain a mild pipe slope where ground slopes are steeper than critical slope. The maximum length between access structures shall be as follows:

1. Pipes under 60 inches in diameter – 300 feet
2. Pipes 60 inches in diameter and larger - 500 feet
All storm sewers shall be centered in the middle of easements established according to criteria in Section 2.3.1.4.

Endwalls shall be provided at all storm sewer outlets and shall conform to the most current edition of the City’s Division of Sewerage and Drainage Standard Construction Drawings.

All storm sewers and their structures shall be kept away from building foundations or sanitary sewers as much as practicable to minimize stormwater inflow into these facilities. In instances where a proposed storm sewer will cross a sanitary sewer trench, watertight joints and trench dams shall be provided along the entire length of the proposed storm sewer from each manhole on either side of the crossing. If the storm and sanitary sewers are parallel and are within 5 feet of each other, water-tight joints and trench dams shall be installed along the entire run of the storm sewer until the distance between the storm sewer and sanitary sewer trenches exceed 5 feet.

Watertight joints and trench dams shall be specified for storm sewers that are to be located along side lot lines in a single family development site or where the trench limits of the storm sewer are to be within 10 feet of a building foundation. Trench limits for storm and sanitary sewers, as referenced herein, shall be defined as the minimum trench limits listed in the City’s Division of Sewerage and Drainage Standard Construction Drawings AA-S149, AA-S151, and AA-S153.

**Hydraulic Grade Line and Energy Loss Considerations**

The hydraulic grade line shall be calculated based on an observed or calculated tailwater depth in the receiving channel determined through downstream analysis or the following equation, whichever is greater:

\[ T_w = \frac{(d_c + D)}{2} \]

where:

- \( T_w \) = Tailwater depth (feet)
- \( d_c \) = Critical depth in the pipe (feet)
- \( D \) = Inside pipe diameter (feet)

The hydraulic grade line shall not exceed the window, grate, or casting elevation of any structure for the design storm frequency noted in Table 2-10.

Major energy losses within storm sewer systems are primarily caused by friction resistance between the fluid being conveyed and the pipe section conveying the flow. The following equation shall be used to calculate energy losses due to pipe friction:

\[ H_{major} = S_f * L = \left[ \frac{(Q_{HGL} * n)}{(1.486 * A * R^{2/3})} \right]^2 * L \]

where:

- \( H_{major} \) = Major energy loss due to friction (feet)
In addition to friction losses, localized disruptions to flow increase turbulence and cause energy losses within storm sewer systems. These disruptions, often caused by manholes or fittings, are generally called minor energy losses. Minor losses shall be calculated using the following equation:

\[ H_m = K \left( \frac{V^2}{2g} \right) \]

where:

- \( H_m \) = minor loss (feet)
- \( K \) = minor loss coefficient for the specific fitting
- \( V \) = velocity (feet/s)
- \( g \) = gravitational acceleration = 32.2 feet/s²

Accepted values for common minor loss coefficients are provided in Table 2-11. Applicants must use the appropriate minor loss coefficients, the appropriate design flow (\( Q_{HGL} \)) as determined from Table 2-10, and the following equation to check that the slope of the hydraulic grade line will not exceed the ground elevation:

\[ S_f = \left( \frac{Q_{HGL} \cdot n}{1.486 \cdot A^{2/3} \cdot R^{5/3}} \right)^2 + \frac{H_m}{L} \]

where:

- \( S_f \) = frictional slope (feet)
- \( Q_{HGL} \) = Design flow (cfs)
- \( n \) = Manning’s roughness coefficient
- \( A \) = cross-sectional area of the pipe (square feet)
- \( R \) = hydraulic radius (feet) = cross-sectional area of the pipe (A) / wetted perimeter (P)
- \( H_m \) = minor loss (feet)
- \( L \) = length of pipe (feet)

**Flow Velocity Criteria**

All storm sewers shall be designed and constructed to produce a minimum velocity of 3.0 feet per second (fps) when flowing full, unless it can be shown that this requirement cannot be met due to site conditions. In addition, storm sewers shall be designed for
subcritical flow conditions with a maximum velocity of 15 ft/sec. The outlet ends of all storm sewers shall be provided with sufficient energy dissipators and erosion protection to withstand the projected full-flow velocity from the pipe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type/Description of Structure</th>
<th>Coefficient K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet to manhole</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhole in straight section of closed conduit</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhole at a 45 degree bend</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhole at a 90 degree bend</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit closed conduit into lake (submerged)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit closed conduit to open channel (submerged)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit closed conduit to open channel (free discharge)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.1.3 Pipe Material, Bedding, Cover, and Encasement Requirements
All pipe material and accessories shall conform to the requirements in the CMSC and the stated requirements published in the current applicable ASTM standards and Manufacturers Specifications.

The cover, trench bedding and backfill design for all pipes in any street, highway, bikeway, or private road\(^1\) open to public travel shall conform to the requirements of the City’s CMSC Section 901 and the City’s Standard Construction Drawings. The bedding type (I or II) is specified in CMSC 901.11 for both rigid and flexible pipe.

The minimum height of cover from the outside top of pipe to the ground surface or pavement surface shall be 36 inches. In instances where the height of cover is less than 36 inches, the engineer of record shall provide one of the following alternatives: signed and sealed drawings by the pipe manufacturer as part of the design plans, Class A concrete encasement per CMSC 901.12 from structure to structure for accepted pipe materials and dimensions or reinforced concrete pipe provided proper class of concrete pipe is specified by the engineer of record. The following table is a guide for the class of pipe to use when the height of cover is 36 inches or less. In no case shall the height of cover be less than 12 inches.

---

Acceptable Class of Pipe When Height of Cover is 30 Inches or Less

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe Diameter (Inches)</th>
<th>Class of Pipe</th>
<th>Minimum D-Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 or Larger</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes for height of cover table


2. The installation type shall be Type 2.

3. The storm sewers in roadway and outside of roadway are designed for an AASHTO HS-20 live load condition.

4. The height of cover table assumes a soil density equal to 120 pounds per cubic foot.

2.3.1.4 Storm Sewer Easement Requirements
All storm sewers that are to be publicly owned and operated shall have a minimum easement of 20 feet centered on the sewer, or 5 feet beyond the minimum trench limits on either side of the trench (as specified in Standard Construction Drawings AA-S149, AA-S151, and AA-S153), whichever is greater. Additional easements shall also be provided along storm sewers within the public right-of-way but less than 10 feet from the right-of-way line. The added easement width shall be wide enough to provide a total access width (easement plus right-of-way) of 10 feet from the center of the storm sewer. Storm sewer easements shall be expanded to include ancillary structures such as end treatments, outfall protection, and level spreaders that are publicly owned and maintained. The width of easements shall include the area of the ancillary structure plus 10 feet around the structure’s perimeter.
2.3.2 Curb Inlets and Catch Basins

Stormwater inlets and catch basins direct surface runoff into a storm sewer system or culvert. The three types of stormwater inlet structures include curb inlets, catch basins, and combination inlets. Curb inlets consist of an opening in the side of a curb, catch basins are slotted inlets usually flush with the surrounding ground, and combination inlets have a curb opening and a catch basin with a slotted grate.

2.3.2.1 General Criteria

Inlets and catch basins shall be sized and spaced to restrict the spread of runoff along roadway surfaces and limit ponding in low areas. Table 2-9 summarizes the allowable spread of runoff on various classifications of roadways.

The rational method (see Section 2.2.3.1) and a minimum time of concentration of 5 minutes shall be used to determine the amount of runoff that will be collected by the proposed inlet structures. Hydraulic analyses used to size and space inlets and catch basins shall be based on the methods presented in (FHWA) Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 12 “Drainage of Highway Pavements” and Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 22 “Urban Drainage Design Manual.” Table 2-12 summarizes the dimensions of the inlets and catch basins that are provided in the City’s standard drawings. These dimensions may be used with the design aids (i.e., charts, graphs, nomographs, etc.) provided in the references cited above to assist in determining the capacity and spacing of the inlets and catch basins under different pavement and flow conditions.

### Table 2-12
City Catch Basin Grate and Curb Inlet Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Drawing</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Clear Opening Area, A (ft²)</th>
<th>Grate Length, L (ft)</th>
<th>Grate Width, W (ft)</th>
<th>Inlet Height (ft²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA-S115</td>
<td>Round Catch Basin</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S116</td>
<td>Round Catch Basin</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S123</td>
<td>42” Curb Inlet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S123</td>
<td>60” Curb Inlet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S126</td>
<td>Standard Curb Inlet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S128¹</td>
<td>Combination Curb and Gutter – Standard</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S133</td>
<td>Square Catch Basin</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S138</td>
<td>Round Catch Basin</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S139</td>
<td>Square Catch Basin</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S140</td>
<td>Rectangular Catch Basin</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-S141</td>
<td>Square Catch Basin</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The capacity of combination curb and gutters shall be calculated as a grate inlet. The additional capacity from the curb inlet is to serve as overflow when grate becomes blocked with debris.
2. Depth of inlet opening can vary depending on height of curb and capacity needs. A depression should be provided to achieve an inlet height of at least 4 inches.
2.3.2.2 Underpass or Sag Requirements
An underpass or sag condition is a point where water can be removed only through a storm sewer system. Inlets shall be placed in low areas such as sag curves along a highway, underpasses, and other depressions where runoff may concentrate and the only outlet is the storm sewer system. The number and type of inlets to be used to drain underpass or sag locations shall be designed to achieve the roadway classifications and storm frequencies provided in Table 2-9.

2.3.2.3 Inlets on Continuous Grade Requirements
At a minimum, the catch basin and/or curb inlet shall be placed at the point where the flow spread is projected to reach the maximum allowable spread listed in Table 2-9. In addition, a basin/inlet shall be placed at intersections where necessary to prevent the gutter flow from crossing the pavement. The City may require additional inlets at intermediary points if the flow in the gutter at design conditions might create a hazard to vehicular traffic, public safety, or property flooding. The projected gutter flow approaching each basin/inlet, the flow projected to enter each basin/inlet, and the flow projected to bypass each basin/inlet shall be provided in the Stormwater Management Report.

2.3.3 Culverts
The purpose of a culvert is to safely convey water from one side of a roadway or embankment to the other. The size and shape of the culvert should be such that it will carry a predetermined design peak discharge without the depth of water at the entrance or the velocity at the outlet exceeding allowable limits.

Section 1105 of the latest edition of the ODOT L&D Manual shall be used to design culverts unless alternative criteria are explicitly stated in this document. Other acceptable design procedures are contained in the FHWA’s Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 5 and in FHWA’s HY8 model. All materials used in construction of roadway culverts shall conform to the City’s CMSC.

2.3.3.1 General Requirements
Stream crossings shall be located at a relatively straight and stable section of the stream. The horizontal and vertical alignment of the culvert shall generally follow the alignment of the stream at the crossing. Stream crossings at right angles to the stream are preferred to maximize hydraulic efficiency and minimize environmental impacts. If the skew angle of the culvert exceeds 45°, then either the roadway alignment or the culvert alignment (or both) shall be revised to achieve a skew angle less than 45°.

A single barrel round pipe shall be used where flow, headwater, tailwater, and pipe cover conditions allow. Where round pipes are not feasible, single barrel elliptical, pipe
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arch, box culvert, and three-sided structures shall be used, in order of preference. Where single barrel conduits are not feasible, multi-barreled culverts shall be used to minimize the disturbance to the stream channel and provide capacity for flows within the floodplain to minimize backwater.

### 2.3.3.2 Culvert Hydrology Requirements

The hydrologic computation methods specified in Section 2.2.1 shall be used to design culverts in the City. Culverts spanning open channels conveying onsite flows shall be designed according to the same method used to design other onsite drainage facilities. Culverts spanning streams shall be designed using the regression equations presented in Section 2.2.3.2.

### 2.3.3.3 Culvert Hydraulic Requirements

#### Design Storm Frequency

Table 2-13 provides the design storm frequencies that shall be used to design roadway and other stream crossings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roadway Type</th>
<th>Design Storm Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate highways, other freeways, and expressways</td>
<td>50-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major arterial (ADT &gt; 10000)</td>
<td>25-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor arterial and collectors (ADT 3501 – 10000)</td>
<td>25-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locals (ADT ≤ 3500), other parking and development areas</td>
<td>10-year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Types of Culvert Flow

Two types of flow may occur in a culvert: flow with inlet control and flow with outlet control. Designers shall determine the design flow regime for each culvert within the project, and use appropriate design nomographs for the appropriate flow condition, found in the drainage design aids contained in the ODOT L&D Manual.

#### Tailwater Conditions

The designer shall perform hydraulic calculations necessary to determine the depth of flow in the outlet channel when the culvert is discharging the design flow. This determination shall take into account downstream constraints, obstructions, grades, confluences with other streams, or other hydraulic features that may create a backwater at the culvert outlet. The following sources contain information that might aid in establishing downstream tailwater conditions:

1. Previous studies that may be on file within the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, or

---
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2. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) and data.

The tailwater depth for the design frequency of the culvert shall be used to size the culvert.

**Maximum Allowable Headwater**
The headwater depth at the inlet of each roadway culvert shall not exceed any of the following conditions during the design storm listed in **Table 2-13**:

1. 2 feet below the near, low edge of the pavement for drainage areas 1000 acres or greater, and 1 foot below for culverts draining less than 1000 acres,
2. 2 feet above the inlet crown of the culvert or above a tailwater elevation that submerges the inlet crown in flat to rolling terrain,
3. 4 feet above the inlet crown of a culvert in a deep ravine,
4. 1 foot below the near edge of pavement for bicycle pathways, and
5. At or below the near edge of pavement for driveway culverts conveying runoff along roadside ditches.

In addition, the peak headwater depth during the 100-year frequency event shall be 1 foot below the finished grade adjacent to any existing or proposed building. Section 2.4 provides additional overtopping requirements related to culverts within major flood routing paths.

**Manning’s "n" Value**
Acceptable materials for culverts, defined in CMSC Section 603, include concrete (non and reinforced), corrugated steel, bituminous corrugated steel, and precast box and concrete sections. With the exception of corrugated metal pipes, a Manning’s “n” value of 0.012 shall be used for the hydraulic design of culverts. ODOT L&D Manual shall be used to determine acceptable “n” values for corrugated metal pipe.

**Entrance Loss Coefficients**
**Table 2-14** shall be used to define (minor) entrance loss coefficients for culverts under outlet control conditions.

**Maximum Allowable Outlet Velocity**
The Applicant shall determine the cross-sectional area of flow from the culvert outlet, and use this area, the design flow, and other characteristics of the culvert to determine the outlet velocity at design conditions. If the outlet velocity is larger than the maximum velocity for the channel lining material that is listed in **Table 2-17** of Section 2.3.5.1, then erosion protection and/or energy dissipaters shall be required to properly armor the receiving channel and control outlet velocities. Section 2.3.5 provides design requirements for rock protection and recommendations for energy dissipation devices at culvert outlets.
### Table 2-14

**Minor (Entrance) Loss Coefficients for Culverts under Outlet Control, Full or Partly Full Entrance Head Loss** 19, 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Structure and Design of Entrance</th>
<th>Coefficient K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pipe, Concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Projecting from fill, socket end (groove-end)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Projecting from fill, sq. cut end</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Headwall or headwall and wingwalls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Socket end of pipe (groove-end)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Square-edge</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rounded (radius = 1/2D)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Mitered to conform to fill slope</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ End-section conforming to fill slope</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Beveled edges, 33.7° or 45° levels</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Side – or slope – tapered inlets</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe or Pipe-Arch, Corrugated Metal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Projecting from fill (no headwall)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Headwall or headwall and wingwalls square-edge</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Mitered to conform to fill slope, paved or unpaved slope</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ End-section conforming to fill slope</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Beveled edges, 33.7° or 45° bevels</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Side- or slope-tapered inlet</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box, Reinforced Concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Headwall parallel to embankment (no wingwalls)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Square – edged on 3 edges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rounded on 3 edges to radius of 1/12 barrel dimension, or beveled edges on 3 sides</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Wingwalls at 30° to 75° to barrel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Square-edged at crown</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crown edge rounded to radius of ½ barrel dimension, or beveled top edge</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Wingwall at 10° to 25° to barrel, square-edged at crown</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Wingwalls parallel (extension of sides), square-edged at crown</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Side- or slope-tapered inlet</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bankfull Design Considerations**

The designer shall check that culverts sized to meet the hydraulic design conditions in this section will also convey the bankfull discharge with minimal change to the bankfull discharge.

---


depth of flow in the adjoining channel sections, as compared to existing conditions. Exceptions to this requirement include:

1. Culverts with a rise of 30 inches or less,
2. The culvert invert is located on bedrock, and
3. The culvert slope exceeds 1%

The bankfull discharge shall be determined using a field-obtained stream cross-section from a portion of the stream that does not exhibit bank or bed erosion. A hydraulic profile through the channel shall be prepared to demonstrate that the culvert does not alter existing water surface elevations at bankfull conditions. If significant changes in water surface elevation are determined, larger pipe sizes and/or alternative pipe shapes shall be used to reduce the impact. The methodology presented in ODOT’s L&D Manual shall be used to analyze bankfull discharge conditions.

The City also requires that the inverts of culverts at stream crossings be depressed to minimize stream impacts. Depressed inverts shall be filled with substrate necessary for aquatic life to migrate through the culvert. The culvert design shall be based on the remaining pipe diameter and increased Manning’s “n” after the invert has filled with substrate. Table 2-15 shows the amount of invert depression that should be provided for different sized pipes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe Diameter or Rise</th>
<th>Depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 36 inch</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 to 60 inch</td>
<td>6 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 to 120 inch</td>
<td>12 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 to 180 inch</td>
<td>18 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186 to 252 inch</td>
<td>24 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 252 inch</td>
<td>30 inches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2-15

**Allowable Conduit Invert Depression**

#### 2.3.3.4 Culvert Layout Requirements

Culverts shall be aligned according to the general criteria in Section 2.3.3.1. It is preferable that the culverts be located at or near the low point of the roadway sag vertical curve to allow for major storm routing across the roadway and along the natural routing path of the existing open channel.

**Minimum Pipe Size**

Minimum pipe size for roadway culverts shall be based on the fill depth over the crown of the culvert, as specified in Table 2-16.

---
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Table 2-16
Minimum Allowable Pipe Size for Various Fill Depths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fill Depth</th>
<th>Roadway Type</th>
<th>Freeway*</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;8 feet</td>
<td>Freeway*</td>
<td>24 inch</td>
<td>15 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 feet to &lt; 16 feet</td>
<td>Freeway*</td>
<td>30 inch</td>
<td>24 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 feet to &lt; 32 feet</td>
<td>Freeway*</td>
<td>36 inch</td>
<td>30 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 32 feet</td>
<td>Freeway*</td>
<td>42 inch</td>
<td>36 inch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* or other multi-lane facilities with limited or controlled access

Structural and Cover Requirements
The cover and structural requirements for culverts shall be the same as specified for storm sewers in Section 2.3.1.3.

2.3.3.5 Culvert Easement Requirements
Culverts or portions of culverts and ancillary components (e.g., headwalls, endwalls, and erosion protection areas) shall be located entirely within the public right-of-way to provide future access and maintenance.

2.3.4 End Treatments
End treatments are used to dissipate energy and minimize erosion at the inlet and outlet of culverts and storm sewer outfalls. End treatments shall be provided at the inlet and outlet of all culverts (Section 2.3.3), excluding driveway culverts, and at the outlet of all storm sewer systems (Section 2.3.1). The selection of end treatment type is based on safety and economics. Construction of roadway culvert headwalls shall conform to the City’s CMSC Sections 602, including Class C concrete for cast in place headwalls according to Sections 499 and 511 and reinforcing steel.

Cast in place pipe culvert endwalls shall be constructed of Class C concrete and designed per City Standard Construction Drawing AA-S165. Cast in place pipe culvert headwalls, 8 to 84 inches in diameter, shall be constructed per City Standard Construction Drawings AA-S166 and 167.

Precast pipe culvert endwalls approved for pipe culverts 8 to 60 inches in diameter, shall be constructed per City Standard Construction Drawing AA-S169. Precast headwalls approved for pipe culverts 8 to 36 inches in diameter shall be constructed per City Standard Construction Drawing AA-S168.
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2.3.5 Outlet Channel Protection

2.3.5.1 Outlet Channel Protection Required
The appropriate channel protection shall be designed to prevent erosion at the outlet of a culvert or storm sewer outfall where concentrated flows generate peak velocities that exceed the maximum allowable velocity for the constructed channel lining materials listed in Table 2-17, or the native vegetation that exists within an existing receiving stream during the design storm event. This section provides general design criteria for two categories of outlet channel protection:

1. Rock Channel Protection and Riprap Aprons, suitable for outlet velocities up to 20 feet per second.

2. Energy Dissipation Devices, suitable for outlet velocities greater than 20 feet per second.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Lining Material</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Velocity (ft/s) *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sand</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Silt</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Firm Loam</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fine Gravel</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stiff Clay</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Graded Loam or Silt to Cobbles</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coarse Gravel</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shales and Hard Pans</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Vegetated Channels (per CMSC 659)             |                                    |
| • Seed mixtures for urban areas               | 2.5**                             |
| • Other seed mixtures                         | 2.5**                             |
| • Crown vetch                                 | 2.5**                             |
| • Established Seed or Sodded Channels         | 6.0                                |

| Flexible Linings                              |                                    |
| • Slope Erosion Protection                    | Follow manufacturer’s criteria     |
| • Erosion Control Matting                     |                                    |
| • Rock Channel Protection                     | Use shear stress analysis          |

| Rigid linings                                 |                                    |
| • Concrete                                    | 18                                 |
| • Concrete block mat                          | 18                                 |

* In addition, the maximum velocity shall not exceed the velocity under critical flow conditions at all depths within the channel up to the design flow depth.

** Velocity assumes newly seeded areas without erosion control matting provided.

2.3.5.2 Rock Channel Protection and Riprap Aprons

Riprap aprons (Figure 2-3) may be used as transitions from culverts or storm sewer outfalls to stable channel sections. Riprap aprons are constructed at a zero grade for a distance related to the outlet flow rate and tailwater depth. The use of riprap aprons is restricted to outlet Froude (Fr) numbers less than or equal to 2.5. Riprap aprons are commonly used because of their low cost and ease of installation. Acceptable design procedures for riprap aprons are found in Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission, Manual for Erosion and Sediment Control in Georgia, 5th Edition, 2000.28

Tailwater depth

The depth of tailwater immediately below the pipe outlet must be determined for the design capacity of the pipe. Manning’s Equation may be used to determine tailwater depth. If the tailwater depth is less than half the diameter of the outlet pipe, it shall be classified as a Minimum Tailwater Condition. If the tailwater depth is greater than half the pipe diameter, it shall be classified as a Maximum Tailwater Condition. Pipes which outlet onto flat areas with no defined channel may be assumed to have a Minimum Tailwater Condition.

Apron length

The apron length shall be determined from the curves according to the tailwater condition:

1. Minimum Tailwater – Use Figure 2-4
2. Maximum Tailwater – Use Figure 2-5

Apron width

When the pipe discharges directly into a well-defined channel, the apron shall extend across the channel bottom and up the channel banks to an elevation one foot above the maximum tailwater depth or to the top of the bank, whichever is less. If the pipe discharges onto a flat area with no defined channel, the width of the apron shall be determined as follows:

1. The upstream end of the apron, adjacent to the pipe, shall have a width three times the diameter of the outlet pipe.
2. For a Minimum Tailwater Condition, the downstream end of the apron shall have a width equal to the pipe diameter plus the length of the apron.
3. For a Maximum Tailwater Condition, the downstream end shall have a width equal to the pipe diameter plus 0.4 times the length of the apron.

Figure 2-3
Riprap Apron Detail

Notes

1. $L_a$ is the length of the riprap apron.

2. $D = 1.5$ times the maximum stone diameter but not less than 6".

3. In a well-defined channel extend the apron up the channel banks to an elevation of 6" above the maximum tailwater depth or to the top of the bank, whichever is less.

4. A filter blanket or filter fabric should be installed between the riprap and soil foundation.
Figure 2-5
Design of Outlet Protection – Maximum Tailwater Condition
**Bottom Grade**
The apron shall be constructed with no slope along its length (0.0% grade). The invert elevation of the downstream end of the apron shall be equal to the elevation of the invert of the receiving channel.

**Side Slope**
If the pipe discharges into a well-defined channel, the side slopes of the channel shall not be steeper than 2:1 (horizontal: vertical).

**Alignment**
The apron shall be located so there are no bends in the horizontal alignment.

**Materials**
The median sized stone for riprap shall be determined from Figures 2-4 and 2-5 according to the tailwater condition. The materials and placement of riprap shall conform to the requirements of the City’s CMSC Section 601. At the discretion of the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, the use of flat stones (as referenced in CMSC 601.04) of native material may be used as a streambed liner where it can be demonstrated that the lining will remain stable.

2.3.5.3 Energy Dissipation Devices
Energy dissipation devices are required to prevent scour at culvert and storm sewer outlets and minimize potential for downstream erosion whenever the outlet velocity exceeds 20 ft/sec or the outlet discharges under supercritical flow conditions. Since energy dissipaters function by creating a hydraulic jump, performance is dependent on tailwater conditions. If there is potential for high tailwater conditions in the downstream channel and an energy dissipation device is necessary, then the device shall be designed for low tailwater conditions while the downstream channel is sized to account for higher tailwater conditions. Outlet structures shall provide uniform redistribution or spreading of the flow without excessive separation and turbulence. The maximum velocity exiting an energy dissipation device shall not exceed the maximum velocity of the downstream channel lining in Table 2-17.

The following sections summarize key design criteria and provide corresponding references for the design of acceptable energy dissipation devices in the City.

**Riprap Outlet Basins**
One approved method of energy dissipation at storm sewer and culvert outlets is a riprap outlet basin (Figure 2-6), which is composed of a dissipation pool and an apron lined with riprap of a median size (d50). The dissipation pool is sized to the approximate depth of scour that would occur in a pad of riprap of size d50 if subjected to design discharge, and with a length sufficient to completely contain the hydraulic jump. These structures are generally used for transitions from culverts to stable channels where the

---

Froude Number is less than 2.5. Riprap outlet basins shall be designed according to procedures contained in FWHA's HEC No. 14.

Figure 2-6
Riprap Outlet Basin Detail
**Baffled Outlets**

Baffled outlets (also known as Impact Basins – U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Type VI) consist of a boxlike structure with a vertical hanging baffle and an end sill (Figure 2-7). Energy is dissipated through the impact of water striking the baffle and the resulting turbulence. Baffled outlets may be used for outlets with a Froude number between 1 and 9 and velocities up to 50 feet/sec. Tailwater does not significantly affect the energy dissipation achieved by these structures. The U.S. Department of Interior’s *Design of Small Canal Structures* report shall be used to design baffled outlets.

**Forced Hydraulic Jump Basins**

A forced hydraulic jump basin utilizes blocks, sills, or other roughness elements to impose exaggerated resistance to flow in order to shorten and stabilize the hydraulic jump. These types of energy dissipation are required where the design velocity and/or Froude Number exceed acceptable criteria for riprap aprons and basins, or when site constraints or environmental factors require that the length of energy dissipation be minimized. Acceptable designs include those developed by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Colorado State University, and the U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service at St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Laboratory. The designer shall use design criteria provided in FHWA’s HEC-14, *Design of Small Canal Structures* or other design criteria acceptable to the Division.

**Figure 2-7**

Baffled Outlet Detail
2.3.6 Level Spreaders\textsuperscript{30, 31}

A level spreader is a structure designed to convert concentrated flow from stormwater runoff to sheet flow. Level spreaders have traditionally been used at detention basin outfalls where concentrated pipe flows are directed toward a stream or wetland and upstream of water quality BMPs (e.g., filter strips; see Section 3.3.6) where “treatment” of stormwater runoff is dependent on the velocity and depth of flow.

2.3.6.1 Use Restrictions
Use of level spreaders is limited by both the flow rate over the level spreader lip as well as the slope and ground cover across the downstream, sheet flow area. Research indicates that level spreader systems designed within parameters shown in Table 2-18 are more prone to remain stable and reduce potential for flow re-concentration. Level spreaders may not be used where the criteria presented in Table 2-18 cannot be met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground Cover</th>
<th>Slope Limits</th>
<th>Level Spreader Length (ft.) Max.</th>
<th>Distance from Level Spreader Lip to Stream Bank</th>
<th>Length of Level Spreader per Maximum 1 cfs of Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grass or thick ground cover</td>
<td>0 to 6 percent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>13 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested</td>
<td>0 to 6 percent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0 to 99 feet</td>
<td>65 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested</td>
<td>0 to 6 percent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100 to 150 feet</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested</td>
<td>0 to 6 percent</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>&gt; 150 feet</td>
<td>40 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.6.2 Level Spreader Features
The following features are recommended for incorporation into a level spreader design to ensure proper function and longevity of the level spreader system. Figure 2-8 and Figure 2-9 illustrate the components of a level spreader system.

\textbf{Level Spreader Lip}

1. A level spreader channel, reinforced with rock or grass lining, should be installed along the upstream face of the level spreader lip to distribute flow equally along the entire length of the level spreader.

2. An underdrain system should be installed under the level spreader channel to prevent standing water during periods of no flow. The underdrain should outlet into a stormwater conveyance system.

3. The level spreader lip should be constructed of concrete and supported by an adequately sized concrete footing to prevent settlement and overturning.


4. The width of the level spreader lip should be at least three (3) times the diameter of the inlet pipe up to a maximum width of 24 inches.

5. The lip of the level spreader should be elevated 3 to 6 inches above the ground surface on the downstream side and not less than 9 inches from the invert of the level spreader channel along the upstream side.

6. The level spreader lip should be installed at zero percent grade and parallel to contours. Level spreaders may be curved, if needed, to fit site contours and ensure a level lip.

7. The downstream side of the level spreader lip should be protected to prevent erosion.

**Bypass Channel**

1. A bypass channel shall be provided for level spreaders intended to convey flows that exceed the capacity of the level spreader system.

2. The bypass channel must be sized and appropriately lined to convey the 10-year storm event without flooding or inducing channel erosion.

3. The use of turf reinforcement for channel lining is preferred over stone where adequate sunlight and channel velocities allow.

**2.3.6.3 Maintenance**

A maintenance plan shall be established to maintain the level spreader, its capacity, vegetative cover, and other connected structural components such as inlets, outlets, and tile lines which are tied to the same stormwater management system. Maintenance program items for level spreaders are summarized in Appendix E.

Easements shall be provided around level spreaders that are to be publicly owned and maintained. The width of easements shall include the area of the structure plus 10 feet around the structure’s perimeter.
2.3.7 Open Watercourses

The requirements in this section are applicable to newly constructed open watercourses that are intended to convey flow to stormwater inlets, stormwater control facilities, streams, lakes, wetlands, or other water bodies during precipitation events. A constructed channel shall be shaped or graded to the required dimensions and established with a suitable lining as necessary to convey stormwater runoff without allowing channel erosion. The following guidance documents may be used for evaluation, planning, and design of constructed open watercourses to supplement the design criteria provided in the Manual:

1. NRCS Ohio Practice Standard 412, Grassed Waterways,
2. NRCS Engineering Field Handbook (EFH) Part 650, Chapter 7 – Grassed Waterways,
3. Agricultural Handbook 667, Stability Design of Grass-lined Open Channels, and

2.3.7.1 Channel Hydrology Requirements

The hydrologic computation methods specified in Section 2.2.1 shall be used to design open watercourses in the City. In most cases, open watercourses shall be designed according to the same method used to design other onsite drainage facilities.

2.3.7.2 Channel Hydraulic Requirements

Design Storm Frequency

Constructed open watercourses shall be designed to convey the 10-year design storm without causing erosion, sedimentation, or overbank flooding within and along the channel. Criteria in Section 2.4 shall be used if the channel will also serve as a flood routing channel for the 100-year design storm. Open watercourses may also be designed for stormwater quality control using criteria provided in Section 3.3.6. ODOT’s L&D Manual, Drainage Design aids may be used for sizing open conveyances (at various side slopes). A ditch computation sheet (included in Appendix C) shall be used to present open channel calculations.

Cross Section Shape

Parabolic and trapezoidal channel shapes (Figure 2-10) shall be used for open watercourses within development projects. Side slopes shall be 3(H) to 1(V) or milder, with a minimum 2-foot bottom width for trapezoidal channels, unless alternative dimensions are approved by the City due to specific project conditions. Channel cross sections shall be designed such that erosion and sediment deposition is minimized.

Design Velocity

An open channel is categorized by its lining. There are three main types of channel linings: vegetated, flexible, and rigid. A vegetative lining, such as grass with mulch and sod and lapped sod, is required where site constraints and flow velocity conditions
allow. Flexible linings include rock channel protection and cellular soil retaining mats and are typically less expensive than a rigid lining. The use of flexible linings, however, may require the installation of a filter fabric or other means to protect the underlying soil, prevent washout, and prevent soil piping through the rock when using channel protection. Rigid linings include concrete and rigid block and are usually used where high velocities are unavoidable.

Final design of constructed open channels should be consistent with velocity limitations for the selected channel lining. Maximum velocity values for selected vegetated and non-vegetated lining categories are presented in **Table 2-17**. The Manning’s Equation shall be used to design an open channel that satisfies the maximum velocity criteria in the previous sections:

\[ v = \left( \frac{1.49}{n} \right) R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \]

where:

- \( v \) = average channel velocity (ft/s)
- \( n \) = Manning’s roughness coefficient
R = hydraulic radius (ft)  
= A/P  
A = cross-sectional area of the channel ($ft^2$)  
P = wetted perimeter of the channel (ft)  
S = slope of the energy grade line (ft/ft)

Recommended Manning’s “n” values for open channels with vegetated and non-vegetated linings are provided in Table 2-19.

**Critical Flow**  
Open channels shall be designed to flow under subcritical flow conditions at all times. A subcritical flow regime is characterized by a Froude Number less than 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel Lining Category</th>
<th>Roughness Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vegetated Lining:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seeded</td>
<td>– 0.03 (for velocity determination only without erosion control matting on all channels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sod</td>
<td>– 0.04 (for depth determination along roadside channels only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– 0.06 (for depth determination, except along roadside channels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flexible Lining:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Slope Erosion Protection</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Erosion Control Matting</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Grouted Riprap</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rock channel protection (typical for Type C/D*)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Small channels/ditches</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Large channels</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rigid Lining:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concrete</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bituminous</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concrete Block Mat (tied)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: Increase roughness coefficient by 15% for Type B RCP.

---

\[ F = \frac{V}{(gD)^{0.5}} < 1 \]

where:
- \( F \) = Froude Number
- \( D \) = hydraulic depth (ft) = \( \frac{A}{T} \)
- \( A \) = cross-sectional area of flow (ft²)
- \( T \) = top width of water surface (ft)
- \( V \) = flow velocity (ft/sec)
- \( g \) = acceleration due to gravity = 32.2 feet/sec²

The Stormwater Management Report shall demonstrate that the calculated Froude Number is less than 1 over the anticipated range of flow conditions within the channel.

**Rock Channel Protection Shear Stress Analysis**

Type B, C or D rock channel protection shall be provided in accordance with CMSC Section 601.09. Type B, C or D rock channel protection shall only be placed outside of guardrails, barriers or other unobstructed areas provided outside of the traveled way for vehicles to stop safely or regain control.

The actual shear stress (\( \tau_{ac} \)) must be less than or equal to the allowable shear stress (\( \tau_a \)) listed in **Table 2-20** for the rock channel protection type used. The actual shear stress shall be determined for the channel slope and the depth of flow during a 10-year design storm. The following equation is valid for discharges less than 50 cfs and with slopes less than 10%:

\[ \tau_{ac} = 62.4 \times D \times S \]

where:
- \( D \) = depth of flow (feet)
- \( S \) = channel slope (feet/feet)

\( \tau_{ac} \) = actual shear stress (lbs/feet²)

In extreme site conditions, Type B or C rock channel protection shall be utilized for lining channels with steep grades (slopes 10%-25%) that carry flow from the end of a cut section down to the lowest elevation on the bottom of the channel. FHWA’s HEC-15 procedures for steep gradient channels shall be used with a safety factor of 1.5. The Division of Sewerage and Drainage shall be consulted if rock channel protection is proposed in instances where the peak flow during the 10-year design storm is greater than or equal to 50 cfs.

**Table 2-20**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Rock Channel Protection</th>
<th>( \tau_a ) (lbs/feet²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Outlets
All constructed open watercourses shall have a structurally sound and stable outlet with adequate capacity to prevent ponding or flooding damage. Portions of open watercourses affected by backwater from streams during dry weather flow conditions shall be provided with a stable outlet as specified in Section 2.3.5.

2.3.7.3 Constructed Open Watercourse Easement Requirements
Constructed open watercourses that are to be publicly owned and maintained and lie outside the public right-of-way, shall be provided with an easement that includes:
1. The full width of the channel as measured from top-of-bank to top-of-bank plus ten feet on one side, or
2. A minimum width of 20 feet centered along the watercourse, whichever is greater.

Where onsite constructed open channels are designed to serve as a major flood routing path for offsite flows through the development, easement widths shall be extended to include the total flow width for the 100-year event.

2.4 Design of Major Stormwater Routing Systems

Major storm routing paths shall be provided to convey stormwater runoff that exceeds the capacity of the minor drainage system through the development to a downstream discharge point meeting the requirements of 2.1.3. The major storm routing path shall be designed such that the peak flood stage during the 100-year design storm is at least one-foot below the first floor elevation of the structures within and adjacent to the development. The major storm routing path shall begin along swales located between structures that drain individual properties, be directed to either roads, other public rights-of-way, or constructed open watercourses through the development, to the stormwater detention facility serving the development. This detention facility shall be designed to control the 100-year event without overtopping its embankment, according to criteria in Section 3.1.

A hydraulic analysis shall be required to verify that the peak water surface elevation during the 100-year design storm meets the design criteria cited in this section. For preliminary design purposes, the flow in the minor drainage system during the 100-year design storm event shall equal the design capacity of the minor system.

Where streets are utilized as the major routing path, the depth of water shall not exceed 18 inches (to allow access for emergency vehicles) at gutter line for local and collector streets. The depth of water shall not exceed a 6-inch depth at the crown for arterial streets. This maximum depth criterion shall also apply where a major storm routing path crosses a street. The use of normal flow depths derived using the Manning’s

Equation will suffice for estimating inundation limits along streets. At culverts, the major storm shall be designed to flow across streets at low areas or in sags of vertical curves. Street elevations shall be set to permit the major storm to flow across the street and to prevent damage to any existing or proposed building structure. Backwater calculations shall be performed along streams where a roadway crossing over these streams is proposed as part of the development. The backwater analysis shall proceed upstream from the roadway crossing to the boundary of the development site.

Where a major drainage way is located outside of a street right-of-way, easements shall be provided as defined in Section 2.3.7.3. The 100-year flood routing path shall be shown on the master drainage plan that is to be submitted with the Stormwater Management Report, as described in Section 6. Routing path illustrations shall include elevations along the routing path and other elevations necessary to show that the major storm is contained within the planned area and dedicated easements.

A downstream analysis conducted according to the criteria in Section 2.1.3 shall be used to define the major storm routing path between the development and the nearest discharge point. The City may, at its discretion, require additional detention and/or downstream improvements to provide an adequate major storm routing path downstream of the development.
Section 3
Stormwater Controls

This section provides criteria and guidance for the successful design of facilities that control stormwater discharges from development and redevelopment projects to prevent flooding, streambank erosion, and water quality impairment in downstream areas. Separate design criteria are provided for stormwater quantity and quality control facilities; however, in many cases quantity and quality controls are integrated into a single facility.

It is the City’s policy to encourage the use of Green Infrastructure. Green Infrastructure can provide the same water quality and quantity benefits as traditional infrastructure while providing additional benefits such as water reuse, providing greenspace or habitat, and/or reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The City Stormwater Credit Rule provides a credit for incorporating Green Infrastructure into a development. In Sections 3.2 and 3.3 below, BMPs that qualify as Green Infrastructure are so noted as “GI”.

This section provides criteria in five major sections:

3.1 General Criteria
3.2 Stormwater Quantity Controls
3.3 Post-Construction Stormwater Quality Controls
3.4 As-built Surveys
3.5 Construction Stormwater Quality Controls

3.1 General Criteria

Stormwater runoff generated from onsite areas shall be controlled before it is released from the development site. Stormwater management reports or construction plans will not be approved until it is demonstrated that the onsite runoff will be controlled in a manner that is consistent with the criteria in this section. At a minimum, the following criteria shall apply to all stormwater controls described in Sections 3.2 and 3.3.

1. Stormwater control facilities shall not be located within the Stream Corridor Protection Zone defined using criteria in Section 1.3 of the Manual.
2. Stormwater control facilities shall not be located within designated Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) floodplain boundaries
3. Discharges from stormwater control facilities shall be directed into a stream, either directly as sheet flow from a level spreader, or via a storm sewer or open channel conveyance system, according to criteria in Section 2.1 of the Manual.
4. Stormwater runoff shall not be diverted from an existing naturally occurring wetland that is preserved according to City criteria in Section 1.5 and that is not approved for filling and/or removing (as necessary) via an approved Section 404 permit issued by
the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Wetland hydrology shall be maintained to the extent possible. The quantity and quality of this runoff shall be controlled prior to its release to the wetland system according to criteria in Section 3.2 and 3.3 of the Manual.

3.2 Stormwater Quantity Controls

Stormwater quantity control facilities shall be designed to control runoff from small, moderate, and large storm events before it is discharged offsite. The design criteria provided in this section are intended to minimize flooding downstream of the development site and to reduce streambank erosion. The stormwater management report for the project, prepared according to the guidelines and criteria in Section 6, shall show the location of the stormwater quantity control facilities and calculations defining how they were sized.

Exemption:
A developed or redeveloped property that discharges directly to the Olentangy River or the Scioto River is exempt from meeting stormwater quantity control requirements provided the following apply:

1. Site (or portion thereof) is located within 1000 feet of the top of the bank of the river;
2. Runoff from the site (or portion thereof) discharges through a private storm sewer system owned and maintained by the property owner; and
3. Only property owned by the owner of the property on which the developed or redeveloped Site (or Project) is located discharges directly to the private stormwater sewer system.

3.2.1 Hydrologic Requirements

The volume and distribution of rainfall for the storm events to be used for quantity control calculations shall be developed using the 24-hour rainfall intensity from Figure 2-1. This intensity shall be converted into a rainfall volume by multiplying it times 24 hours. The design rainfall hyetograph shall be developed by distributing this volume over the 24-hour period with the SCS Type II distribution (Table 2-3), as described in Section 2.2.2.1. Stormwater quantity control facilities shall be designed using one of the hydrograph methods defined in Section 2.2.4.

Onsite facilities to control post-development stormwater runoff from residential, commercial, and industrial development sites shall be designed according to the methodology presented below, which is derived from the critical storm method. Under this methodology the percent increase in post-development runoff volume from a site
during a 1-year storm event shall be calculated in the following manner to determine the critical storm event:

1. Determine the total volume of runoff from a 1-year, 24-hour storm, occurring over each of the site’s drainage areas before and after development, using the methodology in Section 2.2.4.

2. Determine the percent of increase in runoff volume due to development. Using this percentage, select the critical storm from Table 3-1.

### Table 3-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If the percent of increase in runoff volume is</th>
<th>The critical storm runoff rate will be limited to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equal to or greater than And less than</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 --</td>
<td>10-1-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 10</td>
<td>20-2-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 20</td>
<td>50-5-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 50</td>
<td>100-10-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 100</td>
<td>250-25-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 250</td>
<td>500-50-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 500</td>
<td>1000-100-year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Runoff from storm events less than or equal to the critical storm event shall be released from the site at a rate no greater than the peak runoff during a 1-year storm event under pre-developed conditions. Additionally, the peak runoff rate during the 100-year storm event shall be released at a rate less than or equal to the peak runoff rate during the 10-year storm event under pre-developed conditions (where the critical storm is more frequent than a 100-year storm).

The Administrator, or the Administrator’s designee, reserves the right to require more stringent stormwater controls if it is determined that flood control benefits can be achieved in downstream portions of the watershed where flooding problems have been identified as existing prior to the proposed development.

---

1 For development sites discharging into a field tile system, the release rate for any storm up to and including the critical storm event shall be the equal to the development’s fair-share of the field tile’s full-flow capacity. Refer to Section 2.1.4 for more information. In no instance shall the release rate for any storm, up to and including the critical storm event, exceed the 1-year storm event under pre-developed conditions.
3.2.2 Acceptable Methods and Criteria

Stormwater quantity controls provide temporary onsite storage to detain runoff and control downstream flooding. The City allows the following stormwater quantity control facilities:

1. Dry Detention Basins (those that drain completely dry after a precipitation event),
2. Wet Detention Basins (i.e., those with a permanent pool),
3. Parking Lot Storage,
4. Underground Tank Storage,
5. Green Roof Technologies (GI);
6. Porous Pavement (GI); and
7. Rainwater Harvesting (GI).

The general criteria presented in Section 3.1 apply to all of these types of controls. In addition, the following specific criteria apply to each type of facility. Where a single facility is designed to provide stormwater quantity and quality control, appropriate criteria from this section and Section 3.3 shall apply. The Division of Sewerage and Drainage may give consideration to the use of other stormwater quantity control technologies provided they meet the requirements of this section. If an applicant proposes alternative control technologies that the applicant believes should be credited as GI, the applicant will provide adequate documentation regarding the green benefits of the technology.

The City may update this list of approved stormwater controls if Ohio EPA adds additional controls in its general permits.

3.2.3 Dry and Wet Detention Basins

Detention basins are one method used to meet the peak flow control (allowable post-development runoff rate) requirements for a site. Their design may also include features to control water quality, as defined in Section 3.3.4. In instances where detention basins are utilized to provide water quantity and water quality controls, peak flow rate and drawdown time criteria for both water quantity and water quality shall be met.

3.2.3.1 General Requirements for All Detention Basins

All proposed dry and wet detention basins shall be designed according to the general criteria in this section, as well as the specific criteria for stormwater quantity basins (in Sections 3.2.3.2 and 3.2.3.3), stormwater quality basins (in Section 3.3.4), or both.
**Layout and Geometry Requirements**

The following criteria shall be used to define the layout and geometry of all stormwater quantity and quality detention basins in the City:

1. Detention basins shall not be located on uncompacted fill, on slopes 2 (H) to 1 (V) or greater, or where infiltrating groundwater could adversely impact slope stability.

2. Detention basins shall be designed such that they readily accommodate flow from a site’s major flood routing path(s) (see Section 2.4). Overland flow from a site shall be directed to a site’s detention basin(s), to ensure that site runoff is controlled.

3. The basin shall be designed with an emergency spillway for storms that exceed basin capacity. The emergency spillway shall be designed to direct the flow from a 100-year, 24-hour storm event to a suitable downstream flood routing path without erosion, scouring, or soil undermining, and to meet applicable Ohio Dam Safety requirements. Emergency spillway design should assume that flow from an entire 100-year, 24-hour event is conveyed through the spillway while the basin is at its 100-year flood capacity.

4. The basin shall be designed so that the peak water surface elevation in the basin does not overtop the basin embankment or flood structures around the basin. **Table 3-2** provides the peak water surface requirements for basins with different design intent.

**Table 3-2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin Design Criteria</th>
<th>Peak Water Surface Elevation *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Only – Larger Storms Bypassed</td>
<td>Peak water surface elevation during WQ, must be 1 foot below the basin embankment elevation and the first floor elevations of structures near the basin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quantity – No Dam Safety Requirements **</td>
<td>Peak water surface elevation during the 100-year design event must be 1 foot below the basin embankment elevation and the first floor elevations of structures near the basin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basins Subject to Dam Safety Requirements **</td>
<td>Peak water surface elevation must satisfy Ohio dam safety requirements and be 1 foot below the floor elevation of structures during the 100-year design event. Refer to ODNR requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

* Requirements for a 1-foot freeboard will be waived if the detention basin is to outlet directly to a stream. In such instances, the first floor elevations of structures near the basin must be at least 1 foot above the top of the basin embankment.

** Section 1521.06 of the Ohio Revised Code lists those dams and embankments that are exempt from dam safety requirements.

5. Side slopes within and adjacent to the basin shall be 4 (H) to 1 (V) or flatter to prevent bank erosion and minimize safety risks when the basin is full. The maximum cross slope for the vehicle access way shall be 10 (H) to 1 (V).
6. Detention basins shall be designed to limit the migration of groundwater from the basin towards sanitary sewers and building basements. In these cases, the City may require that a geotechnical analysis of the area be performed where the basin is proposed so that groundwater controls may be properly incorporated into the design. If the geotechnical analysis determines that exfiltration from the basin may increase infiltration into sanitary sewers or basements, then the facility design shall include compacted clay or a synthetic liner.

7. The Applicant shall submit preliminary design information to ODNR as necessary to determine the regulatory classification (Class I through Class IV) of any impoundment structures (e.g., dams, berms, embankments, levies) under Ohio dam safety regulations, and shall provide the City with documentation of ODNR’s determination of the structure’s classification. All impoundment structures that require a dam safety permit from ODNR (Class I through III impoundment structures) shall provide sufficient design information in the Stormwater Management Report to demonstrate that dam safety permit requirements will be satisfied, including a description of the fill materials, required compaction, and other features provided to satisfy ODNR dam safety requirements, limit seepage through the impoundment structure, and protect the integrity of the structure. An as-built certification of the fill compaction shall be provided when construction is complete.

8. All inflow pipes to the detention basin that are not entirely submerged below the permanent pool elevation shall be designed with headwalls or endwalls according to criteria in Section 2.3.4. Rock channel protection designed according to criteria in Section 2.3.5 shall be used to minimize erosion around the headwall or endwall, as well as along the side slopes of the basin under each inflow pipe or open channel.

9. If inflow to the facility is conveyed through an open watercourse, including a major storm routing path (Section 2.4), the open channel conveyance system shall be designed in accordance with Sections 2.3.5 and 2.3.7. Channel protection shall be provided along any reaches within 20 feet of the 100-year high-water mark of the basin, or to the edge of the easement (for publicly maintained basins) surrounding the basin, whichever is wider. Channel protection shall be designed according to criteria in Section 2.3.5 and shall be used where the peak flow velocity during the 10-year, 24-hour design storm exceeds the criteria for grass watercourses as presented in Section 2.3.7. Such protection shall extend to the basin’s bottom or 2 feet below the normal water elevation of any permanent pool.

10. Woody vegetation may not be planted or allowed to grow on the embankment, within 15 feet of the toe of the embankment, and within 25 feet from the principal spillway structure. The establishment of woody vegetation in other areas around the basin is encouraged to provide shade and moderate surface water temperatures.

11. Permanent stormwater quantity control basins, as defined herein, may be used as temporary sedimentation basins designed to control sedimentation during construction as long as collected sediments are removed, the design grade of the facility is restored, permanent vegetation is established, the temporary outlet is
removed, and permanent outlet structure is constructed as designed. In instances where vegetation is not established, additional measures shall be taken to ensure that the area stabilized, including providing additional topsoil, additional seeding and mulching, or providing sodding in the areas where sparse ground cover occurs.

**Debris Control Requirements**
Debris control structures (trash racks) for both wet and dry basins may be required at the basin outlet if the potential exists for large debris to enter the detention basin through an open watercourse or large diameter inlet pipe. Debris control structures shall be designed using Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 9, available from the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.

**Outlet Facility and Outfall Protection Requirements**
1. The detention basin shall be designed with an outlet control structure sized to meet the stormwater quantity control requirements presented in Section 3.2, the stormwater quality control requirements presented in Section 3.3, or both.

2. Seepage along any structure that extends through the embankment to the downstream slope shall be controlled using an anti-seep collar or drainage diaphragm. The collar/diaphragm shall be aligned approximately parallel to the centerline of the stormwater basin or approximately perpendicular to the direction of seepage flow, extending horizontally and vertically into the adjacent embankment and foundation to intercept potential cracks, poorly compacted soil zones or other discontinuities associated with the structure or its installation. Appropriate criteria for establishing the minimum horizontal and vertical distances from the surface of the conduit may be obtained from NRCS Technical Release 60, Amendment 1 pg 6-7, dated January 1991, or NRCS Technical Note 709 – Dimensioning of Filter-Drainage Diaphragms for Conduits According to TR-60, dated April 1985.

3. Open channels receiving discharges from the facility shall be protected with rock channel protection designed according to criteria in Section 2.3.5 of the Manual.

4. The outlet structure shall be sized to achieve the release rates required under Section 3.2.2 and 3.3.4. This outlet shall be designed to resist plugging by meeting the following general criteria. The City will not allow the use of a single orifice outlet that is less than 4 inches in diameter unless the designer presents sufficient information proving that the orifice will not clog. Alternative outlet designs (e.g., V-notch weir, perforated) of smaller orifice diameter may be permitted upon City approval if acceptable design practice is proven for site conditions. Alternative orifice designs shall also include schedules for more frequent operation and maintenance.

5. The detention basin outlet structure shall be designed to retain floatables, such as debris, oil, and grease within the basin up through and including the 100-year design storm event. Acceptable floatables control devices are illustrated in Section 3.3.4, including perforated pipes, skimmers, baffles, inverted pipes (Figure 3-4) and other devices that the City determines to be suitable.
It is recommended that detention basins be provided with an emergency drain, where practicable, so that the basin may be emptied if the primary outlet becomes clogged and/or to drain the permanent pool to facilitate maintenance. If an emergency drain is used, the emergency drain should be designed to drain by gravity where possible. Where used, gravity pipes shall be made of approved materials as specified in Item 901 of the CMSC. If site conditions prevent gravity flow, the basin may be designed to drain by pumping. Basins requiring pumping may be provided with an emergency drain made of ductile iron pipe with mechanical joints and a quick connect coupling extended to the bottom of the basin at a point near the outlet structure. It is suggested that emergency drains have an elbow within the basin to prevent sediment deposition, and a diameter capable of draining the basin within 24 hours. The emergency drain should include an operable gate, plug valve, mud valve, ball valve, or sluice gate, which should be set and locked in the closed position. Valves or gates should be located inside of the riser at a point where they will not normally be inundated and can be operated in a safe manner.

3.2.3.2 Additional Layout Requirements for Dry Detention Basins

In addition to the requirements in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.3.1, the following shall apply to the design of dry detention basins for stormwater quantity control:

1. Dry detention basins shall be designed to drain toward the outlet or micropool in order to minimize standing water and saturated soil conditions that impede maintenance and mowing of the facility.

2. Dry detention basins that will be publicly maintained shall include a paved low flow channel from each inlet pipe or open channel to the basin’s outfall. Paved low flow channels are recommended for privately maintained detention facilities. The maintenance plan for dry basins that do not include a paved low flow channel shall describe how the basin will be maintained and drain efficiently. Low flow channels shall be designed per the following requirements:

   a) **Bottom width** – minimum width shall be 6 feet (to allow access for maintenance equipment such as a Bobcat),

   b) **Side slopes** – shall not be steeper than 4 (H) to 1 (V),

   c) **Channel slope** – minimum slope toward the basin outlet shall be 0.5 percent for channels with paved bottoms, and

   d) **Channel depth** – minimum depth of channel shall be 1 foot

   The bottom and side slopes of the channel shall be 6 inch minimum thickness, concrete reinforced with steel mesh (per CMSC Section 509) to accommodate temperature stresses, and composed of air-entrained Class C concrete (per CMSC Section 499); weep holes shall be designed in the concrete side walls.

3. The minimum bottom width for dry detention basins, other than the low flow channel, shall be 12 feet to allow for vehicular access for maintenance. The detention basin bottom shall be sloped to drain, and such slopes shall be sufficient to mitigate against "flat spots" developing due to construction errors and soil conditions. The minimum transverse slope for the bottoms of such facilities shall be 2.0 percent.
4. Dry detention basins shall be provided with topsoil, and shall be seeded and mulched to prevent erosion (per CMSC Sections 653 and 659). Grasses seeded within the basin should be able to survive 48 hours under water. Jute and Excelsior matting shall be used as required to stabilize slopes and prevent erosion.

3.2.3.3 Additional Layout Requirements for Wet Detention Basins

In addition to the requirements in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.3.1, the following shall apply to the design of wet detention basins.

1. The depth of wet detention basins shall be no more than 12 feet below the basin’s normal water elevation. The City may approve deeper ponds that are to be privately owned and operated where practices (e.g. aeration) are proposed to prevent thermal stratification. The minimum bottom width of wet basins shall be 12 feet.

2. The perimeter of all permanent pool areas deeper than 4 feet shall be surrounded by an aquatic bench that extends at least 8 feet and no more than 15 feet outward from the normal water edge, as illustrated below. The portion of the aquatic bench within 8 feet of the shoreline shall have an average depth of 6 inches below the permanent pool to promote the growth of aquatic vegetation. The remainder of the aquatic bench shall be no more than 15 inches below the permanent pool to enhance public safety, and to limit growth of dense vegetation in a manner that allows waves and mosquito predators to pass through the vegetation. The maximum slope of the aquatic bench shall be 10 (H) to 1 (V).

3. The designer shall prepare a landscaping plan for the aquatic bench. Plantings along the aquatic bench shall be selected from the shallow water-emergent species in the list of Native Plant Species for Central Ohio, which is provided in Appendix B. These plants must be able to withstand prolonged inundation and be tolerant to road salts if receiving runoff from areas that are expected to be treated with salt-based deicing materials.

4. Side slopes for wet basins shall be 4 (H) to 1 (V) from the maintenance berm (see maintenance access requirements Section 4.1.1) down to the aquatic bench, and from the aquatic bench to the bottom of the basin.

5. At a minimum, wet detention basins shall be provided with topsoil, seeded and mulched (per CMSC Sections 653 and 659), in all areas that are above the basin’s permanent pool. Appropriate species listed in Appendix B shall be specified in
areas along the perimeter of the basin at elevations higher than the permanent pool that are periodically inundated after storms.

6. The City recommends that wet detention basins and stormwater wetlands not be constructed any closer than 10,000 feet from the aircraft movement areas, loading ramps, or aircraft parking areas of a public-use airport (i.e., a publicly or privately owned airport open to public use) serving turbine-powered aircraft, or 5,000 feet from these areas of a public-use airport serving piston-powered aircraft as recommended by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Advisory Circular Number 150/5200-33. As an alternative, dry detention facilities and green roofs are stormwater best management practices that do not maintain a permanent pool of water and are not as likely to attract large numbers of waterfowl.

7. The purpose of the bench required by this section is to promote public safety and to discourage the nesting of Canadian geese. If an applicant seeks a variance from the requirement of having a bench, the variance application shall specifically address these two issues.

### 3.2.4 Parking Lot Storage

Parking lot surface storage is a stormwater quantity control method allowing shallow ponding within paved portions of the parking lot during the design storm event. Parking lot storage is a convenient multi-use structural control method where impervious parking lots are planned. The following criteria shall apply to parking lot storage facilities:

1. Ponding in parking or traffic areas shall be designed for a maximum ponding depth of twelve (12) inches for all storms up to and including the 100-year event. Flood routing or overflow to a designed conveyance system must occur after the maximum depth is reached.

2. Runoff from specific graded areas within a parking lot shall be controlled by orifices. The release rate of the flow from a parking lot storage facility shall meet the allowable post-development runoff criteria presented in Section 3.2. The outlet device shall be at least a 4-inch diameter single orifice for water quantity control; however, alternative outlet designs (e.g., V-notch weir, perforated) of smaller diameter that are required to fully meet design criteria may be permitted upon submittal of an adequate maintenance plan and City approval.

3. A site with a parking lot storage facility shall employ a separate water quality treatment BMP that meets the water quality treatment criteria presented in Section 3.3. This BMP may be located either downstream of the parking lot or integrated into the medians, landscaping, or other pervious areas of the parking lot.

### 3.2.5 Underground Storage

Underground storage is any stormwater quantity control method that employs an underground chamber or chambers, either prefabricated or constructed in place, and
has a designed release feature to control stormwater discharge. This method is most applicable where land is valuable or the site is constrained, such as in industrial, commercial, and redevelopment areas. Construction costs and operation costs, which may include pumps, make this method relatively expensive.

1. Underground storage facilities shall not be used in instances where the City is to own or operate the facility.

2. If storage tanks are to be used for a site, a plan for long term maintenance of the facility shall be provided to the City, including a health and safety plan for confined space entry.

3. The release rate of the flow from underground storage facilities shall meet the allowable post-development runoff criteria presented in Section 3.2.

4. The minimum size outlet device shall be a 4-inch single orifice for water quantity control. Alternative outlet designs (e.g., V-notch weir, perforated) of smaller diameter may be permitted upon City approval.

5. Air-tight lids shall be used on all access structures, and traps shall be provided on inlet and outlet pipes to limit mosquito access to standing water.

### 3.2.6 Green Roof Technologies

Green roofs are systems used to control runoff volume, improve air and water quality, and promote energy conservation. They typically include layers of drainage material and planting media on a high-quality membrane to minimize leakage. These systems use foliage and lightweight soil mixtures to potentially absorb, filter, and detain rainfall. There are two types of green roofs:

1. Extensive green roofs, illustrated in Figure 3-1, typically use drought tolerant roof covers of succulents, grasses and mosses which require little to no maintenance. These roofs are not intended for recreation, are generally less expensive than intensive green roofs, and are typically not designed for public access.

2. Intensive green roofs are typically more elaborately designed roof landscapes, such as roof gardens, that are intended for human interaction and need to be engineered to conform to the additional load requirements for such activities.
3.2.6.1 Design Guidelines and Performance Standards
Developers may use green roof technology on new development and redevelopment projects as a best management practice (BMP) to assist in satisfying the City’s stormwater quantity and quality control requirements. The City will accept submittals with green roof components if they are designed to retain at least 50 percent of the average annual precipitation in the Columbus area (approximately 19 inches per year) and satisfy the design criteria defined in this section. Table 3-3\(^2\) presents general guidelines and design criteria that shall be used to design green roof projects in Columbus. These criteria are based on limited and on-going studies of greenroof applications in the Midwestern United States and may be revised as industry-wide design and material standards develop.

3.2.6.2 Maintenance Requirements
While green roofs should be designed to minimize maintenance requirements, some maintenance is necessary to ensure its continued stormwater management performance. Table 3-4\(^3\) presents the minimum maintenance requirements that shall be provided for green roof installations. The developer shall include specific requirements in a maintenance plan, as defined in Section 4.

---


\(^3\) Adapted from *Stormwater Management Manual*, City of Portland – Clean River Works, Environmental Services, September 2004.
### Extensive Green Roof System Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Green Roof Component</th>
<th>Design Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural Roof Support (for both existing and new construction)</td>
<td>Adequate to hold an additional 10 – 25 psf (pounds per square foot) of saturated soil weight (in addition to snow load requirements)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterproof Membrane (Impermeable Material)</td>
<td>Acceptable material includes modified asphalts (bitumens), synthetic rubber (EPDM), hypolan (CPSE), and reinforced PVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection Boards or Materials</td>
<td>Composed of soft fibrous materials; used to protect the waterproof membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Barrier (as needed)</td>
<td>Typically required for roofs with modified asphalt waterproof membranes while not required for EPDM and PVC membranes. (Check with waterproof membrane manufacturer to determine if required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Layer</td>
<td>Range of acceptable manufactured products from plastic to gravel layers; minimum recommended thickness is 20mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Growth Medium (Soil) | • Medium shall have a minimum depth of 4 inches and shall consist of a blended, lightweight, well-draining, material  
• Medium blend shall consist of no more than 20% organic material that may include, but is not limited to compost mulch, or peat  
• Inorganic material shall consist of expanded clay, shale, or slate |
| Vegetation | • Drought and extreme-weather (heat, cold, high winds) tolerant  
• Acceptable vegetation includes plants from the Sedums spp. with exception of Sedum hybridum ‘Immergrauch’  
• Mature plant growth patterns which cover at least 90% of the overall surface within 2 years |
| Gravel Ballast (as needed) | Dependent upon operational and structural design issues |
| Drain | Must safely drain runoff from the roof to an appropriate stormwater conveyance system |
| Leak Detection | Some companies recommend the incorporation of an electronic leak detection system between or underneath the waterproof membrane to pinpoint the exact location of water leaks[^4]. |
| Minimum Roof Slope | Chapter 15 of the Ohio Building Code provides minimum roof slope criteria for various roof materials. Minimum slopes shall also comply with recommendations provided by the green roof manufacturer. |
| Maximum Roof Slope | 25% |

[^4]: *Design Guidelines for Green Roofs*, Peck, S., Kuhn, M., and Arch, B – Ontario Association of Architects
Table 3-4

Green Roof Maintenance Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Green Roof Component</th>
<th>Maintenance Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil Substrate/Growing Medium</td>
<td>Inspected annually for evidence of erosion from wind or water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Components</td>
<td>Operated &amp; maintained in accordance with manufacturer’s requirements; drain inlets kept unrestricted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris &amp; Litter</td>
<td>Remove after major storms to prevent clogging of inlet drains and interference with plant growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>Maintain as needed to provide 90% plant cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>Regularly irrigate during first two years of installation until 90% plant cover is achieved. Irrigate as necessary to maintain 90% plant cover through hand watering or automatic sprinklers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spill Prevention</td>
<td>Use preventative measures for mechanical systems when handling substances that could potentially contaminate stormwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and/or Written Guidance Information</td>
<td>Provide to all property owners and tenants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>Maintained as an asset to the property owner/community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insects</td>
<td>Prevent infestations that inhibit maintenance of 90% plant cover criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Recommended twice annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.7 Pervious Pavement

The City will accept pervious pavement as a stormwater control if the pavement is designed to meet ODNR’s Rainwater and Land Development Manual draft Chapter 2, which may be found at: http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/tabid/22570/Default.aspx The City will follow the final version when issued.

3.2.8 Rainwater Harvesting

While it may be possible to treat and reuse harvested water for potable purposes, with appropriate design and treatment measures specified and with special regulatory approval, this specification focuses on non-potable uses. These uses may include flushing of toilets and urinals inside buildings, landscape irrigation, exterior washing (e.g. car washes, building facades, sidewalks, street sweepers, fire trucks, etc.), supply for chilled water cooling towers, replenishing and operation of water features and water fountains, and clothes washing, if approved by the City. One or several of these uses may be employed, depending on an owner’s demand needs and design objectives to create a rainwater harvesting system configuration.
The following principles should be taken into consideration when preparing the detail design of a harvesting system:

- Dedicated year-round drawdown for credit. While seasonal practices (such as irrigation) may be incorporated into the site design, they are not granted treatment volume credit for stormwater purposes, unless a drawdown at an equal or greater rate (or in a secondary practice) is also realized during nonseasonal periods (e.g. infiltration during nonirrigation months).

- Utilization of rainwater as a resource to reuse for on-site demand or infiltration to promote groundwater recharge.

- Only rooftop areas are to be harvested (no at grade level surfaces) and closed conveyance must be used once water reaches ground surface (to limit exposure to further contamination).

- Pollutant load reduction from site is realized only through reduction of runoff volume leaving the site.

- Reduction of peak rates and frequency of high flows is realized through reduced volume of runoff.

A key element to each system is that it must dedicate a continuous (year-round) utilization of rainwater through either (i) internal use or (ii) outdoor use and/or treatment in a secondary runoff reduction practice (e.g., infiltration, small-scale bioretention, filter strip, dry swale). While other commonalities and design attributes certainly exist, these are some of the basic principles that guide the design of each system.

### 3.3 Post-Construction Stormwater Quality Controls

Stormwater quality control facilities shall be designed to control runoff from small storm events before discharged offsite. The design criteria provided in this section, or alternative criteria approved by the City, are intended to reduce pollutants contained in stormwater runoff and to reduce streambank erosion during frequent storm events. The Stormwater Management Report for the project, prepared according to the guidelines and criteria in Section 6, shall include the rationale for selecting appropriate stormwater quality controls, a master drainage plan (if applicable) showing their location, and calculations defining how they were sized.

### 3.3.1 General Requirements

#### 3.3.1.1 Stormwater Runoff Quality Control

All runoff from development sites shall be directed to one or more stormwater quality controls designed according to:
1. appropriate currently effective Ohio EPA Authorization for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (Construction General Permit),

2. supplemental documents prepared by Ohio EPA pertaining to the Construction General Permit Post-Construction criteria, and

3. criteria provided in this section, as well as Section 3.1.

Additional criteria are presented in this section to assist Applicants in:

1. determining the size of stormwater quality control facilities,

2. laying out stormwater quality controls within the site, and

3. specifying features of stormwater facilities that will ensure proper function and maintenance in a manner that is acceptable to the City.

As they apply to post-construction water quality controls, the definitions, exemptions, variances, and stormwater quality criteria applicable to new development, redevelopment, small construction sites, and large construction sites, as referenced in the Construction General Permit and attending supplemental document, shall apply unless otherwise noted in the Manual. In instances where conflicts exist between OEPA criteria and the criteria presented in this section, the more stringent standards shall apply.

All stormwater quality control facilities shall be sized to completely capture and treat the WQv determined for the entire contributing drainage area, according to the criteria presented in Section 3.3.2. Stormwater quality control facilities may be integrated with the stormwater quantity controls addressed under Section 3.2. If not integrated, flows exceeding the capacity of the stormwater quality control shall be conveyed to a stormwater quantity control facility before being discharged offsite.

Any development or redevelopment that discharges to the City’s Combined Sewer System is exempt from the water quality controls required in this section. Whether a development is discharging to the Combined Sewer System will be determined by the City. An applicant may receive this determination by contacting Private Development Manager at the Department of Public Utilities.

3.3.1.2 Illicit Discharge and Illegal Dumping Control

An illicit discharge is any discharge into the storm drainage system that is not composed entirely of stormwater. It is the policy of the City that no person shall:

1. Construct, maintain, operate, and/or utilize any illicit connection,

2. Cause or allow any prohibited discharge, and

3. Act, cause, or permit any agent, employee, or independent contractor to construct, maintain, operate or utilize any illicit connection, or cause, allow or facilitate any prohibited discharge.
All development in the City shall be constructed in a manner that does not result in an illicit discharge into the City's stormwater system. Discharges allowable under the terms of an NPDES permit are not considered illicit discharges.

### 3.3.2 Water Quality Volume (WQ\(_v\)) and Water Quality Flow (WQ\(_f\)) Determination

The following formula shall be used to determine the design water quality volume (WQ\(_v\))\(^5\):

\[
WQ_v = C \times P \times \left(\frac{A}{12}\right)
\]

where:
- \(WQ_v\) = water quality volume in acre-feet
- \(C\) = runoff coefficient appropriate for storms less than 1 inch
- \(P\) = precipitation depth = 0.75 inch, and
- \(A\) = drainage area in acres

#### 3.3.2.1 Runoff Coefficients for Water Quality

Runoff coefficients appropriate for the various single family residential and Traditional Neighborhood Development (TND) types in Columbus are presented in Table 3-5. Runoff coefficients for non-single family residential and non-TND type developments may be determined using the following equation\(^6\):

\[
C = 0.858i^3 - 0.78i^2 + 0.774i + 0.04.
\]

where:
- \(i\) = percent of the drainage area that is impervious

Detailed criteria for using the WQ\(_v\) to design each accepted type of stormwater quality control facility is found in subsequent sections of the Manual.

---

\(^5\) Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Authorization for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity Under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

\(^6\) ASCE/WEF, "Urban Runoff Quality Management", 1997
3.3.2.2 Minimum Drawdown Requirements

With the exception of swales and proprietary flow-through devices, stormwater quality controls proposed for new development and redevelopment projects that disturb one (1) acre or more, shall meet the minimum draw-down times specified in Sections 3.3.4 and 3.3.5. The minimum drawdown times provided in Section 3.3.6.3 are applicable where vegetated swales are proposed to provide runoff treatment for projects that disturb five (5) acres or more. For vegetated swales, filter strips, or proprietary flow-through devices intended to serve project sites that disturb less than five (5) acres, the water quality flow (WQf) criteria presented below may be used to size these facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Runoff Coefficient for WQf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/business (TND-TC) and industrial</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-family (TND-NC)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12 – 1/8 acre lots (TND-NG)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8 acre lots (TND-NE)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4 acre lots</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 acre lots</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undeveloped</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2.3 Water Quality Flow (WQf) Determination

Vegetated swales, filter strips, and proprietary flow-through devices shall be designed to treat the entire WQf from the tributary area. Since treatment occurs as stormwater “flows through” these facilities, it is necessary to develop a design peak flow for the WQf representative of flow conditions that maximize treatment and retard flow. The following procedure shall be used to develop the design peak water quality flow:

1. Determine the time of concentration for the area draining into the swale, using the methodology in Section 2.2.2.2.
2. Define the peak intensity of the design storm producing the WQf using the intensity-duration-frequency curve for Central Ohio (Figure 2-1). For example, a drainage basin with a time of concentration of 10 minutes would have a peak rainfall intensity of approximately 1.5 inches/hour.
3. Use the rational method (Section 2.2.3.1) and the runoff coefficients (Section 3.3.2.1) to determine the peak flow rate through the swale.

3.3.3 Stormwater Quality Control – Acceptable Methods and Criteria

Four general categories of stormwater quality control facilities have been approved for use in the City:

1. Group 1 – Stormwater Basins
2. Group 2 – Media Filters
3. Group 3 – Vegetated Swales and Filter Strips (GI)
4. Group 4 – Controls for Commercial Activity Areas and Redevelopment

The City will allow the use of permeable pavement, green roofs or rain gardens if these alternative water quality BMPs have been approved by the Ohio EPA in a general permit.

Table 3-6 presents guidance information that may be used to select appropriate control facilities for the site. The designer shall present written documentation in their Plan supporting selection of appropriate control measures based upon site conditions.

**Table 3-6**

**Major Selection Criteria for Stormwater Quality Controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Group 1: Stormwater Basins</th>
<th>Group 2: Media Filters</th>
<th>Group 3: Vegetated Swales and Filter Strips</th>
<th>Group 4: Controls for Commercial Activity Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drainage area</td>
<td>&gt; 10 ac</td>
<td>&lt;5 ac</td>
<td>&lt;5 ac</td>
<td>&lt;5 ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land required</td>
<td>2-3%</td>
<td>&gt; 5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold weather issues</td>
<td>- Impacts of pavement de-icers</td>
<td>- Separation from buildings and sanitary sewers</td>
<td>- Impacts of pavement de-icers</td>
<td>— Clogging from icing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locational conflicts</td>
<td>- Separation from buildings and sanitary sewers</td>
<td>- Separation from buildings and sanitary sewers</td>
<td>- Requires mild slopes</td>
<td>- Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosquito and vector control issues</td>
<td>- Excessive aquatic vegetation</td>
<td>- Media clogging causes stagnant water</td>
<td>- Zero slopes, rutting, impermeable soils causing standing water</td>
<td>- Sediment debris buildup causes stagnant water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant R removal</td>
<td>- Meets Ohio EPA criteria for new development</td>
<td>- Meets Ohio EPA criteria for new development</td>
<td>- Meets Ohio EPA criteria for new development</td>
<td>- Pretreatment for commercial activity areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within each group, detailed design criteria are presented in subsequent sections that govern feasibility, conveyance, pretreatment, treatment, environmental/landscaping and maintenance requirements. The following major design considerations shall be addressed during design and documented in the Plan:

1. **Drainage Area** — The drainage area sizes provided in Table 3-6 are based on literature review of demonstrated design criteria, and are provided for guidance purposes only. Significant departures from this guidance may require that the designer provide additional information, upon request, to demonstrate that the facility
will function properly if the actual drainage area varies significantly from this guidance. Designers should keep in mind, however, that stormwater basins serving areas smaller than 10 acres may require extremely small outlets that are prone to clogging. Wet basins and stormwater wetlands typically require larger drainage areas or alternative water sources to sustain a permanent pool and maintain aquatic vegetation. An acceptable alternative to treating runoff from the entire site using a single BMP is to divide the development into smaller catchment areas where treatment can be provided by several smaller BMPs located throughout the development site.

2. **Hydraulics** — Design the facility with an outlet to control release rates and prevent clogging, provide storage for intense rain events, and install an observable high-flow bypass.

3. **Sediment Management** — Design the facility with pre-treatment for coarse sediments and a sediment storage volume for finer sediments.

4. **Health & Safety** — Design facilities containing a permanent pool with a healthy aquatic habitat for mosquito control and an aquatic bench with a maximum slope of 10 (H) to 1 (V) to increase public safety.

5. **Aesthetics** — Provide features that “hide” accumulated silt & debris and integrate the facility with overall site design.

6. **Maintainability** — Design the facility to minimize the amount and frequency of maintenance, to ease required maintenance activities, and to eliminate emergency / extraordinary maintenance requirements. Design criteria in the Manual are intended to facilitate maintenance, are required for facilities that will be maintained by the City, and are recommended for other facilities. If a design is proposed that does not include some or all of these features, the maintenance plan shall explain how maintenance activities shall be performed.

7. **Accessibility** — Design the facility to eliminate physical barriers (e.g., curbs and steep slopes) to entry for maintenance or emergency access, use strong, lightweight, non-corroding materials at access points (e.g., manhole covers and doors) to underground facilities, and provide legal right of entry for publicly maintained basins.

8. **Durability** — Design the facility to include strong, light-weight materials for “removable” features, reinforced concrete structures for “permanent” features, and hardy, disease-resistant vegetation.

9. **Separation from buildings and sanitary sewers** — Keep water quality controls that allow infiltration of runoff into the ground away from buildings, sanitary sewers, and building laterals to minimize infiltration/inflow into sanitary sewers.

10. **Cold Weather Issues** — Stormwater quality control facilities shall be designed to operate effectively under cold weather conditions. Design considerations include use of outlets that will not clog when frozen, additional pre-treatment and/or sediment storage/disposal in areas where sand or other solids are used for pavement deicing, and salt-tolerant plants in controls that incorporate vegetation.
11. **Mosquito and Vector Control** — Design criteria are included in the Manual that minimize conditions causing mosquito breeding without significantly compromising the effectiveness of controls that rely upon permanent pools of water and vegetation. The following guiding principles apply:

a) Areas of facilities outside the permanent pool shall be designed to drain completely toward the outlet or permanent pool within 72 hours of a precipitation event. Small depressions in paved, rip-rap, and/or vegetated areas shall not be allowed, and shall be eliminated if they form.

b) Wet detention basins and wetlands shall be designed to maximize habitats that promote colonization of the facility by mosquito predators (i.e., dragonflies, diving beetles, and mosquito fish). These facilities shall also incorporate large areas of open water to allow waves to propagate through vegetated areas, drowning mosquito larvae.

c) Underground and enclosed vaults containing certain stormwater quality controls are particularly susceptible to mosquito breeding. Facilities not intended to include a permanent pool of water shall be designed to drain without allowing standing water to remain, and shall not permit any trapped debris or sediment to create standing water. Air-tight lids shall be used on all access structures, and traps shall be provided on inlet and outlet pipes to limit mosquito access to standing water.

d) The maintenance plan for the facility shall address mosquito monitoring and control activities, including periodic harvesting of aquatic vegetation, removal of invasive/exotic and/or emigrant vegetation, removal of trash, debris sediment accumulation, and cleaning/rejuvenation of media filters.

### 3.3.4 Group 1 – Stormwater Basins

Stormwater basins typically provide a combination of a permanent pool and/or extended detention to treat the entire $WQ_v$. Acceptable design variants include:

1. Extended dry detention basin,
2. Extended wet detention basin, and
3. Constructed stormwater wetland.

Stormwater quality design features can be readily incorporated into stormwater basins that are designed to function as quantity control facilities, making them an attractive choice for stormwater controls. Stormwater basins that are intended to provide stormwater quantity as well as stormwater quality control shall be designed in accordance with the criteria presented in both this section and Section 3.2.

At a minimum, all stormwater basins shall be designed according to the general criteria in Sections 3.1 and 3.2.3.1. Additional design requirements are specified in the following sections. **Table 3-7** summarizes the major design criteria for the three types of stormwater basins. Major differences in design criteria are the required facility size,
the use of permanent pools, and the required drawdown time for a basin at design capacity. If a dam or spillway is part of the basin’s design, the design and construction of the basin are required to follow Ohio law pertaining to dam design (Section 1521 of the Ohio Revised Code and Chapter 1501:21 of the Ohio Administrative Code) and safety requirements.

### Table 3-7

**Major Design Criteria for Stormwater Basins**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Basin</th>
<th>Permanent Volume$^1$</th>
<th>Pool</th>
<th>Extended Detention Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Dry Detention</td>
<td>Forebay$^2$/Micropool$^2$</td>
<td>WQv</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Wet Detention</td>
<td>0.75*WQv</td>
<td>0.75*WQv</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td>Wetland$^3$</td>
<td>WQv</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Facility volumes should be increased 20 percent for sediment storage.

$^2$Permanent pools for forebay and micropool must both be sized to equal 0.10 (WQv).

$^3$Equals twice the 30-day summertime evaporation rate or 0.75 WQv, whichever is greater.

### 3.3.4.1 Extended Dry Detention Basins

Extended dry detention basins are designed to capture stormwater during small to moderate rain events and slowly release the captured volume over a specified period of time. **Figure 3-2** provides a schematic drawing of an extended dry detention basin. The following criteria shall be used to design extended dry detention basins intended to serve as water quality BMPs.

#### General Criteria

All extended dry detention basins shall be designed according to the following criteria:

1. The general criteria for stormwater controls in Section 3.1,
2. The general criteria for stormwater detention basins in Section 3.2.3.1,
3. The criteria for dry stormwater quantity control detention basins in Section 3.2.3.2, and
4. Specific criteria in this section.

#### Hydrology Requirements

The extended dry detention basin shall be sized to capture the WQv calculated according to the methodology in Section 3.3.2.

1. A minimum drawdown time of 48 hours shall be used to size the facility outlet, as described under the Outlet Facility and Outfall Protection Requirements later in this section.

2. If a stormwater quality control basin is incorporated within a stormwater quantity control basin, the entire stormwater quantity design storm, as defined in Section 3.2, shall be routed through the stormwater quality portion of the basin when sizing the facility.
**Layout and Geometry Requirements**

The layout and general requirements of extended dry detention basins shall meet the minimum requirements stipulated in this section:

1. The recommended minimum drainage area of ten acres is proposed to avoid outlets with extremely small orifices prone to clogging. Alternative stormwater quality control facilities specified in the Manual are generally preferred for drainage areas smaller than ten acres.

2. Additional storage equal to at least twenty percent of the WQ\textsubscript{v} shall be provided within the basin to account for sediment deposition. Ten percent of the storage volume shall be placed in the micropool surrounding the outlet control structure of the basin. The remaining ten percent of the storage volume shall be provided in the basin forebay.

3. The minimum length-to-width ratio for extended dry detention basins shall be 2:1. Where site conditions allow, basins should be wedge-shaped, narrowest at the inlet and widest at the outlet to achieve the required length-to-width ratio. Where site conditions do not allow this configuration, the length-to-width ratio shall be increased by relocating the basin inlet or outlet where possible, or by installing berms or baffles within the basin to the full depth of the WQ\textsubscript{v} to avoid short-circuiting and to increase travel time to the outlet.

4. If water quantity control is provided by parking lot storage, the WQ\textsubscript{v} shall not extend onto paved surfaces.
Figure 3-2. Schematic of an Extended Dry Detention Basin
Pretreatment Requirements
A forebay or other pretreatment feature shall be provided at all inlets of extended dry detention basins. Basin forebays shall be designed to meet the following minimum requirements:

1. Basin forebays shall be sized at no less than 10 percent of the WQv. The storage volume provided within the forebay will not count toward the total WQv requirement.
2. The forebay shall consist of a separate cell, formed by an acceptable barrier such as a rock and/or vegetated weir.
3. Direct maintenance access shall be provided to the forebay at a slope no steeper than 10 (H) to 1 (V).
4. Forebay side-slopes shall not exceed 4 (H) to 1 (V).
5. To make sediment removal easier, the bottom and side slopes of the forebay shall be lined with Class C concrete (per CMSC Section 499) having a minimum thickness of 6 inches. The concrete shall be reinforced with steel mesh (per CMSC Section 509) to accommodate temperature stresses.
6. A fixed vertical sediment depth marker shall be installed in the forebay to measure sediment deposition over time.
7. Forebays of basins that are privately owned and operated may be constructed upon the City’s approval with alternative bottom material, provided that an access point of sufficiently compacted material is available to support equipment necessary to perform the necessary routine maintenance for cleaning the structure.

Outlet Facility and Outfall Protection Requirements
Outlet designs shall provide the necessary drawdown time, route flood flows, resist clogging, and facilitate maintenance. The outlet designs for extended wet detention basins may be used (see Section 3.3.4.2 for design criteria) for extended dry detention basins with micropools. The following criteria shall be used to design outlet facilities and outfall protection:

1. The outlet shall be designed to release 50 percent of the WQv in 16 hours, and 100 percent of the WQv in 48 hours.
2. A micropool shall be provided at the outlet end of the basin. Basin micropools shall be sized at no less than 10 percent of the WQv. The storage volume provided within the micropool will not count toward the total WQv requirement. Direct maintenance access shall be provided to the micropool at a slope no steeper than 10 (H) to 1 (V). Micropool side-slopes shall not exceed 4 (H) to 1 (V).
3. If a single orifice outlet is used, it shall be designed with the following equation:

   \[ Q = A \cdot C \cdot (64.4 \cdot H)^{1/2} \]

   where:

   \( Q \) = orifice discharge rate, cfs
   \( A \) = area of the orifice, ft

   \( H \) = head, ft

   \( C \) = orifice coefficient
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C = orifice coefficient
   = 0.66 for material thicknesses less than the orifice diameter
   = 0.80 for material thicknesses thicker than the orifice diameter

H = head, measured from the centerline of the orifice, ft

4. Single orifice outlets with diameters of at least 4 inches may be used as the water quality outlet for extended dry detention basins. To prevent clogging, the City will not allow using a single orifice outlet that is less than 4 inches in diameter unless the designer presents sufficient information proving that the orifice will not clog. Alternative outlet designs (e.g., V-notch weir, perforated) of smaller orifice diameter may be permitted upon City approval if acceptable design practice is proven for site conditions. Alternative orifice designs shall also include appropriately aggressive operation and maintenance plans.

5. The principal spillway for flows in excess of the WQv shall be designed according to criteria in Section 3.2 and equipped with a removable trash rack.

6. Extended dry detention basins that are intended to serve as a stormwater quality control only, must be designed to safely bypass all storms larger than the WQv, up to and including the 100-year storm event (Section 2.2) to an appropriately sized stormwater quantity control facility.

3.3.4.2 Extended Wet Detention Basins

Extended wet detention basins provide a permanent pool of water overlain with an extended detention volume that drains following rainfall events. Basins designed according to the criteria in this section will provide settling for suspended solids entrained in the stormwater. Figure 3-3 provides a schematic drawing of an extended wet detention basin. The following criteria shall be used to design extended wet detention basins.

**General Criteria**

Extended wet detention basins shall be designed according to the following criteria:

1. The general criteria for stormwater controls in Section 3.1,
2. The general criteria for stormwater detention basins in Section 3.2.3.1,
3. The criteria for wet stormwater quantity control detention basins in Section 3.2.3.3, and
4. Specific criteria in this section.

**Hydrology Requirements**

The volume of the extended wet detention basin shall be 150 percent of the WQv, calculated according to the methodology in Section 3.3.2.

1. This volume shall be split, with approximately 75 percent of the WQv placed in the permanent pool, and 75 percent of the WQv placed in the extended detention volume overlaying the permanent pool.
2. If a stormwater quality control basin is incorporated within a stormwater quantity control basin, the entire stormwater quantity design storm, as defined in Section 3.2, shall be routed through the stormwater quality portion of the basin when sizing the facility.

**Layout and Geometry Requirements**

The layout and general requirements of extended wet detention basins shall meet the minimum requirements stipulated in this section:

1. The recommended minimum drainage area of 20 acres is proposed to avoid basins where the permanent pool partially or completely evaporates during dry weather conditions. Alternative facilities specified in the Manual are generally preferred for drainage areas smaller than 20 acres.

2. Extended wet detention basins shall only be allowed under the following conditions:
   a) Where existing soils are categorized as hydrologic soil group C (HSG-C) or hydrologic soil group D (HSG-D),
   b) Where gravelly sands or fractured bedrock are not present, or
   c) Where a liner is installed to sustain the permanent pool of water thereby avoiding basins where the permanent pool partially or completely infiltrates into the ground.

3. The minimum length-to-width ratio for extended wet detention basins shall be 2 (L) to 1 (W). Where site conditions allow, basins should be wedge-shaped, narrowest at the inlet and widest at the outlet to achieve the required length-to-width ratio. Where site conditions do not allow this configuration, the length-to-width ratio shall be increased by relocating the basin inlet or outlet where possible, or by installing berms or baffles within the basin to the full depth of the WQ, to avoid short-circuiting and to increase travel time to the outlet.

   The depths of open water areas within the basin shall be between 4-feet and 12-feet on average to prevent thermal stratification. The perimeter of all deep pool areas (four feet or greater in depth) shall be surrounded by an aquatic bench meeting the design criteria in Section 3.2.3.3.

4. Wherever possible, wetland plants shall be incorporated into the basin design. A landscaping plan for the basin shall be prepared to indicate how aquatic and terrestrial areas will be established with vegetation. A list of recommended Native Plant Species for the Central Ohio area is provided in **Appendix B**.

5. Additional storage equal to at least 20 percent of the WQ, shall be provided within the basin to account for sediment deposition.
Figure 3-3. Schematic of Extended Wet Detention Basin
**Pretreatment**
A forebay or other pretreatment feature shall be provided at the inlets of all basins that are to be publicly maintained, and is recommended for basin inlets that are to be privately owned and operated. Basin forebays provided on publicly maintained basins shall meet these minimum requirements:

1. Basin forebays shall be sized to provide at least 10 percent of the WQ\(_v\). The storage volume provided within the forebay will count toward the total WQ\(_v\) requirement.
2. The forebay shall consist of a separate cell, formed by an acceptable barrier such as a rock weir.
3. Direct maintenance access shall be provided to the forebay at a slope no steeper than 10 (H) to 1 (V).
5. To make sediment removal easier, the bottom and side slopes of the forebay shall be lined with Class C concrete (per CMSC Section 499) having a minimum thickness of 6 inches. The concrete shall be reinforced with steel mesh (per CMSC Section 509) to accommodate temperature stresses.
6. A fixed vertical sediment depth marker shall be installed in the forebay to measure sediment deposition over time.
7. Forebays of basins that are privately owned and operated may be constructed upon the City's approval with alternative bottom material, provided that an access point of sufficiently compacted material is available to support equipment necessary to perform the necessary routine maintenance for cleaning the structure.

**Outlet Facility and Outfall Protection Requirements**
Outlet designs shall provide extended drawdown time, route flood flows, resist clogging, and facilitate maintenance. **Figure 3-4** illustrates accepted outlets for extended wet detention basins and extended dry detention basins with micropools. Regardless of the design drawdown time, the outlet shall be designed according to the following criteria:

1. The outlet shall be designed to release 50 percent of the WQ\(_v\) in 8 hours and 100 percent of the WQ\(_v\) in 24 hours.
2. The principal spillway for flows in excess of the WQ\(_v\) shall be designed according to criteria in Section 3.2 and equipped with a removable trash rack.
3. Extended detention basins that are intended to serve as a water quality BMP only, must be designed to safely bypass all storms up to and including the 100-year storm event to an appropriately sized flood control facility.
4. To prevent clogging, the City will not allow the use of a single orifice outlet that is less than 4 inches in diameter unless the designer presents sufficient information proving that the orifice will not clog. Alternative outlet designs (e.g., V-notch weir, perforated) of smaller orifice diameter may be permitted upon City approval if acceptable design practice is proven for site conditions. Alternative orifice designs shall also include appropriately aggressive operation and maintenance plans.
Figure 3-4. Accepted Outlet Designs for Extended Wet Detention Basins and Micropools within Extended Dry Detention Basins
5. The use of a submerged reverse-slope pipe that extends downward from the riser to an inflow point one foot below the normal pool elevation of the permanent pool is a recommended method to reduce clogging of the WQv discharge pipe.

3.3.4.3 Constructed Wetlands

Similar in design to wet basins, constructed wetlands treat stormwater by providing an extended detention zone (above shallow permanent pools) sized to capture and release the calculated WQv. Constructed wetlands, illustrated in Figure 3-5, are depressed, heavily planted areas that are designed to receive flow during dry periods in order to support aquatic vegetation. The amount of surface area required for a constructed wetland is typically larger than that of a wet basin due to the limited allowable depths required for wetland design. Constructed wetlands that are supplied by surface water runoff from drainage areas less than 20 acres are defined as pocket wetlands. Pocket wetlands must rely on groundwater as an alternative source to sustain a permanent pool. The following criteria shall apply to the design of constructed wetlands.

General Criteria

All constructed wetlands shall be designed according to the following criteria:

1. The general criteria for stormwater controls in Section 3.1,
2. The general criteria for stormwater detention basins in Section 3.2.3.1,
3. The criteria for wet stormwater quantity control detention basins in Section 3.2.3.3,
4. Owners of wetland systems must agree to provide a mosquito monitoring and control plan within the maintenance plan for the BMP, and
5. Specific criteria in this section.

Layout and Geometry Requirements

The layout and geometric requirements of constructed wetlands shall meet the following minimum requirements:

1. The recommended minimum drainage area of 20 acres is proposed to avoid wetlands where the permanent pool completely evaporates during dry weather conditions. Pocket wetlands may be used to treat drainage areas smaller than 20 acres; however, a constant permanent pool must be provided by placing the bottom of the pocket wetland below the groundwater table. Applicants must demonstrate that sufficient groundwater elevation exists to support a pocket wetland system.

2. Constructed wetlands shall only be allowed where soils categorized by the NRCS as HSG-C or HSG-D exist, where gravelly sands or fractured bedrock are not present, or where a liner is installed to sustain the permanent pool of water and avoid permanent pools that partially or completely infiltrate into the ground. This requirement does not apply to pocket wetlands where the permanent pool is sustained by a groundwater source.
3. The permanent pool of constructed wetlands and the permanent pool of pocket wetlands shall be at least two times the volume of evapotranspiration during a thirty day drought at summer evaporation rates or 0.75WQv, whichever is greater. In cases where subsurface infiltration into and exfiltration out of the wetland are negligible, the summer evapotranspiration rates may be estimated as 0.75 times the pan evaporation rate of 0.2 inches/day reported for Columbus during June, July and August. Appendix D provides an example water balance calculation.

4. An extended detention volume equal to the WQv shall be provided above the permanent pool of all constructed wetlands. The outlet shall be designed to release 50 percent of the WQv in 8 hours and 100 percent of the WQv in 24 hours.

5. The minimum length-to-width ratio for a constructed wetland shall be 2:1. Where site conditions allow, basins should be wedge-shaped, narrowest at the inlet and
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7 Kadlec, Robert and Robert Knight, *Treatment Wetlands*, CRC Lewis Publishers, 1996
8 National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, Mean Monthly, Seasonal, and Annual Pan Evaporation for the United States, NOAA Technical Report NWS 34, Washington DC, 1982
widest at the outlet, to achieve the required length-to-width ratio. Where site conditions do not allow this configuration, the length-to-width ratio shall be increased by relocating the basin inlet or outlet where possible, or by installing berms or baffles within the basin to the full depth of the WQv to avoid short-circuiting and to increase travel time to the outlet.

6. Constructed wetlands shall be provided with a drain so that the facility can be emptied to allow maintenance activities and to dry bottom sediments (allowing natural oxidation of built-up organics). The drain shall be designed in accordance with the emergency drain systems required for detention basins as described in Section 3.2.3.1.

7. With the exception of pocket wetlands, approximately 50 percent of the permanent pool volume defined in item 3, plus a sediment storage volume equal to at least 20 percent of the WQv, shall be placed in deep water zones (areas with depths between 4- and 12-feet) to sustain fish communities and provide wave action to control mosquito populations. At a minimum, deep water zones shall be placed within the forebay and around the primary outlet to minimize disruption of wetland vegetation during sediment removal operations. The remainder of the facility shall consist of shallow water zones. Dry weather depths in shallow water zones (i.e., areas less than 18 inches deep) should vary depending on the vegetation selected. Permanent pool depths shall be 6 inches or less within at least 35 percent of the shallow water zone.

For pocket wetlands requiring a permanent pool volume equivalent to the WQv, approximately 25 percent of the permanent pool volume, plus a sediment storage volume equal to at least 20 percent of the WQv, shall be placed in deep water zones (areas with depths between 4- and 12-feet). As with larger wetlands, the deep water zones shall be placed within the forebay and around the primary outlet to minimize disruption of wetland vegetation during sediment removal operations. The remaining 75 percent of the permanent pool volume shall consist of shallow water zones. Dry weather depths in shallow water zones (i.e., areas less than 18 inches deep) should vary depending on the vegetation selected. Permanent pool depths shall be 6 inches or less within at least 35 percent of the shallow water zone.

8. The bottom of the permanent pool between the deep and shallow water zones shall be sloped no steeper than 4 (H) to 1 (V).

9. The maximum depth of the extended detention zone above the permanent pool shall not exceed 2 feet to reduce stress on herbaceous wetland plants.

10. Permanent pool areas of wetlands that are deeper than 4 feet must be provided with an aquatic bench per Section 3.2.3.3.

11. Wetland plants shall be placed along the aquatic bench and other shallow pool areas (less than 4 feet). In instances where the basin is designed to support aquatic vegetation, a landscaping plan for the wetland shall be prepared to indicate how aquatic and terrestrial areas will be established with vegetation. Woody vegetation may not be planted or allowed to grow on the embankment within 15 feet of the toe of the embankment, and within 25 feet from the principal spillway structure. The
establishment of woody vegetation in other areas around the wetland facility is encouraged to provide shade and moderate surface water temperatures. A list of recommended Native Plant Species for Central Ohio is provided in Appendix B.

**Pretreatment**
Due to the sensitivity of wetland vegetation to sedimentation, a forebay, or other pretreatment feature, shall be provided at the inlets of all constructed wetlands that are to be either publicly or privately owned. Wetland forebays shall be three feet to six feet in depth and shall meet the following minimum requirements:

1. Wetland forebays shall be sized to provide at least 10 percent of the WQv. The storage volume provided within the forebay will count toward the total WQv requirement.
2. The forebay shall consist of a separate cell, formed by an acceptable barrier such as a rock weir.
3. Direct maintenance access shall be provided to the forebay at a slope no steeper than 10 (H) to 1 (V).
5. To make sediment removal easier, the bottom and side slopes of the forebay shall be lined with Class C concrete (per CMSC Section 499) having a minimum thickness of 6 inches. The concrete shall be reinforced with steel mesh (per CMSC Section 509) to accommodate temperature stresses. These criteria apply to forebays that are to be publicly maintained, and are recommended for forebays that are to be privately owned and operated.
6. A fixed vertical sediment depth marker shall be installed in the forebay to measure sediment deposition over time.
7. Forebays of basins that are privately owned and operated may be constructed upon the City's approval with alternative bottom material, provided that an access point of sufficiently compacted material is available to support equipment necessary to perform the routine maintenance for cleaning the structure.

**Landscape Requirements**
1. A landscaping plan shall be provided that indicates the methods used to establish and maintain wetland coverage. Minimum elements of a plan include: delineation of landscaping zones, selection of appropriate plant species, planting plan, sequence for preparing the wetland bed (including soil amendments, if needed), and sources of plant material. The width of the vegetation zones and amount of emergent vegetation shall be limited to no more than 50 percent of the wetland area in order to control mosquitoes.
2. If a minimum vegetative coverage of 50 percent is not achieved in the planted wetland zones after the second growing season, a reinforcement planting will be required.
3. All landscaping and reinforcement plans shall be signed by a registered engineer or registered landscape architect, with direction provided by an experienced wetland
Outlet Facility and Outfall Protection Requirements

The outlet design requirements provided in Section 3.3.4.2 for extended wet detention basins shall apply to constructed wetlands. In addition, the outlet structure shall be designed to conduct continuous dry weather flow through the wetland system while maintaining normal pool elevations.

3.3.5 Group 2 – Media Filters

Media filters remove pollutants by passing stormwater through a bed of sand, soil peat, or other media that filters particulate matter and/or absorbs the trapped pollutants. Two types of media filters are allowed by the City:

1. Bioretention Facility
2. Sand Filter

Media filter shall only be permitted where a private entity will assume maintenance responsibility.

3.3.5.1 Bioretention Facilities

A bioretention system consists of a soil bed planted with native vegetation located above an underdrained gravel layer. Stormwater runoff entering the bioretention system is filtered first through the vegetation and then the soil bed before being conveyed downstream through the underdrain system, slowing the runoff velocity and treating stormwater runoff by absorption, decomposition, and filtration\(^9\). Bioretention facilities are often sited adjacent to and used to treat runoff from paved surfaces such as parking lots. Sites utilizing bioretention facilities for water quality control must also meet the stormwater quantity control requirements of Section 3.2. Stormwater quantity controls may either be integrated into the bioretention system or provided in a separate downstream facility. A schematic of a bioretention facility is shown in Figure 3-6.

Figure 3-6. Schematic of a Typical Bioretention Facility
Hydrology Requirements

Bioretention facilities shall be designed to capture and store the WQ\textsubscript{v} prior to filtration and shall provide a filtration time of no less than 24 hours (when the filter media is new) and no more than 40 hours (when the filter media is clogged and requires maintenance). A drawdown time of 40 hours shall be used for facility design. The following criteria shall apply to the design of bioretention facilities.

Layout and Geometry Requirements

1. The recommended maximum total drainage area of a bioretention facility is 5 acres, with drainage areas of one acre or less preferred in order to maximize sheet flow into the facility and to minimize ponding depth.

2. Bioretention systems may be constructed on-line or off-line. On-line systems receive runoff from all storms, providing treatment of the WQ\textsubscript{v}, with runoff from larger storms conveyed and/or stored within the bioretention system and discharged through an overflow. In off-line bioretention systems, most of the runoff from storms larger than the WQ\textsubscript{v} bypasses the system through an upstream diversion and is directed toward a stormwater quantity control device designed according to criteria in Section 3.2.

3. Bioretention facilities shall not be allowed in areas where the water table or bedrock is above the invert of the underdrain system.

4. In order to maximize treatment effectiveness, the site must be graded in such a way that minimizes erosion as sheet flow is conveyed to the treatment area.

5. Runoff from the tributary area of the bioretention facility shall be directed into a swale or other storage area sized to contain the entire WQ\textsubscript{v}. This swale shall partially or completely overlay the bioretention facility, as long as the maximum depth of water over the filtering media is no more than 12 inches. Water shall not cover the media longer than 72 hours after a precipitation event.

6. The surface area of the bioretention soil bed shall be determined based on the following equation:

\[ A = \frac{(WQ_{v} \cdot d)}{[3600 \cdot K \cdot T \cdot (h + d)]} \]

where:

- \( A \) = surface area of the bioretention planting soil bed (acre)
- \( WQ_{v} \) = water quality volume (acre-ft)
- \( d \) = depth of the planting soil bed (ft)
- \( T \) = drawdown time (hours)
  = 40 hours
- \( K \) = permeability of the planting soil within the soil bed (feet/sec)
  = \( 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \) feet/sec (minimum), which is equivalent to 0.5 inches/hr
- \( h \) = average depth of water above filter bed (ft)
  = half the maximum depth of water (maximum depth = 12 inches)
7. The minimum dimensions of the bioretention facility shall be 15 feet wide by 40 feet long, with facility widths of 25 feet preferred. These width criteria are established to allow enough space for a dense, randomly-distributed area of trees and shrubs to become established, enabling the bioretention area to tolerate the effects of heat stress, acid rain, runoff pollutants, and insect and disease infestations.

8. The side slopes for bioretention facilities shall not exceed 4 (H) to 1 (V).

**Planting Soil (Filter Media) Requirements**

The function of a bioretention facility is largely dependent on the characteristics of the planting soil (filter media) through which the runoff passes. The following criteria shall be used:

1. The planting soil for bioretention facilities shall consist of a mixture of sand, topsoil, and compost with a pH range of 5.2 and 7.0, a range where pollutants such as organic nitrogen and phosphorus can be adsorbed by the soil and microbial activity can flourish. In addition, the soil shall have infiltration rates no less than 0.5 inches per hour, achieved through the following standards\(^{10}\):
   a) 4 parts sand (per CMSC 703.06),
   b) 2 parts topsoil (per CMSC 653.02), and
   c) 2 parts compost (per ODOT CMSC 659.06). Note: Com-Til Compost from DOSD's Compost Facility is acceptable for use in bioretention facilities.

   Additional recommendations for the planting soil include a 1.5 to 3 percent organic content and a maximum 500 ppm concentration of soluble salts\(^{11}\).

2. If the existing soil does not meet the above characteristics, then it shall either be adjusted to meet the criteria or removed and replaced with an acceptable planting soil. Soil tests shall be performed for every 500 cubic yards of planting soil, with the exception of pH and organic content tests, which are required only once per bioretention area.

3. To prevent root intrusion into the underdrain system, the depth of the planting soil shall be no shallower than the root zone of the vegetation planted in the bioretention cell. The minimum depth shall be 2.5 feet. In addition, the depth shall be at least 4 inches below the largest root ball.

4. Planting soil depths of greater than 4 feet may require additional construction practices such as shoring measures. Planting soil shall be placed in 18 inches or greater lifts and lightly compacted until the desired depth is reached.

5. An 8-inch thick sand layer shall be placed along the sides of the trench, starting 12 inches below the final grade level and extending to the gravel layer in the bottom of the trench. The sand shall comply with CMSC 703.06, and shall be surrounded with
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\(^{10}\) ODOT, Location and Design Manual, Volume 2, Drainage Design

\(^{11}\) California BMP Handbook
a filter fabric.

6. Bioretention facilities shall be planted with a mixture of grass and other hardy, vegetation that can withstand prolonged periods in a wet environment, and be tolerant to road salts if receiving runoff from areas to be treated with deicing materials. Vegetation shall be selected from the list of Native Plant Species for Central Ohio is provided in Appendix B. Vegetation may include a mix of grasses and woody species, or may include woody species only with bare ground covered with mulch. Approximately one tree or shrub per 50 ft$^2$ (or 1000 per acre) of bioretention area should be included. It is recommended that three species each of both trees and shrubs are planted. Trees with high branching or open habits of growth are recommended to avoid shading and loss of grass cover. Since high canopy trees may be destroyed during maintenance the bioretention area should be vegetated to resemble a terrestrial forest community ecosystem that is dominated by understory trees. The shrub-to-tree ratio shall be 2:1 to 3:1.

7. A mulching layer 2 to 3 inches thick shall be provided above the planting soil when grass is not used, and shall be composed of shredded hardwood material to avoid floating. Mulch plays an important role in the bioretention facility. It helps maintain soil moisture, prevents erosion and helps to trap finer sediments.

**Underdrain and Outlet Requirements**

1. A perforated pipe underdrain shall be provided beneath the planting soil. The underdrain shall have a minimum grade of 0.5 percent. The perforated pipe shall have a diameter of 4 or 6 inches and shall meet the requirements of CMSC Section 720.07 or 720.12. A granular backfill of durable No. 57 aggregate, in accordance with CMSC Section 703.01, shall be provided up to a minimum of 4 inches above the outside diameter of the pipe.

2. An overflow designed to convey all storms up to and including the 100-year event shall be provided. Use of a vertical stand pipe or catch basin is recommended. For on-line facilities, this overflow may be designed to achieve the water quantity control criteria specified in Section 3.2.

**Pretreatment**

Flow entering the bioretention facility shall be limited to sheet flow to prevent eroding the side slopes of the facility. If flow has been concentrated prior to entering the bioretention facility, it shall be converted to sheet flow using a level spreader designed according to criteria in Section 2.3.6. In addition, the frequency of maintenance for bioretention facilities may be reduced by providing vegetated swales or filter strips around the facility. The purpose of the filter strip is to trap course sediments before they reach filter media, thereby reducing maintenance and increasing media longevity. Vegetated swales and filter strips can also be used as holding areas for the WQ$_v$ prior to filtration. The design of vegetative swales and filter strips is presented in Section 3.3.6.

**3.3.5.2 Sand Filters**

Stormwater sand filters are usually two-chambered facilities that include a pretreatment
settling basin and a filter bed filled with sand or other absorptive filtering media. As stormwater flows into the first chamber, large particles settle out, and then finer particles and other pollutants are removed as stormwater flows through the filtering media in the second chamber. The two most common examples of sand filters used in the United States are the Austin sand filter\textsuperscript{12} (Figure 3-7) and the Delaware sand filter\textsuperscript{13} (Figure 3-8). The Austin sand filtration system is built at grade and is most commonly used for larger drainage areas that have both impervious and pervious surfaces. Delaware sand filter systems are installed underground, and thus are most commonly used for highly impervious areas where land available for structural controls is limited.

\textsuperscript{12} City of Austin, TX. “Design of Water Quality Controls”. 1996
Hydrology Requirements
Sand filters shall be designed to capture and store the WQv prior to filtration and shall provide a filtration time of no less than 24 hours (when the filter media is new), and no more than 40 hours (when the filter media is clogged and requires maintenance). A drawdown time of 40 hours shall be used for facility design.

Layout and Geometry Requirements
1. The recommended maximum total drainage area of a Delaware sand filter is 1 acre, and the recommended maximum total drainage area of an Austin sand filter is 5 acres.

2. Sand filters require a significant amount of hydraulic head (about 4 feet), to allow flow through the system.

3. Sand filters shall be constructed with impermeable basin or chamber bottoms, which help to collect, treat, and release runoff to a storm drainage system or directly to surface water with no contact between contaminated runoff and groundwater.

4. A maintenance ramp shall be included in the design to facilitate access to the sedimentation and filter basins for maintenance activities (particularly for the Austin design).

5. Designs that utilize covered sedimentation and filtration basins must be accessible to vector control personnel via air-tight access doors to facilitate vector surveillance and control of the basins if needed.
Filter Media Requirements

1. The filter bed shall be sized to discharge the capture volume over a period of 40 hours using Darcy's Law, which relates the velocity of fluids to the hydraulic head and the coefficient of permeability of a medium\textsuperscript{14}. The resulting equation, as derived by the City of Austin, Texas, (1996), is:

\[ A_f = \frac{WQ_v \cdot d}{k \cdot t \cdot (h+d)} \]

where:

- \( A_f \) = area of the filter bed (ft\textsuperscript{2})
- \( d \) = depth of the filter bed (feet)
  = 1.5 feet
- \( k \) = coefficient of permeability of the filtering medium (ft/day)
  = 3.5 ft/day for sand satisfying CMSC 703.02(A)
- \( t \) = time for the WQ\textsubscript{v} to filter through the system (days)
  = 1.67 (days)
- \( h \) = average water height above the sand bed (feet)
  = one-half of the maximum head.

2. The sand filter shall be constructed with 18 inches of sand (CMSC 703.02(A)) overlying 6 inches of gravel (CMSC 703.04). The sand and gravel media shall be separated by permeable geotextile fabric (CMSC 712.09, Type A), and the gravel layer shall be placed on geotextile fabric. Four-inch perforated PVC pipe (CMSC 720.07) shall be used to drain captured flows from the gravel layer. A minimum of 2 inches of gravel must cover the top surface of the PVC pipe. Figure 3-9 presents a schematic representation of a standard sand bed profile.

3. The sand grain size distribution shall be comparable to that of “washed concrete sand,” as specified for fine aggregate in CMSC 703.02(A).

Underdrain and Outlet Requirements

1. In an Austin filter, the underdrain piping shall consist of a main collector pipe and two or more lateral branch pipes, each with a minimum diameter of 4 inches. The pipes shall have a minimum slope of 1\% (1/8 inch per foot) and the laterals shall be spaced at intervals of no more than 10 feet. There shall be no fewer than two lateral branch pipes.

2. All piping shall be Schedule 40 PVC per CMSC 720.07.

3. The maximum spacing between rows of perforations shall not exceed 6 inches.

4. Each individual underdrain pipe shall have a cleanout access location.

\textsuperscript{14} City of Austin, TX. “Design of Water Quality Controls”. 1996
Pretreatment
1. A sedimentation basin shall pretreat runoff before entering the sand filter. The sedimentation basin shall be designed to capture the entire WQ, and discharge it over 40 hours.

2. The water depth in the sedimentation basin when full should be at least 2 feet and no greater than 10 feet.

3. A fixed vertical sediment depth marker should be installed in the sedimentation basin to indicate when 20% of the basin volume has been lost because of sediment accumulation.

4. The inflow structure to the sedimentation chamber shall incorporate a flow-splitting device capable of isolating the capture volume and bypassing the peak flow of larger storms around the facility when the sedimentation/filtration basin is full.

5. Energy dissipation is required at the sedimentation basin inlet. Flows entering the basin shall be distributed uniformly and at maximum allowable velocities of 2 ft/sec in order to prevent re-suspension and encourage calm conditions necessary for deposition of solids.

6. The outflow structure from the sedimentation chamber shall be either a weir or a riser pipe through a concrete wall. Any weirs shall extend across the full width of the facility such that no short-circuiting of flows can occur.

7. The receiving end of the sand filter shall be protected (splash pad, riprap, etc.) such that erosion of the sand media does not occur and flow is spread across the entire filter bed.

Figure 3-9. Sand Bed Profile with Gravel Filter
8. If a riser pipe is used to connect the sedimentation and filtration basins (example\textsuperscript{15} in Figure 3-10), a valve shall be included to isolate the sedimentation basin in case of a hazardous material spill in the watershed. The control for the valve must be accessible at all times, including when the basin is full. The riser pipe shall have a minimum diameter of 6 inches with four 1-inch perforations per row. The vertical spacing between rows should be 4 inches (on centers).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{riser.png}
\caption{Schematic Representation of a Perforated Riser for Discharging the Sedimentation Basin into the Sand Filter}
\end{figure}

3.3.6 Group 3 – Swales and Filter Strips

Swales are shallow, mildly sloped trapezoidal channels, and filter strips are sloped surfaces with a relatively mild longitudinal slope. The surfaces of both filters are typically composed of dense turf grass, and are effective at reducing runoff peaks and removing pollutants. They are designed to convey and/or store the water quality volume (WQ\textsubscript{v}) at shallow depths, preferably as sheet flow, with peak depths significantly less than the height of the grass. Under these conditions, vegetated swales, vegetated filter strips, and dry extended detention swales allow opportunities for infiltration and trapping of solids in the vegetation. Criteria in Ohio EPA’s Construction General Permit limit use of flow-through designed vegetated swales and vegetated filter strips to projects that disturb less than 5 acres. Dry extended detention swales may be used for projects of any size.

3.3.6.1 Vegetated Swales\textsuperscript{16}

Vegetated swales are open, shallow channels with vegetation covering the side slopes and bottom that collect and slowly convey runoff flow to downstream discharge points. They are designed to treat runoff through filtering by the vegetation in the channel, filtering through a subsoil matrix, and/or infiltration into the underlying soils. Swales can be natural or manmade. Figure 3-11 shows a schematic diagram\textsuperscript{17} of a typical vegetated filter strip and swale.

![Figure 3-11. Typical Vegetated Swale and Filter Strip](image)

**Hydrology Requirements**

Vegetated swales that are proposed for sites less than five acres shall be designed to treat the WQ\textsubscript{f} generated by the tributary area. Procedures for determining the WQ\textsubscript{f} are provided in Section 3.3.2.3.

Appendix D provides an example of how to calculate a peak flow for designing vegetated swales.


Layout and Geometry Requirements

1. The topography of the site shall be used or re-graded as needed to design a channel with appropriate slope and cross-sectional area. Site topography may also dictate a need for additional structural controls. Swales are generally recommended for drainage areas less than 5 acres, with a total drainage area of 1 to 2 acres preferred.

2. Trapezoidal channels are normally recommended, but other configurations, such as parabolic, can also provide substantial water quality improvement and may be easier to mow than designs with sharp breaks in slope.

3. Use Manning’s Equation to design the swale under peak WQ\textsubscript{V} design storm conditions, using criteria within this section to establish design limits for the swale.

4. Grass swales shall be designed so that the water level does not exceed 3 inches at the design peak flow for the WQ\textsubscript{V}.

5. Longitudinal slopes may range between 2 and 6 percent. Flatter slopes can be used, if sufficient to provide adequate conveyance. Steep slopes increase flow velocity, decrease detention time, and may require energy dissipating and grade check. Steep slopes also can be managed using a series of check dams to terrace the swale and reduce the slope to within acceptable limits. The use of check dams with swales also promotes infiltration.

6. The width of the swale should be determined using Manning’s Equation, at the peak flow during the water quality design storm, using a Manning’s “n” of 0.20 for regularly mowed areas. The maximum bottom width shall not exceed 10.

7. The swale shall have a length that provides a minimum hydraulic residence time, or the time it takes for the water to pass through the swale, of at least five minutes. Regardless of the hydraulic residence time, the length of the swale shall not be less than 100 feet.

8. Swales may be designed to safely convey storms generating more than the WQ\textsubscript{I} according to criteria provided in Section 2.3.7. The peak velocity of the 10-year design storm through the swale shall be non-erosive for the soil and vegetative cover provided (See Table 2-17 for maximum allowable velocities). Three inches of freeboard should be provided.

9. The side slopes of the swale shall be no steeper than 4 (H) to 1 (V).

Vegetation Requirements

Swales must be vegetated in order to provide adequate treatment of runoff. It is important to maximize water contact with vegetation and the soil surface. For general purposes, select fine, close-growing, water-resistant grasses, per CMSC Section 659 on seeding and mulching and CMSC Section 653 on topsoil. Where possible, one or more of these grass seeds shall be in the seed mixes specified by CMSC. If possible, divert runoff (other than necessary irrigation) during the period of vegetation establishment. Where runoff diversion is not possible, cover graded and seeded areas with suitable erosion control materials.
The surface shall be graded flat prior to placement of vegetation. Initial establishment of vegetation shall receive attentive care, including appropriate watering, fertilization, and prevention of excessive flow, until vegetation completely covers the area and is well established. Use of a permanent irrigation system to keep the vegetation alive and healthy during droughts may help provide maximal water quality performance.

**Pretreatment Requirements**

Runoff shall enter swales as sheet flow. Use of a level spreading device (vegetated berm, sawtooth concrete border, rock trench, etc.) designed according to criteria in Section 2.3.6 to facilitate overland sheet flow may be allowed by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, but is not normally recommended because of maintenance considerations and the potential for standing water.

### 3.3.6.2 Vegetated Filter Strips

Grassed filter strips are vegetated surfaces that are designed to treat sheet flow from adjacent surfaces. Filter strips function by slowing runoff velocities and allowing sediment and other pollutants to settle and by providing some infiltration into underlying soils. Filter strips were originally used as an agricultural treatment practice and have more recently evolved into an urban practice. With proper design and maintenance, filter strips can provide relatively high pollutant removal. In addition, the public views them as landscaped amenities and not as stormwater infrastructure.

Filter strips consume a large amount of space relative to other BMPs. They are best suited to treating runoff from roads and highways, roof downspouts, small parking lots, and pervious surfaces. They are also ideal components of the "outer zone" of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone, or as pretreatment to a structural practice. Filter strips are generally impractical in ultra-urban areas where little pervious surface exists.

**Hydrology Requirements**

Vegetated filter strips must be designed to treat the WQf generated by the tributary area. Procedures for determining the WQf are provided in Section 3.3.2.3.

**Layout and Geometry Requirements**

Filter strips shall be located across gently sloping areas between 1 and 6 percent and have a robust and diffuse vegetative cover. Concentrated flow shall not be allowed to occur along filter strips, as it causes erosion that effectively eliminates water quality benefits.

1. Filter strips are generally recommended for drainage areas less than 5 acres, with a total drainage area of 1 to 2.5 acres preferred.

2. Only sheet flow shall be allowed to enter the filter strip. Both the top and toe of the slope shall be level to encourage sheet flow and prevent erosion.

---

In instances where the filter strip receives sheet flow directly from adjacent pavement areas, the top of the filter strip shall be installed 2 to 5 inches below the adjacent pavement so that vegetation and sediment accumulation at the edge of the strip does not prevent runoff from entering. To prevent erosion along the upstream edge of the filter strip, a rock level spreader shall be used at the top of the slope. The rock level spreader must be placed level; have a minimum width and depth of 1 foot; and be constructed of No. 57 aggregate. See Figure 3-11 for details.

In instances where a filter strip is to receive flow from either a storm sewer or open conveyance channel, the concentrated flow must be converted to sheet flow using a concrete level spreader as illustrated in Figures 2-8 and 2-9.

3. The length (perpendicular to flow) of the filter strip shall be determined using Manning’s equation based on a wide-flow assumption. Flow depth across the filter strip shall not exceed the maximum depth values provided in the table below and a minimum Manning’s “n” value of 0.20 shall apply.

4. The required filter strip width (parallel to flow) and filter strip length (perpendicular to flow) shall be based on filter strip slope as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Strip</th>
<th>Maximum Flow Depth (inches)</th>
<th>Minimum Filter Strip Width (feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0”</td>
<td>35’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5”</td>
<td>60’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0”</td>
<td>75’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0”</td>
<td>100’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5”</td>
<td>120’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5”</td>
<td>150’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Filter strips shall be designed to drain between storms. To satisfy this requirement, perforated underdrains may be required under filter strips where the seasonal groundwater level is at least 2 feet below the filter strip, based on the Franklin County Soil Survey or a soils report prepared to support project design.

Vegetation Requirements
The vegetation requirements for filter strips shall be identical to those provided for vegetated swales in Section 3.3.6.1.

Pretreatment Requirements
Runoff shall enter filter strips as sheet flow. It is preferred that sheet flow across impervious surfaces be maintained into and through the filter strip thereby eliminating the need for a level spreader. Use of a level spreading device designed according to criteria in Section 2.3.6 will be required by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage in instances where concentrated flow must be converted to sheet flow prior to discharge.

---

3.3.6.3 Dry Extended Detention Swales

Dry extended detention swales incorporate a combination of dry cells formed by check dams or other means and filtering media to treat stormwater runoff by settling, absorption, decomposition, and filtration. The practical applications for dry extended detention swales are low density residential projects or for very small impervious areas. Sites utilizing dry extended detention swales for water quality control may incorporate additional storage and outlet structures to meet the stormwater quantity control requirements of Section 3.2. A schematic of a dry extended detention swale is shown in Figure 3-12.

**Hydrology Requirements**

Dry extended detention swales shall be designed to capture and release 50 percent of the WQ_v in 8 hours and 100 percent of the WQ_v in 24 hours. Water retained within the swale may be released through an outlet at the downstream end of the swale and/or by infiltration into the soil. The native soils shall be replaced with a 30-inch deep layer of permeable soils underlain with an underdrain system. The following criteria shall apply to the design of dry extended detention swales.
Figure 3-12. Schematic of Typical Dry Extended Detention Swale\textsuperscript{20}

\textsuperscript{20} Maryland Department of the Environment, *Maryland Stormwater Design Manual, Volume I*, 2000
Layout and Geometry Requirements
1. The total recommended drainage area of a dry extended detention swale is 5 acres, with a total drainage area of 1 to 2 acres preferred. Multiple cells should be considered to distribute the flow and facilitate proper drainage of the facility.

2. Dry extended detention swales shall not be allowed in areas where the water table or bedrock is above the invert of the underdrain system.

3. In order to maximize treatment effectiveness, the site must be graded in such a way that minimizes erosion as sheet flow is conveyed to the treatment area.

4. Dry extended detention swales should maintain a maximum ponding depth of one foot at the “mid-point” of the channel’s longitudinal profile, and a maximum depth of 18 inches at the downstream end point of the channel for storage of the WQv. Check dams or similar structures may be installed along the longitudinal profile to meet this criteria.

5. The side slopes for dry extended detention swales shall not exceed 4 (H) to 1 (V).

6. Swales may be designed to safely convey storms generating more than the WQv according to criteria provided in Section 2.3.7. The peak velocity of the 10-year design storm through the swale shall be non-erosive for the soil and vegetative cover provided (See Table 2-17 for maximum allowable velocities). Three inches of freeboard should be provided.

Permeable Soil Requirements
The function of a dry extended detention swale is largely dependent on the characteristics of the soils underlying the swale. The soil underlying the swale shall be replaced with a 30-inch layer of permeable soil underlain with an underdrain system in order to provide proper drainage of the swale. The following criteria shall be used:

1. The permeable soil for dry extended detention swales shall consist of a mixture of sand, topsoil, and compost with a pH range of 5.2 and 7.0, a range where pollutants such as organic nitrogen and phosphorus can be adsorbed by the soil and microbial activity can flourish. In addition, the soil shall have infiltration rates greater than 0.5 inches per hour when saturated, achieved through the following standards21:
   a) 4 parts sand (per CMSC 703.06)
   b) 2 parts topsoil (per CMSC 653.02)
   c) 2 parts compost (per ODOT CMSC 659.06). Note: Com-Til Compost from DOSD’s Compost Facility is acceptable for use in dry extended detention swales.

2. Soil tests shall be performed for every 500 cubic yards of soil, with the exception of pH and organic content tests, which are required only once per dry extended detention swale.

21 ODOT, Location and Design Manual, Volume 2, Drainage Design
3. The minimum depth of the filter media shall be at least 30 inches. Dry extended detention swales shall be planted with grass that can withstand prolonged periods in a wet environment. No vegetation with a deeper root zone shall be allowed within the swale.

**Underdrain and Outlet Requirements**

1. A perforated pipe underdrain shall be provided beneath the permeable soil. The underdrain shall have a minimum grade of 0.5 percent. The perforated pipe shall have a diameter of 4 or 6 inches, and shall meet the requirements of CMSC Section 720.07 or 720.12. A granular backfill of durable No. 57 aggregate in accordance with CMSC Section 703.01 shall be provided up to a minimum of 4 inches above the outside diameter of the pipe.

2. The swale shall be designed to convey or divert all storms larger than the WQv up to and including the 100 year event. Storms larger than the WQv may be directed into a storm sewer system. Use of a vertical stand pipe or catch basin is recommended.

**Pretreatment**

Flow entering the dry extended detention swale shall be limited to sheet flow to prevent eroding the side slopes of the facility. If flow has been concentrated prior to entering the swale, it shall be converted to sheet flow using a level spreader designed according to criteria in Section 2.3.6. In addition, the frequency of maintenance for dry extended detention swales may be reduced by providing filter strips around the facility. The purpose of the filter strip is to trap course sediments before they reach permeable soil thereby reducing maintenance and preserving infiltration capacity. The design of filter strips is presented in Section 3.3.6.

**3.3.7 Group 4 – Water Quality Controls for Commercial Activity Areas**

The three groups of approved stormwater quality controls defined in the previous sections are appropriate for most commonly-occurring stormwater pollutants. Some pollutant sources, however, are not effectively controlled by the BMPs in the other three categories because they involve activities, materials, and/or wastes that are atypical of the commonly-occurring stormwater pollutants, in either the type or the concentration of the constituents found. These “high risk” pollutant sources must be either controlled separately or “pretreated” before being conveyed to one of the other three categories of BMPs.

A high-risk pollutant source is one possessing pollutant loads and/or concentrations that are different than typical urban runoff, as characterized by the USEPA National Urban Runoff Program (NURP), presenting an immediate threat to water quality, and/or interfering with the successful operation of other approved stormwater controls. These sources most commonly occur within commercial activity areas associated with commercial and industrial land uses. This section defines the types of businesses where such pollutants commonly are found, the specific activities known to generate these pollutants, and controls required in order to receive necessary development
approvals from the City.

3.3.7.1 Businesses Subject to Controls for High-Risk Pollutant Sources

The controls in this section shall apply to the following business categories and/or activities:

1. Any business considered by U.S. EPA and Ohio EPA to involve industrial activities and require an NPDES permit for stormwater discharges from industrial activities. Such businesses are defined by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) under 40 CFR 122.26. A comprehensive list of these businesses is not provided here, but largely falls within the following SIC Divisions:
   a) Division B  Mining
   b) Division C:  Construction
   c) Division D:  Manufacturing
   d) Division E:  Transportation, Communications, Electric, Gas, and Sanitary Services
   e) Division F:  Wholesale Trade

Any development containing an industrial activity, as defined by Ohio EPA, shall meet all applicable requirements of Ohio EPA’s permit for stormwater discharges from industrial activities. To obtain coverage, a discharger must complete and submit the NOI form available from Ohio EPA along with the appropriate fee to the following address:

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Fiscal Administration
Post Office Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049

A copy of the NOI shall be submitted to SWMS as part of the Stormwater Management Report.

2. Businesses involved in the sale, resale, recycling, repair, fueling, or cleaning of automobiles and other vehicles:
   b) Major Group 51:  Wholesale Trade Non-durable Goods (including 5171 – Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals)
   c) Major Group 55:  Automotive Dealers and Gasoline Service Stations
   d) Major Group 75:  Automotive Repair, Services, and Parking

3. Businesses that involve the preparation or sale of food:
   a) Major Group 54:  Food Stores
b) Major Group 58: Eating and Drinking Places

4. Other businesses that store or handle materials outdoors:
   a) Major Group 52: Building Materials, Hardware, Garden Supply, and Mobile Home Dealers
   b) Other businesses identified by the City with significant outdoor material or waste storage, handling, or disposal

3.3.7.2 Commercial Activity Areas Requiring Control
The control requirements in this section of the manual only apply to commercial activity areas of the businesses in the previous section, defined as outdoor areas where the following activities are conducted or are otherwise exposed to stormwater:

1. Processing, manufacturing, fabrication, cleaning, or other permanent outdoor equipment or work areas,
2. Areas where vehicles and equipment are repaired, maintained, stored, disassembled, or disposed, and
3. Areas where the high-risk materials defined in Table 3-8 are handled and stored, including but not limited to loading docks, fuel and other liquid storage/dispensing facilities; material bins, containers, stockpiles, and other storage containers; and waste dumpsters, bins, cans, tanks, stockpiles, and other waste containers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Risk Materials</th>
<th>Low-Risk Materials</th>
<th>Exempt Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required controls:</strong> Conduct activities indoors, as allowed by City regulations, or outdoors with controls defined in this section.</td>
<td><strong>Required controls:</strong> Use temporary covers of plastic film or sheeting, with runoff directed to approved BMPs for the site.</td>
<td><strong>Required controls:</strong> Direct runoff to approved BMPs for the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Materials to control:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Materials to control:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Materials to control:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled materials with potential effluent</td>
<td>Recycled materials with potential effluent</td>
<td>Washed gravel/rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosive materials (i.e. lead-acid batteries)</td>
<td>Scrap or salvage goods</td>
<td>Finished lumber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage and processing of food items</td>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>Rubber and plastic products (hoses, gaskets, pipe, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalk/gypsum products</td>
<td>Sawdust/bark chips</td>
<td>Glass products (new, non-recycled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedstock/grain</td>
<td>Sand/dirt/soil (including contaminated soil piles)</td>
<td>Inert products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material by-products with potential effluent</td>
<td>Material by-products with potential effluent</td>
<td>Materials with no measurable solubility or mobility in water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt</td>
<td>Unwashed gravel/rock</td>
<td>Materials with no hazardous, toxic, or flammable properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td>Compost</td>
<td>Gaseous materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3.3.7.3 Requirements for Commercial Activity Areas

Commercial activity areas that, in the judgment of the Administrator cannot be conducted indoors, shall be conducted within specified areas of the site designed to control stormwater quality. The Construction Drawings shall delineate commercial activity areas and show the location of any stormwater control measure. The Stormwater Management Report shall describe the commercial activity, the rationale for the control measure selected, and design information about the control measures. Stormwater runoff from each commercial activity area shall be controlled in the following manner:

1. Non-stormwater discharges from commercial activity areas, including discharges from any indoor areas, the lower floors of a multi-level parking structure, and/or areas under a roof, shall not be allowed to co-mingle with stormwater runoff from the remainder of the site.

2. The area shall be paved with asphalt or concrete unless otherwise approved by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage.

3. Non-stormwater discharges from commercial activity areas shall be directed to separate treatment systems approved by the Administrator that are able to adequately control stormwater pollutants generated within these areas. These systems include, but are not limited to the following:
   a) The City's separate sanitary sewer system, providing the discharge is permitted by the City, meets all applicable pretreatment requirements (determined by contacting the City’s Industrial Waste Pretreatment Program at 614-645-5876), and is regulated with a shutoff valve.
   b) An oil/water separator to remove uncharacteristically high concentrations of oil and grease, with treated effluent discharged to the separate sanitary sewer system.
   c) A system appropriate for the containment of hazardous material spills, designed as specified in Ohio Fire Prevention Code Section 1301: 7-7.
   d) An industrial treatment system covered by a discharge permit issued by Ohio EPA.

4. The following basic principles for integrated stormwater/wastewater management for commercial activity areas shall be followed:
   a) Only stormwater (i.e., runoff directly caused by a precipitation event) should enter storm drains (e.g., no wash water, spills, leaks, etc.) unless specifically allowed under the City’s NPDES stormwater permit. Clean rinse waters (no cleaning agents but with potable water chlorine residual) may be allowed to run onto grassed areas to infiltrate.
   b) Stormwater/wastewater management strategies must be consistent with existing codes (e.g., building, plumbing, fire), sanitary sewer regulations, (e.g., pretreatment), and environmental regulations (e.g., HAZMAT, SPCC).
c) Outdoor material cleaning, storage, handling, and disposal should be minimized.

5. Minimize potential exposure of commercial activity areas to stormwater by the following methods23:
   a) Minimize the size of the commercial activity area.
   b) Prevent rainfall from entering the area using a cover or roof, with a minimum overhang of 3 feet on each side for covers 10 feet high or less, a minimum overhang of 5 feet on each side for covers higher than 10 feet, and rooftop drainage directed to the storm drainage system.
   c) Surround above ground liquid containers with a containment device with enough capacity to capture at least 110 percent of the product’s largest container or 10 percent of the total volume of product stored, whichever is larger.
   d) Isolate high-risk pollutant areas from stormwater run-on by berming or providing grade breaks around the area perimeter.

6. Where wash waters are unavoidable, the Applicant shall propose one of the following disposal options depending upon the nature of the activity, the constituents involved, and other pertinent Federal, State, or City regulations:
   a) Dispose in a sanitary sewer, with appropriate restrictions and/or pretreatment.
   b) Direct to sump/containment, allow to evaporate, and sweep up residual.
   c) Direct to sump/containment, pump out, and haul to appropriate disposal facility.

7. When contamination of stormwater runoff from commercial activity areas is unavoidable (according to building codes, etc.), it may be directed to a sanitary sewer at the discretion of the Stormwater and Regulatory Management Section.

### 3.3.8 Applicant-Proposed Stormwater Controls

There are many types of commercially-available systems for stormwater quality control. These systems include:

1. Hydrodynamic systems such as gravity and vortex separators,
2. Filtration systems,
3. Catch basin media inserts,
4. Chemical treatment systems,
5. Pervious paving systems

---

6. Package treatment plants, and
7. Prefabricated underground detention structure.

The technology for alternate and manufactured BMP is rapidly evolving as new products are developed and more vendors provide products and services. Information and design criteria relative to alternative BMPs including manufactured stormwater treatment technologies is provided by the City’s Policy for Stormwater Treatment Technology Performance and Testing Requirements (Policy). The Policy may be modified or amended by the Administrator, Division of Sewerage and Drainage as circumstances warrant. Modifications may include but are not limited to: targeted pollutants, removal efficiency, influent concentration, minimum/maximum particle size, testing protocols, and may also include development or reference to a list(s) of acceptable BMPs by manufacturer and device name. Criteria presented in the Policy are also subject to separate requirements imposed by other agencies such as the Ohio EPA; in case of conflict, the more stringent criteria shall apply.

**Required Standards Other than Treatment Performance**

Applicants must demonstrate that alternative or manufactured controls meet the following additional performance standards:

1. **Quantity Control** - In accordance with Ohio EPA requirements, controls for sites five (5) acres and greater shall provide an equivalent level of stormwater quantity control during the WQ\(v\) event as is achieved by the controls included in the Manual. An equivalent level of quantity control involves controlling the increase in the peak flow rate of stormwater runoff during the WQ\(v\) event, either by preventing volume increases and/or reducing the post-development peak flow:
   
   a) Preventing runoff volume increases typically is achieved with stormwater infiltration, defined as a control that discharges the entire WQ\(v\) either into the ground or through evapo-transpiration. Infiltration controls are generally not feasible in the hydrologic soil groups C and D that predominate most of the City of Columbus. Infiltration controls may be approved by the City if the applicable NRCS or privately conducted soil survey indicates that the site contains hydrologic soil group A or B soils required for infiltration controls, or if the site will be modified using amended soils that yield infiltration rates and volumes equivalent to those achieved by hydrologic soil group A or B soils. Alternately, the City may approve infiltration in C and D soils if the designer can sufficiently demonstrate that infiltration devices will completely drain between storms. Applicants shall submit appropriate information to demonstrate that stormwater infiltration is achievable in these situations.

   b) Other stormwater quality controls prescribed in the Manual for sites equal to or greater than 5 acres reduce peak flow rate by releasing post-development runoff water quality over a 24 to 48 hour period of time. Such decreases reduce the erosive energy that causes stream erosion. Applicants must demonstrate that alternative controls are able to achieve this same decrease in the energy of post-development stormwater flows by demonstrating that the entire WQ\(v\) will be released from the device in no less than 24 hours with 50 percent of the WQ\(v\)
being released in no less than 8 hours.

2. **Site Conditions** — The facility design shall be appropriate for site conditions in central Ohio. The Applicant shall demonstrate that the control has operated successfully for at least three (3) years in conditions similar to central Ohio, including soils, groundwater, head loss, and climate.

3. **Durability** — The control must be made of materials able to withstand climatic and land use conditions in central Ohio, including exposure to stormwater, exposure to light, freeze-thaw cycles, and vandalism. The expected life of the major structural components of the control shall be at least 20 years.

4. **Access** — The design of the control shall facilitate maintenance. Access and/or inspection ports shall be provided to all portions of the facility where debris or sediment may collect, or maintenance shall be required. The design shall allow access for a single individual to conduct required maintenance and inspection activities using conventional sewer maintenance equipment.

5. **Large Storms** — The facility design shall accommodate storm events larger than the WQv by either bypassing its flow around the facility or passing the flow through the facility without re-suspending pollutants and other materials previously captured within the facility.

6. **Operation and Maintenance** — A maintenance history shall be provided for at least three (3) years of continuous operation. This history shall illustrate that the level of maintenance required for the facility is equivalent to the other facilities in the Manual.

7. **Mosquito and Vector Control** — The Applicant shall demonstrate that the control is designed to minimize and/or control conditions that breed mosquitoes or other vectors.

### 3.4 As-built Surveys

As-built surveys will be required from the developer or property owner responsible for constructing stormwater facilities and conveyance systems. At a minimum, the developer shall field survey the location of each stormwater outfall and the outfall structure of each stormwater (quantity and quality) control structure that is constructed as part of the development site. Only stormwater outfalls that discharge directly into an open watercourse need to be located. Form A and Form B, provided in Appendix G, shall be complete and submitted to the Division of Sewerage and Drainage for each outfall and stormwater control structure that were constructed as part of the project.

As a condition of final acceptance, the property owner shall be responsible for providing as-built surveys to verify the final grades and elevations of stormwater detention basins and wetlands that are to be owned and operated by the City. The City reserves the right to require as-built surveys on privately owned stormwater facilities if, in the opinion of the Division of Sewerage and Drainage:

1. The construction of the privately owned stormwater system may affect the
The purpose of as-built surveys is to demonstrate conclusively that the facilities are constructed to the elevations, slopes, grades, and volumes shown on the approved plans on file with the City.

When ordered by the City to ensure that design grades and volumes within stormwater control facilities are achieved, an as-built survey shall be conducted once:

1. All structures on surrounding lots of a stormwater control facility are constructed and final lot grading for each lot is established, and
2. The conversion of a temporary sediment basin to a permanent stormwater control facility is complete after the site is built-out to the point where the temporary sediment basin is no longer needed. As-built surveys will only be accepted if they are conducted after the sediment in the temporary basin has been removed and regraded, vegetation has been established, and the permanent riser structure(s) is in place.

As-built surveys shall be conducted by a Professional Surveyor registered in the State of Ohio and shall employ standard survey techniques. The Professional Surveyor performing the as-built survey shall be responsible for reduction of notes and any plotting necessary to make the notes interpretable. A final report and original field notes shall be furnished to the City for review and record purposes. A minimum of two bench marks that are referenced to the same vertical datum as the construction plans shall be provided on the as-built survey drawings. As-built surveys shall be in addition to, and separate from, other construction surveys which the City or its agents may conduct. The developer, contractor, or other entity constructing the stormwater facilities shall correct the discrepancies necessary to ensure that the stormwater facility will function as designed. The as-built surveys shall be re-performed as necessary to demonstrate plan conformance.

### 3.5 Construction Stormwater Quality Controls

Construction stormwater quality control facilities shall be designed to control runoff from construction sites during storm events before being discharged into watercourses, lakes, and/or wetlands. The requirements for construction best management practices (BMPs) are intended to adequately reduce sediment and related pollutants contained in construction stormwater runoff. In general, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP or SWP3) is required for qualifying development sites and must be submitted with the construction plans as part of the plan approval process. Projects that are not required to submit a SWPPP are still required to implement construction BMPs regardless of size. The City’s Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Regulation (Regulations) sets forth the runoff control and erosion and sediment control standards.
as well as the plan requirements for SWPPPs. A copy of the City’s Regulations is provided in Appendix A.

Stormwater may be discharged to permanent detention ponds during construction via storm sewers provided that the sewers and pond are cleaned at the completion of development.

3.5.1 Additional Requirements

Over the years it has been necessary for the Division of Sewerage and Drainage to adopt additional standards for the design and placement of construction site BMPs. Changes in technology and regulatory requirements have made it necessary to develop additional criteria intended to supplement the standards provided in the Regulations. The following additional criteria shall apply to development projects planned within the City of Columbus:

1. The City of Columbus utilizes the ODNR (Ohio Department of Natural Resources) manual: Rainwater and Land Development, latest edition, as the principal reference for erosion and sediment control practices and standards.

2. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans – Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans are required for sites that will disturb at least one acre or more. SWPPPs are not required on sites smaller than one acre; however, the implementation of construction stormwater BMPs is required. For sites with less than one acre of disturbance, a general note is required and provided in Appendix F, Exhibit E.

3. Sediment Basins – Sediment basins and appropriately sized risers are required to control sediment discharges for locations receiving runoff from tributary areas of 5 acres or more.

4. The use of straw bales for catch basin and curb inlet protection is not an approved practice in the City of Columbus.

5. Additional requirements are imposed by the Ohio EPA regarding information to be shown on the SWPPP. Information includes but may not be limited to material storage, trash controls, and concrete washout/disposal.
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Section 4  
Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring of Stormwater Controls

This section provides requirements to ensure successful performance of stormwater control facilities once they have been constructed. Included in this section are requirements for as-built surveys, facility inspection and maintenance, and maintenance and access easement requirements to allow for maintenance in and around stormwater facilities.

4.1 Stormwater Control Facility Maintenance Responsibilities

It is essential that any approved stormwater control facility be properly maintained in order to assure its performance. The City will maintain eligible onsite detention basins and constructed wetlands designed to serve single-family residential developments. To be eligible for City maintenance services, the owner of the stormwater detention basin or wetland must:

1. Have established vegetation within and around the facility, if applicable,
2. Have designed and constructed the facility in accordance with City standards and proven by As-built Surveys,
3. Have the facility in proper working order at the time the City accepts maintenance responsibilities, and
4. Provide to the City specific, dedicated easement rights sufficient to perform required maintenance.

Onsite stormwater control facilities, other than stormwater detention basins and constructed wetlands in single-family residential developments, shall be maintained by the Property Owner or, if applicable, a homeowners association. Onsite facilities constructed to serve privately-owned non-single family residential developments (i.e., multi family, commercial, industrial, etc.) shall be maintained by the Property Owner.

4.1.1 Stormwater Control Facility Easement and Access Requirements

For stormwater control facilities that are to be operated and maintained by the City, the Property Owner shall provide the City with an easement that includes the area of the control facility when flooded during the 100-year event, appurtenances to the facility such as forebay(s), benches, risers, outlet pipes, etc., and a minimum width of 20 feet around the perimeter of the facility. For basins that are to be publicly maintained, the Property Owner shall provide an easement extending 20 feet beyond the maximum flood limits of the facility around the basin and its appurtenances. A dedicated access easement, having a minimum width of 20 feet, shall also be provided that extends from
the facility easement to the nearest public right-of-way.

For facilities that are to be maintained by a homeowners association, the developer shall provide to the City a minimum 20-foot wide easement for such inlet and outlet pipes, etc., conveying stormwater to a public conveyance system.

For stormwater control facilities that are to be operated and maintained by the City or a designated homeowners association, the Property Owner shall provide the City with a maintenance vehicle accessway having a minimum width of 20 feet. The accessway shall be located around the perimeter of each facility, into the bottoms of detention basins, and to each inlet structure and outlet structure. Vehicle accessways shall have a cross slope no steeper than 10 (H) to 1 (V) (and shall be sloped toward the direction of detention basin facilities). The vehicle accessway shall be stabilized with suitable materials (e.g., concrete, gravel, articulated block, geogrids, or other means of stabilization) adequate to prevent rutting by the maintenance vehicles. All access routes shall be designed to allow the turn-around of maintenance vehicles. (Note: These criteria are recommended for basins that will be privately owned and operated. The basin’s maintenance plan shall discuss how maintenance access and operations will be performed if alternatives are used.)

4.1.2 Stormwater Control Facility Maintenance Plan

A maintenance plan for privately-owned stormwater controls and for stormwater controls other than detention basins or constructed wetlands that are to be maintained by a homeowners association must be prepared and submitted for review by the City for the Applicant during the Plan approval process. The maintenance plans must be a stand-alone document that 1) identifies the entity responsible for inspection and maintenance responsibilities, 2) maintenance tasks to be performed, 3) inspection and maintenance schedules, 4) a plan showing all access and maintenance easements. At a minimum, maintenance plans for stormwater controls shall include a method and frequency for the following activities:

1. Inspection of all permanent structures,
2. Debris/clogging control through appropriate removal and disposal ,
3. Vegetation control (mowing, harvesting, wetland plants),
4. Erosion repair,
5. Non-routine maintenance should include pollutant and sediment removal and the “rejuvenation” or replacement of filters and appropriate soils,
6. Disposal of collected pollutants, sediments, and filter media in accordance with local, state and federal regulations, and
7. Mosquito monitoring and abatement, encompassing inspections for conditions conducive to mosquito breeding, routine (e.g., vegetation control, debris and sediment removal) and non-routine (e.g., restoration of grade to eliminate ponding) activities to address these conditions, and conditions where the use of insecticides
may be warranted.

Appendix E provides guidance for the preparation of maintenance plans that summarize the maintenance requirements for each type of stormwater control structure presented in the Manual. Submitted stormwater control maintenance plans shall be customized to appropriately suit the individual facility(s) that are to be constructed. Methods and frequencies for inspections and maintenance activities for stormwater control facilities that are not presented in the Manual shall be provided by the Applicant.

4.1.3 Maintenance Inspection and Reporting Requirements

The Property Owner, its administrators, executors, successors, heirs or assigns shall maintain the stormwater control facility or facilities in good working condition acceptable to the City and in accordance with the schedule of long term maintenance activities provided in the approved stormwater control facility maintenance plan for the stormwater control facility or facilities. Maintained infrastructure shall include all pipes and channels built to convey stormwater to the facility, as well as all structures, improvements, and vegetation provided to control the quantity and quality of the stormwater from the facility. "Maintain" is herein defined as good working condition so that these facilities are performing their design functions.

The purpose of maintenance inspections is to assure safe and proper functioning of the stormwater control facilities. The Property Owner shall perform periodic inspections of the stormwater control facility and its appurtenances at a frequency stipulated in the approved facility maintenance plan. Inspections shall cover all elements for the stormwater control facility as defined in the stormwater control facility maintenance plan. Inspections shall include the completion of dated and signed inspection checklists provided in the stormwater control facility maintenance plan and the notation of all deficiencies observed during the inspection. The Property Owner shall maintain copies of complete dated and signed inspection checklists in a maintenance inspection log, along with recorded dates and descriptions of maintenance activities performed by the Property Owner to remedy the deficiencies observed during prior inspections. The maintenance inspection log shall be kept on the property and shall be made available to the City upon request. A copy of the Maintenance Inspection Log shall be submitted annually by December 31st of each year to the Division of Sewerage and Drainage. Maintenance Inspection Logs shall be submitted to:

City of Columbus  
Division of Sewerage and Drainage  
Stormwater and Regulatory Management Section  
1250 Fairwood Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43206

Where applicable, language explaining the maintenance, inspection, and reporting responsibilities in accordance with approved stormwater control facility maintenance plans shall be provided on the plat and recorded with all deeds to the property.
4.1.4 Stormwater Control Facility Monitoring Requirements

As directed by the City or other governing body or jurisdictional entity (e.g. OEPA), applicants that are developing lands within sensitive or protected watersheds may be required to implement stormwater runoff monitoring. This monitoring may be required to be conducted during construction, post-construction, or both.

Monitoring may include activities such as the following: rainfall data, flow rate, dry or wet weather discharge sampling, seasonal monitoring, sampling of new stormwater conveyance systems, and/or sampling of effluent at stormwater outfalls that are constructed as part of the development project. Samples may be analyzed to determine the concentrations of total suspended solids, chemicals or oils and grease or any constituents of concern as determined by a governing body or jurisdictional entity. Reporting of monitoring results may also be required.

4.1.4.1 Construction Monitoring

Within the Big Darby Creek watershed, Ohio EPA’s National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NDPES) General Permit Authorization for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity Located within the Big Darby Creek Watershed requires sampling and analysis of stormwater discharges during construction under certain conditions. The applicant shall refer to Ohio EPA's General Permit for applicability and specific permit requirements.

4.1.4.2 Post-Construction Monitoring

The Big Darby Accord (Accord) has recommended post-construction monitoring of BMP outfalls within the Big Darby Creek watershed. The objective of this recommendation is to ensure that the integrity of the water quality within the portion of the Big Darby Creek watershed affected by the Accord land use plan does not decline due to implementation of the land use plan. The purpose of the monitoring program will be to gather enough data to develop meaningful trend analysis of the health of the watershed.

Within the City of Columbus in the Big Darby Creek watershed, the applicant is required to implement post-construction stormwater monitoring. Details of the required monitoring are included in Appendix H.
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Part II – Submittal Requirements

Part II – Submittal requirements of the Manual contains guidelines and standards necessary to successfully navigate the City’s process for review and approval of proposed development with regard to stormwater management. Section 5 discusses the City’s approval process from the various departments prior to construction. Section 6 defines the information that shall be provided in a stormwater management plan (Plan) accompanying required submittals. Finally, Section 7 presents stormwater management report submittal requirements. Additional questions covering these sections should be directed to the administrator.
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Section 5
Private and Public Development Review Processes

Any new development, either public or private, proposing to construct stormwater infrastructure within the City, must receive approval from some or all of the following City departments prior to construction, including: Division of Sewerage and Drainage, Division of Water, Division of Electricity, Transportation Division, and the Parks and Recreation Division. Plans for stormwater infrastructure that is to be privately owned are subject to a different review process than facilities that will ultimately be publicly owned and operated. Private stormwater plans, commonly referred to as “CC Drawings” are generally not required to go through a plat approval process as no easements or right-of-way are typically dedicated to the City. Stormwater plans for private infrastructure must be prepared in the format presented in Section 7 and submitted directly to the One-Stop-Shop, Chief Plans Official at 757 Carolyn Road, Columbus, Ohio. Once received by the Chief Plans Official, the draft plans are assigned a CC number and routed to various City departments for review.

Stormwater improvements that are to be publicly owned and operated within public right-of-way or in publicly owned easements are incorporated into, and submitted with, the public roadway plans for the development. The public roadway plans are prepared on “E” size sheets (referred to as Drawer E plans) in a format specified by the Transportation Division. While the format for Drawer E plans is different from the CC Drawing format specified in Section 6, the stormwater improvements that are to be incorporated into Drawer E Drawings must be designed in accordance with the standards in the Manual.

Where applicable, Applicant is required to submit proof of receipt of the following to the Division of Sewerage and Drainage prior to submission of CC or E plans:

1. Federal Individual or Nationwide (404) permit
2. State Water Quality (401) certification
3. Written Ohio EPA approval for use of alternate post-construction BMP on large sites or for small site plans submitted as part of a larger plan of development
4. Written Ohio EPA approval for any exception, waiver or other variance from conditions contained in the applicable Construction General Permit

In addition to meeting different plan format requirements, the Applicant is required to procure approval of a preliminary plat for the development prior to the submission of Drawer E plans. More information on plan review and plat submittal processes is provided in the Columbus Development Guide available on the City’s website.

A stormwater management plan is required for review and approval of stormwater systems for both CC Drawings and Drawer E drawing submittals. The elements of the
stormwater management plan, such as stormwater calculations, maps, permits, etc., are outlined in Section 6. Since the plan format for Drawer E plans is not specified by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, the plan preparation standards presented in the Manual are for CC Drawings only and are shown in normal typeface. Submittal requirements that are specific to only Drawer E plans are noted as such.
This Page Left Intentionally Blank
Section 6
Stormwater Management Report

A stormwater management system shall be designed and incorporated into each development project proposed within the City. The design of proposed stormwater management systems shall be summarized in a bound stormwater management report (Report) and submitted to the City for review and approval. The Report shall contain all pertinent stormwater calculations for detention/retention basins, storm sewers, culverts, open channels, and other stormwater management features, including best management practices (BMPs) as specified in Part I of this document. The following components shall be included in, and considered part of, the Report:

1. Master Drainage Plan (if applicable),
2. Calculations,
3. Stormwater Quality BMP Maintenance Plan(s),
4. Easements (if applicable),
5. Subsurface investigation reports (if applicable), and
6. Non-City Submittals/Permits.

The master drainage plan shall be folded and inserted in a separate sleeved page(s) or pocket(s) of the Report. Construction plans, including Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans, and the CC Drawing Number Application Form (see Section 7), shall be submitted with the Report, but not attached to it. The Report shall contain divider pages with labeled tabs that clearly identify each component listed above. Each component of the Stormwater Management Report shall be prepared and submitted in accordance with the following requirements.

6.1 Master Drainage Plan Requirements

For developments five (5) acres or greater, or developments that are to be constructed in multiple phases, the general site layout, including the layout of the proposed stormwater system, shall be depicted on a separate master drainage plan. The master drainage plan(s) shall be based on the state plane coordinate system and show all existing and proposed features, including trees. The master drainage plan should show all features indicated in the Manual, including but not limited to:

1. Project title,
2. North arrow and scale,
3. Project boundaries,
4. Existing and proposed topography at two-foot contour intervals covering the total development area and any offsite drainage areas tributary to the development site.
The total upstream watershed(s) tributary to the development site shall be delineated,

5. Pre-development and post-development sub-basins, including onsite and offsite contributory area. The acreages shall be annotated,

6. The location and capacity of the immediate downstream receiving waterway or drainage system, if requested by the City,

7. Pre-development and post-development major routing flow paths to and from stormwater control facilities,

8. Any streams, as defined in Section 1.2, that traverse the property and their respective Stream Corridor Protection Zones, including areas containing slopes 15 percent or greater that are adjacent to streams,

9. The location of proposed stormwater quality and quantity control facilities, storm drains, and constructed open watercourses proposed for the site,

10. Existing field tile locations,

11. Lines designating the phases of multiphase development projects,

12. Street layouts and existing and proposed utility lines,

13. Flood Hazard limits and classifications,

14. The boundary of each wetland on the site defined during a jurisdictional determination under the requirements of a Section 401/404 permit and the Stream Corridor Protection Zones surrounding wetlands associated with any stream established under criteria in Section 1.5 of the Manual, and

15. Identify all stormwater outfalls and provide state plane coordinates, size (e.g., diameter), and type (open channel or piped) of each outfall for each.

The master drainage plan(s) is to be prepared on a 22-inch by 34-inch sheet on a scale not to exceed 1 inch = 200 feet. Larger development projects will require multiple sheets with match lines. In the event there is significant offsite tributary area to the proposed project, a second additional master drainage plan showing the entire drainage area is required. Deviations from master drainage plan requirements for unique projects or circumstances may be permitted upon written approval from the Division of Sewerage and Drainage.

### 6.2 Calculation Requirements

Calculations shall be provided for all of the stormwater conveyance and stormwater control facilities required by the Manual and shall be stamped and sealed by a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Ohio. Calculations shall be organized and presented in a manner that demonstrates compliance with the City’s stormwater management requirements. Specific requirements follow.
6.2.1 Stream Corridor Protection Calculations

Calculations to determine the width of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone for streams and wetlands shall be presented in the following format:

1. **Stream Corridor Protection Zone Calculations** — Provide calculations and/or jurisdictional determinations supporting the calculated width of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone based on the requirements of Sections 1.3 and 1.5. A contour map delineating the tributary area used to calculate the Stream Corridor Protection Zone for each stream shall be submitted.

2. **100-year Floodway Limits** — Provide a FEMA map showing the limits of the 100-year floodway overlain with the calculated Stream Corridor Protection Zone limits determined above. The limits of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone will be the greater of the calculated limits or the 100-year floodway.

3. **Slope Protection** — Existing slopes 15 percent or greater that are adjacent to Type I and Type II streams are part of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone and shall be identified and annotated on the master drainage plan.

4. **Wetland Protection** — Delineated wetland boundaries shall be identified and shown on the stormwater management site plan. The limits of the final Stream Corridor Protection Zone shall be extended to include the entire wetland boundary for wetlands that are partially located within the greater of the calculated limits of the preliminary zone or the limits of the 100-year floodway.

6.2.2 Compensatory Floodplain Fill Calculations

Demonstrate that any volume of fill placed in the 100-year floodplain (outside of the Stream Corridor Protection Zone) is compensated with an equal volume of material removed above the ordinary high water table and below the 100-year flood elevation. Show the volume calculations for the fill and the compensating storage.

6.2.3 Impervious Area Calculations

Provide calculations that were used to quantify the amount of impervious area that will be on the site once construction is complete. Impervious area calculations shall be provided in square feet and based on building footprint, paved parking, and private drive and sidewalk not within the public right-of-way.

6.2.4 Storm Sewer Calculations

Storm sewer calculations shall be presented in the following format:

1. **Capacity** — Demonstrate that the capacity of the storm sewer pipes is sufficient to convey the design storm on Table 2-10 without surcharging. Calculations shall be
prepared on the tabulation sheet provided in Appendix C.

2. **Hydraulic and Energy Grade Line** — Demonstrate that the sewer system is designed to convey the design storm on Table 2-10 such that the HGL stays below the gutter line of the overlying roadway or the top of castings of the drainage structures outside the roadway. Also indicate the appropriate Manning’s “n” value for the selected pipe material, and indicate the minor loss values at all applicable points in the system, according to criteria in Section 2.3.1. The HGL and EGL shall be shown on the tabulation sheet provided in Appendix C.

3. **Tailwater** — List all tailwater assumptions and their source for applicable design storm events.

4. **Velocities** — Tabulate the storm sewer flow velocities in each segment, and demonstrate that the sewers are designed to produce velocities within the limits specified in Section 2.3.1.2.

5. **Pavement Spread** — Provide calculations that demonstrate that the pavement spread limits do not exceed the criteria presented in Section 2.3.2.

### 6.2.5 Culvert Calculations

Culvert calculations shall be presented in the following format:

1. **100-year HGL** — Demonstrate that the water elevation resulting from the 100-year storm event does not encroach into proposed or existing residential dwellings or places of business. The flood elevation shall be shown on the stormwater management master drainage plan for the project.

2. **Bankfull Calculations** — Provide the calculations used to determine the bankfull depth of the stream as required in Section 2.3.3.3.

3. **Design Storm** — Provide calculations demonstrating that headwater elevations are within the limits specified in Section 2.3.3.3. Hand calculations and the use of nomographs per Federal Highway Administration Hydraulic Design Series No. 5 or model output from computer programs such as HY-8 or similar may be used.

4. **Velocities** — Tabulate the culvert flow velocities, and demonstrate that the velocities do not exceed the velocity limits specified in Section 2.3.3.3.

5. **Tailwater and Energy Loss** — List all tailwater assumptions and their source for applicable design storm events. List the energy loss assumptions at the entrance/exit of the structure.

### 6.2.6 Constructed Open Watercourse Calculations

For constructed open watercourses, the Applicant shall submit calculations
demonstrating that the design criteria in Section 2.3.7 have been satisfied. At a minimum, the following calculations shall be provided:

1. **Design Velocity** — Calculations showing that the channel lining can withstand the peak velocity during the 5-year design storm without erosion.

2. **Channel Dimensions** — Provide calculations showing the normal water depth, critical flow depth, and water surface width during the 10-year design storm.

3. **100-year HGL** — Demonstrate that the hydraulic grade line resulting from the 100-year storm event does not rise to within one foot of the finished grade adjacent to any buildings along the channel.

### 6.2.7 Flood Routing Calculations

Calculate the water surface profile along the major stormwater routing system using a standard step backwater profile calculation, or using a computer model able to compute backwater curves, such as HEC-RAS or U.S. EPA SWMM. Demonstrate that the water elevation resulting from the 100-year storm event does not encroach into proposed or existing residential dwellings and places of business and meets the depth restrictions presented in Section 2.4. The flood elevation shall be shown on the master drainage plan for the project.

### 6.2.8 Stormwater Detention Calculations

Calculations for stormwater detention facilities shall be based on methodologies that utilize dynamic hydrograph routing techniques (i.e., methods that allow variable inflows and outflows with respect to time and account for the basin’s stage-storage-outflow characteristics). Software/models that utilize this methodology and technique that are deemed acceptable to the City include, but are not limited to, SWMM, TR-20, PONDPAK, and HEC-1. The City will not accept methodologies that do not perform dynamic routing of hydrographs. If a model is used to perform stormwater detention calculations, the name and a description of the model must be provided, each model input parameter must be defined, and a complete set of model input data must be included. The calculations for detention facilities shall be presented in the following format:

1. **Critical Storm Calculations** — Show the calculations of the total volume of runoff from a one-year, 24-hour storm, before and after development. Show the calculations of percent increase in runoff volume, and reference Table 3-1 in Section 3.2.1 to determine the critical storm.

2. **100-year Storm Release Rate Calculations** — Determine the maximum release rate for the 100-year storm event by calculating the 10-year peak pre-developed flow rate.
3. **Basin Inflow and Outflow Hydrograph Calculations** — Show the calculations or model input/output that produced the inflow and outflow hydrographs to and from the retention/detention basin. Hydrographs should be shown graphically, with a tabular summary of the peak flow and volume, for all design storms that were considered in the design process. The City will not accept calculations or model input that provide tabular listings of inflow and/or outflow hydrographs.

4. **Stage-Storage Calculations** — Show the calculations of the stage-storage relationship for each detention facility.

### 6.2.9 Water Quality Volume (WQv) Calculations for Extended Detention Ponds, Wetlands, and Bioretention Facilities

The Applicant shall prepare a set of water quality volume and facility sizing calculations for control facilities required under Section 3.3 of the Manual. Examples of pertinent calculations are provided in Appendix D of the Manual. At a minimum, the following calculations shall be provided:

1. **Water Quality Volume Calculations** — Show the calculations of extended detention, permanent pool, forebay, and sediment storage volumes and depths, as described in Section 3.3.

2. **Water Quality Drawdown** — Show calculations or model output that demonstrates the release of the WQv over the time period(s) specified in Section 3.3.

3. **Area of Sand Filter and Bioretention Facilities** — Provide calculations used to determine the size of sand filter and bioretention facilities as specified in Section 3.3.5.

### 6.2.10 Swale and Filter Strip Calculations

The calculations for swales and filter strips shall be presented in the following format:

1. **Water Quality Flow Calculations** — Show the calculations of the water quality flow (WQf) as described in Section 3.3.2.

2. **Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curve** — Use Figure 2-1 to determine the intensity corresponding to the time of concentration.

3. **Design Flow Rate** — Show the calculations of the swale/filter strip design flow rate using the Rational Formula. The intensity used in the calculation is obtained from the curve drawn in Step 2 above, at a duration equal to the time of concentration for the tributary area.

4. **Geometry** — Show the calculations of the swale/filter strip cross-section geometry using the Manning Equation. Demonstrate that the flow depth is no greater than the maximum flow depth specified in Section 3.3.6 for the design storm calculated above.
6.3 Stormwater Quality BMP Maintenance Plan Requirements

The maintenance plan for the water quality BMPs on single-family residential, commercial, or industrial sites shall define the specific maintenance requirements for each type of control facility designed for the site. Criteria for preparing the maintenance plan are contained in Section 4, and specific maintenance requirements for each type of control are included in Appendix E.

6.4 Compensatory Floodplain Restoration Plan Requirements

The stormwater management report shall include a site grading plan with supporting calculations indicating areas where floodplain fill and compensatory floodplain storage are proposed. The amount of compensatory storage and the methods used to provide the necessary compensatory storage shall be determined using the criteria in Section 1.4.

6.5 Easement Preparation Requirements

Dedicated easements to the City of Columbus shall be provided to allow maintenance and access to all stormwater facilities located outside of public right-of-way that are to be publicly owned or operated. Easements shall be designated on the construction plans as platted or deeded, existing or proposed. All existing easements shall be identified by the plat book and page number, or official record number of the deed. Preliminary plats and/or easement descriptions and exhibits shall be included with the stormwater management report submittal and construction drawings.

All descriptions and drawings involving City owned property rights shall apply standard survey techniques. When preparing easement documents for acceptance by DOSD, the following guidelines must be followed:

1. *Legal Descriptions* — Shall be the original typed document prepared single spaced on letter size paper and also digitally in WORD form, signed, sealed and dated by a registered surveyor. Metes and bounds descriptions are required; centerline descriptions will not be accepted.

2. *Pictorial Exhibit* — Shall be the original document prepared on legal size paper, signed, sealed and dated by a registered surveyor and also digitally in ACROBAT (PDF) form.

3. *Format* — Documents are not to be labeled or referenced to as Exhibit A, B, or so on.
4. **Required Language** — Descriptions and/or pictorial exhibits shall not include caveats such as: subject to covenants, exceptions, or restrictions of record, nor shall they include a purpose such as: installing, replacing, and maintaining a storm sewer, ditch or basin.

5. **Contacts** — For private stormwater development plans, the name, mailing address and phone number of the person who will sign or obtain the signatures on the easement document shall be provided.

When approved by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, easement information will be sent to the Real Estate Division of the City Attorney’s Office for Deed of Easement preparation. When the deed is prepared, the Real Estate Division will contact the designated contact person to obtain the signatures and return the signed originals. The Real Estate Division will record the deeds and process them for City Council acceptance. Signed Deeds of Easement must be returned to the Real Estate Division prior to the payment of construction inspection fees.

### 6.6 Subsurface Investigation Reports

A copy of any subsurface investigation reports and recommendations performed as part of the stormwater design process shall be included in the stormwater management report. Subsurface investigations and recommendations may be warranted in instances where exfiltration of stormwater into sanitary sewers is possible or where underlying soils of a wet detention basin are insufficient to maintain a permanent pool of water. Subsurface reports submitted with the stormwater management report must be prepared and signed by a professional engineer licensed in the State of Ohio and experienced in geotechnical engineering.

### 6.7 Non-City Submittals/Permits

A copy of the applications for the following permits/approvals that shall be included in the stormwater management report may include, but are not limited to:

1. Dam permits as issued by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) for detention pond embankments meeting ODNR dam criteria,
2. 401 Water Quality Certification Permits issued by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency,
3. Industrial NPDES Stormwater Permit application to Ohio Environmental Protection Agency,
4. 404 Permits for impacts to regulated streams and wetlands issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and
5. Notice of Intent for coverage under the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency NPDES Construction General Permit, and a copy of the stormwater pollution prevention plan prepared under this permit.
The City will not approve stormwater management reports or plans prior to receipt of copies of approved Federal (404) and State (401) permits if the permits are required and written Ohio EPA approval for exception, waiver or variance from conditions of the applicable Construction General Permit.
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Stormwater Management Report Approval
Submittal Process

All stormwater improvement plans submitted for approval by the City of Columbus shall be prepared, signed, and sealed by a Professional Engineer who is licensed in the State of Ohio. Final plans shall be prepared and submitted on 22-inch x 34-inch high quality 4 mil thickness mylar using ink especially adapted to mylar. High quality photographic or Xerox mylar reproductions will be accepted with prior approval. Title blocks shall be in accordance with DOSD standard. Stick on notes, signature block, and/or details will not be accepted. The tracings become the property of the City of Columbus, DOSD, upon approval of the drawings by the Administrator or the Director of the Department of Public Utilities. Copies of approved plans can be obtained from DOSD.

All revisions to the tracings, after they have been signed by the Director of Public Utilities, shall be made in black ink. The original approved alignment, easement limits, manholes, structure numbers, etc., shall be revised as directed by DOSD with a numbered triangle box shown inserted next to the revised work. A numbered triangle box shall then be placed in the revision block of the drawing border with a brief and concise verbal description of the change. Only three (3) relatively small revisions will be permitted on a tracing sheet. Any major revisions, or more than three (3) minor revisions, may require the submission of a new plan.

Appendix F contains standard CC Drawing Forms that shall accompany the submittal. Seven sets of prints (check prints), a completed CC Drawing Number Application (Exhibit A), and a completed and signed Plan Review Checklist (Exhibit D) shall be submitted to DOSD for review by the various City Divisions. In addition to hard copy plans, DOSD will require the submission of plans and any plan revisions in accordance with the DOSD digital submission standards, current version. The consultant will be notified when all check prints have been returned to DOSD.

7.1 Minimum Plan Format Requirements

The following minimum for requirements apply to all CC Drawings submitted for review to DOSD and are included in the Plan Submittal Checklist as shown in Exhibit D of Appendix F.

7.1.1 Title Sheet

The Title Sheet shall contain the following items:

1. **Title** — All private storm sewer plan titles shall be formatted in the title block as follows:
“Private Storm Sewer and Stormwater Facilities for [Insert Project Title]”

The Project Title shall include the street address of the project site.

2. **Location Map** — This map shall show the relative location of the project to area streets and well known landmarks so as to determine the location of the project within the City at a quick glance.

3. **Benchmarks** — A suitable benchmark shall be provided for every 1,000 lineal feet of sewer shown on the plan, with a minimum of two (2) on each plan. The benchmarks shall be established through a bench circuit with elevations based on the most recent North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) determination.

4. **Estimate of Quantities** — An accurate estimate of those items being constructed under the stormwater plan shall be included. The description of the item shall be the same as that under the item description in the CMSC, current edition. The quantities for each phase of a phased project shall be shown separately.

5. **Standard Drawings** — All plans shall have a listing of the applicable Standard Construction Drawings which apply to the proposed work.

6. **General Notes** — The general notes included in Exhibit B in Appendix F of the Manual shall be included on all plans. If space on the title sheet is insufficient, general notes may either be continued on the second sheet or moved in their entirety.

7. **Special Notes** — Any notes that the engineer preparing the plan feels may be required due to circumstances of the particular project should be included under these notes. Any special notes should follow the general notes and should be so titled: “Special Notes”. The engineer preparing the plans is responsible for making sure that all notes required to construct the project are provided in the plans.

8. **Signature Block** — Along with the necessary signature and date lines, the signature block (Exhibit C in Appendix F of the Manual) shall contain the following note:

   “Signatures below signify only concurrence with the general purpose and general location of the project. All technical details remain the responsibility of the engineer preparing the plan.”

Whenever a proposed sewer is located on or near (within 100 feet) City Park property, a signature line and date line for the Director of Recreation and Parks shall be provided in the signature block.

**7.1.2 Plan View**

All plan sheets shall contain the following items:

1. **North Arrow** — Plans shall be orientated so that the north arrow is toward the top or left margin of the sheet. Slope of the sewer may be shown from either the left or the right side of the sheet but shall be consistent throughout the plan.
2. **Structure Numbering** — All sewer plans shall be submitted with continuous numbering for each stormwater structure included in the project. The lowest structure number shall be assigned to the most downstream structure on the longest run of sewer. Increasing structure numbers shall be assigned to each structure as the sewer run progresses upstream. Once all structures are assigned a number along the first sewer run, the next number is assigned to the most downstream manhole on the next longest sewer run. The process is repeated until all stormwater structures have been assigned a number.

3. **Scale** — Plan views shall be prepared at horizontal scale of sufficient size as to show necessary detail. A horizontal scale of 1”=30’ is recommended.

4. **Line Weights** — Appropriate line weights are to be used for the various items shown on the plan. All items shown on the plan are to be labeled and clearly distinguishable from each other. For ease of distinction, the proposed sewer should be the heaviest line weight used.

5. **Point of Reference** — All plans shall show a distance from some point of the proposed sewer system to an existing reference point outside the project site, accurate to within one foot. (Example: Street Intersection).

6. **Property Information** — All properties through which a stormwater management facility passes shall have indicated on the plans the Property Owner’s name, parcel acreage, parcel identification number, and the deed book and page number of the title instrument. This includes onsite and offsite properties. All iron pins found during the field survey shall be shown on the plans as “found iron pin”.

7. **Impervious Surfaces** — All impervious areas on the project site shall be shown. The impervious surfaces shall be prepared digitally using polygons on a separate overlay, or layer, and shall include all building footprints, paved parking, private drives and sidewalks.

8. **Streams** — All streams as defined under Section 1.2 of the Manual shall be identified and shown on the plan. The limits and widths of all Stream Corridor Protection Zones and FEMA designated 100-year floodplains shall also be shown. Areas of proposed fills within FEMA designated floodplains as well as areas where compensation for said fills is to be accomplished shall be show on the plans. The amount of fill volume for which compensation must be made shall be annotated on the drawings.

9. **Stormwater Best Management Practices** — The size, location, and maximum ponding limits of all proposed stormwater BMPs shall be provided on the plans. Easements for City access and maintenance (if required) to and around each facility shall also be shown.

10. **Agricultural Field Tiles** — All visible agricultural tile outlets and locations shall be field located and shown on the plans. Any plan information for field tile systems received from county agencies shall also be shown.

11. **Utilities** — All existing and proposed utilities and sewer lines within, or adjacent to, the project site shall be shown on the plan and clearly identified as to type, size, location, and ownership. The construction plan number (CC, RP, MM, etc.) of all
existing sewers shall be shown. Storm utilities shall include all drainage swales, ditches, creeks, etc.

12. **Structure and Pipe Annotation** — All structures shall be labeled as to type and class (if applicable). The state plane coordinates of all proposed structures that are to be publicly owned shall be shown on the plan in tabular format. All pipes shall be delineated and annotated with their respective sizes, materials (if a particular material is specified), and distance measurements. Pipe distances for publicly owned storm sewers shall be determined from center-of-structure to center-of-structure.

### 7.1.3 Profile View

All profile sheets shall contain the following items:

1. **Scale** — The horizontal scale of the sewer profile shall always be the same as the scale of the corresponding plan view unless approved otherwise by DOSD. The vertical scale for profiles shall be of sufficient size to show necessary detail. A vertical scale of 1"=5' is recommended for use with horizontal scales set at 1"=30'.

2. **Stationing** — Storm sewer stationing, surface elevations above the centerline of the sewer, and invert elevations shall be provided at the beginning and end of all profiles and at all 100 foot station intervals below all profiles. All sewer plans shall be submitted with continuous stationing along the storm sewer profiles. The first station (0+00) shall begin at the downstream end of the longest sewer run and shall increase in a downstream-to-upstream fashion. The stationing for the next longest continuous length of sewer shall be restarted at 0+00 and shall proceed in the same downstream-to-upstream manner as the first. The process is repeated for each succeeding section of shorter sewer length. Match lines and break lines, in profile views, shall be made at 100 foot stations, or at structures. Station equations or negative stationing in the profile view will not be accepted except by written approval. The centerline station of all right-of-ways crossed by the storm sewer centerline shall be indicated.

3. **Utility and Other Crossings** — All utility crossings, whether existing or proposed, shall be shown as accurately as possible (based on existing available records) on the sewer profiles and identified as to their type and size. Other crossings such as streets, alleys, driveways, streams, ditches, etc. shall be shown and identified by name, centerline, edge of pavement, etc.

4. **Structure and Pipe Annotation** — All structures shall be labeled as to type, centerline station location, invert and top of casting elevations, and all other pertinent information. Pipes shall be labeled with their respective sizes, slopes, and distances. Pipe distances and slopes shall be determined from center-of-structure to center-of-structure stationing. Existing structures shall be drawn using dashed lines and proposed structures shall be drawn using solid lines.

5. **Backfill, Backing, and Encasement** — If the proposed backfill for the proposed sewer line is to be different from that specified under Item 901 in the CMSC, the type
of backfill, and the limits thereof, shall be identified in the profile. Concrete encasement, when used, shall also be shown in the profile with the limits specified.

6. **Ground Surfaces** — Existing and proposed ground surfaces shall be shown and clearly marked. Existing surfaces shall be shown as a dashed line. Proposed ground surfaces shall be shown as a solid line.

### 7.1.4 Details and Cross Sections

All detail and cross-section sheets shall contain the following items:

1. **Open channels** — Typical cross sections shall be shown for all proposed open channel systems including, but not limited to, flood routing swales, roadside ditches, and minor storm conveyance channels. Typical cross sections shall show the appropriate dimensions and side slope values for each channel.

2. **Culverts** — A profile along each roadway culvert shall be provided showing invert, roadway edge of pavement and/or top of curb, roadway centerline, and design storm and 100 year headwater surface elevations. A table with each profile shall also be provided showing the design and 100-year storm discharge values and their respective outlet velocities.

3. **Stormwater Quality BMPs** — Dimensioned cross sections, elevation views and plan views for each water quality BMP shall be shown. A table showing the required \( WQ_v \) and drawdown time as well as the designed storage and designed drawdown time of the facility shall be shown. A list of the types and number of any plantings, if required, shall be included on the plans.

4. **Detention Basins** — Cross sections of detention basins, wet or dry, shall be provided and dimensioned. Side slopes, basin bottom slope, the elevation of each inlet and outlet structure, and maximum water surface elevations for \( WQ_v \) storage, the critical storm, and the 100-year storm shall be annotated. An elevation view and plan view of each outlet riser structure shall be provided and annotated. Cross sections showing side slope, side slope information, bottom width dimensions, overflow weir elevations, bottom lining etc. shall also be shown.

### 7.1.5 Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

The Applicant shall include a copy of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) prepared in compliance with Ohio EPA’s Construction General Permit and the City’s erosion and sediment control criteria included in Section 3.5.
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CITY OF COLUMBUS
EROSION AND SEDIMENT POLLUTION CONTROL REGULATION

Adopted Regulation June 1, 1994

This regulation has been adopted as a guideline for implementing pollutant reduction programs on construction sites in the City of Columbus. This regulation is applicable to all premises within the City of Columbus and other appropriate premises per the provisions defined in Columbus City Code, Chapter 1145.70.

Earth and land disturbing activities associated with construction contribute to the pollution of public waters through soil erosion and sedimentation. Control programs designed to minimize these problems should incorporate the following planning, inspection, enforcement requirements and best management practices.

ARTICLE 1: DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this regulation, certain rules of word usage apply to the text as follows:

a. Words used in the present tense include the future tense; and the singular includes the plural, unless the context clearly indicates the contrary.

b. The term “shall” is always mandatory and is not discretionary; the word “may” is permissive.

c. The word or term not interpreted or defined by this article or otherwise defined in Columbus City Codes Chapter 101 or 1145, shall be used with a meaning of common or standard utilization, so as to give the regulation its most reasonable application.
1. **Applicant:** any person submitting an erosion and sediment control plan for approval or requesting the issuance of a permit, when required, authorizing land-disturbing activities to commence.

2. **Approving Agent:** the governing body of the City of Columbus or its duly designated representative being the City of Columbus, Division of Sewerage and Drainage, Department of Public Utilities.

3. **Best Management Practices (BMP’s):** means schedules of activities, prohibition of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters. BMP’s also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control construction site runoff, or drainage from material storage.

4. **Channel:** a natural stream that conveys water; a ditch excavated and/or constructed for the flow of water.

5. **Clearing:** the clearing, grubbing, scraping, scalping, removal of trees and stumps, and removing and disposing of vegetation and debris within the site, and shall include the conditions resulting therefrom.

6. **Construction:** the erection, alteration, repair, renovation, demolition or removal of any building or structure; and the clearing, stripping, excavating, filling, grading, and regulation of sites with connection therewith.

7. **Denude:** the act of stripping, scraping, and/or scalping a site of vegetation, thus exposing bare soil.

8. **Detention:** the capture, collection, and subsequent slow release of stormwater runoff; the primary purpose of which is to mitigate increases in stormwater runoff rates, providing protection, whether complete or partial, to down-slope areas, from the adverse effects of increased runoff rates. This can be accomplished through the use of one or more of the following methods:

   - **Dry Detention:** a basin or storage area, generally man-made, that is designed to normally drain completely between storm events.

   - **Wet Detention:** a basin or storage area, generally man-made, that is designed to drain down to a level that is normally wetted; that is, to a normal pool level, below which there is no outlet other than through infiltration into the ground. These facilities do not normally drain completely dry.
9. **Developer:** any individual, subdivider, firm, association, syndicate, partnership, corporation, trust, or any other legal entity commencing proceedings under these regulations to effect the development of land for himself or for another.

10. **Development:** any man-made change to improved or unimproved real estate, including, but not limited to, buildings or other structures, mining, dredging, filling, grading, paving, excavation or drilling operations.

11. **Development Area:** any contiguous (abutting) area owned by one person or developed as a single phase or multiple phases (units) and used or being developed or redeveloped, for non-farm commercial, industrial, residential, or other non-farm purposes upon which earth-disturbing/land-disturbance activities are planned or underway.

12. **Ditch:** an open channel with intermittent flow, either man-made or natural, for the purpose of drainage or irrigation. (See also channel and stream)

13. **Dumping:** grading, pushing, piling, throwing, unloading, or placing of fill material, composed of earth, soil, rock, sand, gravel, or demolition material.

14. **Earth-Disturbing Activity:** any grading, excavating, filling, or other alteration of the earth’s surface where natural or man-made ground cover is destroyed and which may result in or contribute to erosion and sediment pollution.

15. **Erosion:**

   a. The wearing away of the land surface by running water, wind, ice or other geological agents, including such processes as gravitational creep.

   b. Detachment and movement of soil or rock fragments by wind, water, ice, or gravity.

   c. Erosion includes:

      (1) **Accelerated Erosion:** erosion much more rapid than normal, natural or geologic erosion, primarily as a result of the influence of the activities of man.

      (2) **Floodplain Erosion:** abrading and wearing away of the nearly level land situated on either side of a channel due to overflow flooding.

      (3) **Gully Erosion:** a type of erosion caused by water accumulating in narrow channels and over short periods during and immediately after rainfall or snow or ice melt activity, which removes soil such that channels become considerably deeper than what would otherwise result by normal smoothing or tilling operations.
(4) **Natural (Geological) Erosion:** the wearing away of the earth’s surface by water, ice or other natural agents under natural environmental conditions of climate, vegetation, etc., undisturbed by man.

(5) **Normal Erosion:** the gradual erosion of land used by man which does not greatly exceed natural erosion.

(6) **Rill Erosion:** an erosion process in which numerous small channels only several inches deep are formed; occurs mainly recently disturbed soils.

(7) **Sheet Erosion:** the removal of a fairly uniform layer of soil from the land surface by wind or runoff water.

(8) **Stream Bank Erosion:** erosion of the stream bank or channel bottom due to the high velocity of flow within the stream.

16. **Exemption:** those activities that are not subject to the erosion and sediment control requirements contained in this regulation.

17. **Final Stabilization:** means that all soil disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and that a uniform perennial vegetative cover with a density of at least 70% of the cover for the disturbed area has been established or equivalent stabilization measures (such as the use of mulches or geotextiles) have been employed.

18. **Finished Grade:** the final grade or elevation of the ground surface conforming to the site-grading plan.

19. **First Order Stream:** means all streams identified on a U.S.G.S. 7.5-minute topographic map by either a dashed or solid blue line.

20. **Grading:** the stripping, cutting, filling, stockpiling, or any combination thereof of earth disturbing activities, including land in its cut or filled conditions.

21. **Grubbing:** any activity, which removes or significantly disturbs the root matter within the ground.

22. **Hazard:** any danger to public health, welfare and safety including exposure to risk or damage to property or liability for personal injury’ or risk of harm to land, air or water resulting in environmental degradation. Hazards can include flooding and ponding, compaction and settling, landslides, earthquakes, toxic chemicals, radiation, fire and disease.

23. **Land-Disturbing Activities:** any land change that may result in soil erosion from water or wind and the movement of sediment into waters or onto lands, including
but not limited to, clearing, grading, excavating, transporting and filling of land, except that the term shall not include:

1) Minor land-disturbing activities such as home gardens and individual home landscaping, repairs, and maintenance work;

2) Individual service connections.

3) Installation, maintenance or repair of any underground public utility lines when such activity occurs on an existing hard surfaced road, street or sidewalk (provided the land-disturbing activity is confined to the area of the road, street or sidewalk that is hard surfaced), and does not involve dewatering operations that produce sediment-laden effluent discharging to surface-lands and/or surface-waters;

4) Septic tank lines or drainage fields unless included in an overall plan for land-disturbing activity relating to the construction of the building to be served by the septic tank system;

5) Tilling, planting or harvesting of agricultural, horticultural, or forest crops or livestock feedlot operations; including soil conservation operations related to agriculture as follows: construction of terraces, terrace outlets, check dams, de-silting basins, dikes, ponds, ditches, strip cropping, lister furrowing, contour cultivating, contour furrowing, and land drainage and land irrigation which does not cause an increase in storm water runoff and does not exacerbate erosion and sedimentation.

6) Repair or rebuilding of the tracks within the right-of-way of a railroad company;

7) Emergency work to protect life, limb or property and emergency repairs; however, if the land-disturbing activity would have required an approved erosion and sediment control plan, if the activity were not an emergency, then the land area disturbed shall be shaped and stabilized in accordance with the requirements of the City.

24. **Mulching:** the application of suitable materials on the soil surface to conserve moisture, hold soil in place, and aid in establishing plant cover.

25. **Nuisance:** a public nuisance as known by common law or in equity jurisprudence.

26. **One-hundred-year floodplain:** any land area which is susceptible to being inundated by water caused by a flood event having a one (1) percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year.
27. **Owner**: the person in who is vested the fee ownership, dominion, or title of property, i.e. the proprietor. The word “owner”, when applied to property, shall include any part-owner or joint-owner of the whole or any part of such property.

28. **Permittee**: any person to whom approval of an erosion and sediment control plan according and pursuant to this standard is granted, or who is subject to inspection under it.

29. **Plan**: as used in this regulation, “Plan” shall mean the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.

30. **Pollution**: the man-made or man-induced alteration of the chemical, physical, biological, or radiological integrity of air and water resources.

31. **Public Waters**: those waters within lakes (except private ponds and lakes on single properties), rivers, streams, ditches, and/or waters leaving property on which surface water originates.

32. **Retention**: the collection and storage of stormwater runoff without subsequent discharge other than through infiltration into the ground, or evaporation.

33. **Runoff**: the portion of rainfall, melted snow or irrigation water that flows across the ground surface and eventually is returned to streams, rivers, lakes, and ponds.

   (1) **Accelerated Runoff**: increased rate and volume of runoff due to less permeable surface or reduced time of concentration primarily caused by urbanization.

   (2) **Peak Rate of Runoff**: the maximum rate of runoff for any 24-hour storm of a given frequency.

34. **Sediment**: solid material, both mineral and organic, that is or was in suspension, is being or has been transported, or has been moved from its site of its origin by air, water, gravity, or ice, and has come to rest on the earth’s surface either above or below water.

35. **Sediment Basin**: a facility such as a depression storage area, a pond or trap, barrier, dam, or other suitable detention facility built across an area of water-flow to settle and retain sediment carried by surface drainage runoff water.

36. **Sediment Control plan**: a written description, in graphical and descriptive terms, subject to review and approval by the approving agency, of methods for controlling sediment pollution from accelerated erosion of a development area of two or more contiguous acres.
37. **Site:** any lot or parcel, or a series of lots or parcels of land adjoining or contiguous or joined together under one ownership where clearing, stripping, grading or excavating is performed.

38. **Slip:** any lot or parcel, or a series of lots or parcels of land adjoining or contiguous or joined together under one ownership where clearing, stripping, grading or excavating is performed.

39. **Sloughing:** a slip or downward movement of an extended layer of soil resulting from the undermining action of water or the earth-disturbing activity of man.

40. **Soil Loss:** soil relocated on or removed from a given site by the forces of erosion and the redeposit of the soil at another site on land or in a body of water.

41. **Stabilization:** the prevention of soil movement by any vegetative and/or structural means.

42. **Storm Frequency:** the average period of time within which a storm of a given duration and intensity can be expected to be equaled or exceeded.

43. **Storm water (Stormwater):** water runoff resulting from storm events, including snow melt, surface water runoff and drainage.

44. **Stream:** a body of water running or flowing on the earth’s surface or channel in which such flow occurs. Flow may be seasonally intermittent.

45. **Stripping:** any activity, which removes or significantly disturbs the vegetative surface cover.

46. **Subdivision:** (1) The division of any parcel of land shown as a unit or as contiguous units on the last preceding tax roll, into two or more parcels, sites or lots, for the purpose, whether immediate or future, of transfer of ownership; or (2) The improvement of one or more parcels of land for residential, commercial or industrial structures or groups of structures involving the division or allocation of land for the opening, widening or extension of any street or streets, except private streets serving industrial structures; the division or allocation of land as open spaces for the common use by owners, occupants or lease holders or as easements for the extension of any streets, except private streets serving industrial structures; the division or allocation of land as open spaces for the common use by owners, occupants or lease holders or as easements for the extension and maintenance of public sewer, water, storm drainage or other public facilities.

47. **Topsoil:** surface and upper surface soils, which presumably are darker, colored, fertile soil materials, ordinarily rich in organic matter or humus debris.
48. **Waiver:** A permit of conditional exemption from the regulation in part or in whole, as specified by the approving agent, in a formal written statement. A waiver from the regulation shall not be assumed to be in effect, without the expressed written statement from the City.

49. **Watercourse:** any natural or artificial waterway (including, but not limited to, streams, rivers, creeks, drainageways, waterways, gullies, ravines, or washes) in which waters flow in a definite direction or course, either continuously or intermittently; and including any area adjacent thereto which is subject to inundation by reason of overflow of flood water.

**ARTICLE 2: GENERAL PROVISIONS**

2.1 **Title:**

City of Columbus Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Regulation

2.2 **Statutory Authorization:**

This regulation of the Department of Public Utilities, Division of Sewerage and Drainage, is adopted pursuant to Columbus City Code Chapter 1145, as authorized by the Director of Public Utilities.

2.3 **Purpose:**

This erosion and sediment pollution control regulation is adopted for the purpose of controlling the pollution of public waters by sediment from accelerated soil erosion and stormwater runoff caused by earth-and-land-disturbing activities and land use changes associated with construction activities. Control of such pollution will promote and maintain the health, safety and general well being of all life and inhabitants with the City of Columbus.

**ARTICLE 3: SCOPE AND INTENT**

3.1 **Objectives:**

This regulation of the City of Columbus, shall apply to earth-disturbing and land-disturbing activities, as defined in Article 1, on areas designated below which are within the jurisdiction of the City of Columbus, unless otherwise excluded within this regulation or unless expressly excluded by state law, including:
Land used or being developed for commercial, industrial, residential, recreational, public service or other non-farm purposes.

3.2 Disclaimer of Liability:

Neither submission of a plan under provisions of this regulation, nor compliance with provisions of this regulation shall relieve any person from responsibility for damage to any person or property otherwise imposed by law, nor impose any liability upon the City of Columbus for damage to any person or property.

3.3 Severability:

If any clause, section, or provision of this regulation is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, validity of the remainder shall not be affected thereby.

3.4 Requirements (Regulation applicability)

No person shall cause or allow earth- and/or land-disturbing activities on a development area except in compliance with the standards set out in this regulation and the applicable items below:

a. An erosion and sediment control plan shall be submitted and approved prior to any land-disturbing activities on development areas involving earth disturbance of two (2) or more acres, including those development areas being a part of a larger common plan of development or sale. The person proposing such land-disturbing activities shall develop and submit for approval a plan containing erosion and sediment pollution control practices so that compliance with other provisions of this regulation will be achieved during and after development. Such a plan shall address specific requirements established by the City of Columbus as set out in Articles 4, 5, and 6 of this regulation.

The person proposing land-disturbing activities shall submit the plan, and supporting information, as outlined in Article 6, to the following office:

Stormwater Program Manager
Division of Sewerage and Drainage
Department of Public Utilities
910 Dublin Road, Utilities Complex
Columbus, Ohio 43215
b. For proposed development areas involving land-disturbance of less than two acres that is not part of a larger common plan of development or sale, it is not necessary to submit an erosion and sediment control plan; however, the person proposing such land-disturbing activities must comply with all other provisions of this regulation. All land-disturbing activities shall be subject to inspection and site investigation by the City of Columbus to determine compliance with the standards and regulations.

3.5 Exemptions:

Development activities that are exempt from this regulation include the following:

a. An erosion and sediment control plan shall not be required for public road, highway, or other transportation, or drainage improvement, or maintenance thereof, undertaken by a government agency or entity if such agency or entity plans to follow a statement of erosion and sediment control policy which has been submitted by the sponsoring agency or entity and approved by the City of Columbus.

b. Clearing and grading activities that disturb less than 2000 square feet AND are situated no closer than 50-feet to a first order stream.

c. Regular farming activities on land intended for such use, except when these activities involve practices, which increase storm water runoff and exacerbate erosion and sedimentation.

d. Emergency work to ensure health, safety and property and emergency repairs. However, if the land disturbing activity would have required an approved erosion and sediment control plan if the activity were not an emergency, then the land area disturbed shall be shaped and stabilized in accordance with the requirements of this regulation.

3.6 Waivers

a. It is conceivable that development situations not automatically subject to exemption may exist such that development will have none of the harmful effects of sediment deposition. Such development situations, subject to City concurrence, are eligible for a waiver from this regulation. Waiver requests shall be made in writing to the Stormwater Program Manager, Division of Sewerage and Drainage, and shall include sufficient detail to support that granting a waiver
will not be detrimental to abutting properties or to watercourses, public waters, or to the sewer system.

b. Issuance of a waiver shall apply only to the requirement of erosion and sediment control per this regulation, and shall not in any way imply a relaxation of any requirements outlined in the City’s Storm Water Drainage Manual, including, but not limited to provisions for adequate on-site drainage, and the ability to accept runoff from land tributary to the development.

c. At the discretion of the City, a waiver may be subject to specific conditions, including, but not limited to:

1) Reasonable control of soil erosion and sediment.

2) The preparation of plans, maps and/or specified information.

3) Requirements that any additions, extensions, or modifications of a development for which a waiver has been granted, shall be required to provide erosion and sediment control for the entire site if preceding limitations are exceeded by subsequent additions, extensions, or modifications.

d. At the discretion of the City, a waiver may be granted if it is demonstrated the development is not likely to:

1) Have an adverse impact on a wetland, watercourse or water body;

2) Contribute to the degradation of water quality; or

3) Otherwise impair attainment of the objectives of this regulation.

e. Examples of the types of development activities which may be considered for waivers include the following:

1) Single family residential development involving land-disturbance on an individual lot in a previously approved subdivision, which contains active and effective erosion and sediment control measures that, in the opinion of the City, are operating efficiently and are being properly maintained in good working condition.
ARTICLE 4: STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTROL STANDARDS

4.1 General Requirements:

a. The requirement for and design of permanent drainage systems for the control of storm water runoff, shall be based upon the general and specific policies and standards and specifications outlined in the latest version of the City of Columbus Storm Water Drainage Manual.

b. Temporary stormwater runoff controls shall be designed in accordance with the standards and specifications outlined in the latest edition of the U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service’s Manual entitled, “Water Management and Sediment Control for Urbanizing Areas.” Other federal, state, or local manuals containing standards and specifications for erosion and sediment control practices may be used, subject to prior approval of these manuals by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage.

ARTICLE 5: SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL STANDARDS

5.1 General Requirements:

a. In order to control sediment pollution of water resources, the owner or person responsible for the development area shall use conservation planning and practices to maintain the level of conservation established by the standards outlined in section 5.2.

b. These standards outlined herein are general guidelines and shall not limit the right of the City to impose additional, more stringent requirements, nor shall the standards limit the right of the City to waive individual requirements.

c. Erosion and sediment control practices used to satisfy the standards outlined herein shall meet the specifications in the latest edition of SCS’s Manual entitled, “Water Management and Sediment Control for Urbanizing Areas”, or specifications in other erosion and sediment control manuals, or other accepted practices, receiving prior Division approval. Reasonable modifications will be considered by the City provided that the proposed modification meets the basic requirements of this regulation. Justification shall be provided, upon submission of erosion and sediment control plans for review and approval, for rejecting each practice outlined in Article 5.2, based on site conditions.
5.2 Standards and Criteria:

a. **Sediment deposition**: caused by accelerated stormwater runoff over a development site or by accelerated erosion due to the sloughing or sliding of surface soil that has been exposed by grading, dumping, stockpiling or any other excavation-related earth disturbances shall be retarded and confined to within the boundaries of the development site, during site development.

b. **Timing of Sediment-Control Practices**: Sediment control practices shall be functional throughout earth-disturbing activities. Sediment Ponds (including sediment basins and traps) and perimeter controls intended to trap sediment shall be implemented as the first step of grading and within seven days from the start of grubbing. They shall continue to function until the upslope development area is re-stabilized.

c. **Stabilization/Non-structural Practices**: Control practices shall preserve existing vegetation where attainable and disturbed areas shall be re-vegetated as soon as practicable after grading or construction. Such practices may include: temporary seeding, permanent seeding, mulching, matting, sod stabilization, vegetative buffer strips, phasing activities (to minimize denuded areas), and protection of trees. Denuded areas shall have soil stabilization applied within fourteen (14) days of denudation if they are to remain dormant (undisturbed) for more than forty-five days, whether or not final grade has been established. During this 45-day dormant period, the 14-day period shall run concurrent. For areas within fifty (50) feet of any first-order stream (or larger), soil stabilization practices shall be initiated within seven (7) days on all inactive disturbed areas.

d. **Structural Practices**: Structural practices shall be used to control erosion and trap sediment from all sites remaining disturbed for more than fourteen (14) days. Such structural practices shall store runoff allowing sediments to settle and shall store runoff allowing sediments to settle and shall divert flows from exposed soils or otherwise limit runoff from eroding exposed areas of the site. Such practices may include, among others, sediment traps, sediment basins, silt fences, earth diversion dikes, check dams, and storm drain inlet protection:

1) **Settling Ponds**: Concentrated stormwater runoff from denuded areas flowing at rates, which exceed the design capacity of sediment barriers, shall pass through a sediment-settling pond. The pond’s storage capacity shall be sixty-seven (67) cubic yards per acre of total drainage area. Settling ponds, by definition, include sediment basins and traps. To the extent
practicable, and without causing adverse effect to adjacent properties, the off-site contributory area to settling ponds should be minimized or eliminated, using diversion berms around the perimeter of the site disturbed area.

2) Sediment Barriers: Sheet flow runoff from denuded areas shall be intercepted by sediment barriers. Sediment barriers, such as sediment fences or diversions directing runoff to settling ponds, shall protect adjacent properties and water resources from sediment transported by sheet flow.

3) Storm Sewer Inlet Protection: All storm sewer inlets which accept water runoff from the development area shall be protected so that sediment-laden water will not enter the storm sewer system without first being ponded and filtered or otherwise treated to remove sediment, unless prior approval is granted by the City and/or the storm sewer system drains to a settling pond.

e. Stream Protection: Structural practices shall be designed and implemented on site to protect all adjacent streams, from the impacts of sediment runoff. If a stream channel must be disturbed, the environmental impacts of the disturbance shall be minimized. Furthermore, if a stream channel must be disturbed, the site owner shall provide notification to the Division of Sewerage and Drainage, at least 48-hours prior to any such work. In the event work is necessary within or across a stream, the following controls shall be implemented.

1) Mitigation measures shall be undertaken to stabilize disturbed areas and repair damages to the stream channel and adjacent bank area immediately after the disturbance is completed.

2) Streams including channel-bed and banks shall be re-stabilized immediately after in-channel work is completed, interrupted, or stopped. To the extent practicable, construction vehicles shall be kept out of streams. Where in-channel work is necessary, precautions shall be taken to stabilize the work area during construction to minimize erosion.

3) If a wet channel must be crossed by construction vehicles regularly during construction, a temporary stream crossing shall be provided.

f. Construction Access Routes: Measures shall be taken to prevent soil transport onto surfaces or onto public roads where runoff is not
checked by sediment controls.

g. **Sloughing and Dumping:**

1) No soil, rock, debris, or any other material shall be dumped or placed into a water resource or into such proximity that it may readily slough, slip, or erode into a water resource, unless such dumping or placing is authorized by the City or its representatives and when applicable, the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, for such purposes as, but not limited to, construction of bridges, culverts, and erosion control structures.

2) Unstable soils prone to slipping or landsliding shall not be graded, excavated, filled or have loads imposed upon them unless the work is done in accordance with a qualified professional engineer’s recommendations to correct, eliminate, or adequately address the problems.

h. **Cut and Fill Slopes:** Cut and fill slopes shall be designed and constructed in a manner, which will minimize erosion. Consideration shall be given to the length and steepness of the slope, soil type, upslope drainage area, groundwater conditions, and slope stabilization.

i. **Stabilization of Outfalls and Channels:** Outfalls and constructed or modified channels shall be designed and constructed to withstand the expected velocity of flow from a post-development, ten-year frequency storm without eroding.

j. **Establishment of Permanent Vegetation:** A permanent vegetation shall not be considered established until ground cover is achieved which, in the opinion of the City, provides adequate cover and is mature enough to control soil erosion satisfactorily and to survive adverse weather.

k. **Disposition of Temporary Practices:** All temporary erosion and sediment control practices shall be disposed of within thirty days after final site stabilization is achieved or after the temporary practices are no longer needed, unless otherwise authorized by the approving agency. Trapped sediment shall be removed or permanently stabilized to prevent further erosion.

l. **Maintenance:** All temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control practices shall be designed and constructed to minimize maintenance requirements. They shall be maintained and repaired as
needed to assure continued performance of their intended function. The person or entity responsible for continued maintenance of permanent and temporary erosion controls shall be identified to the satisfaction of the City.

m. To the maximum extent practicable, soil loss shall be minimized through the use of Best Management Practices (BMP’s), as referenced within the latest edition of the U. S. Soil Conservation Service’s manual entitled “Water Management and Sediment Control for Urbanizing Areas”, or referenced within other design manuals, determined to be acceptable by the Division of Sewerage and Drainage.

n. Underground utility line construction involving dewatering operations: To the extent practicable, sediment-laden effluent from dewatering operations and effluent discharged from dewatering operations to surface-lands and/or surface-waters, shall be controlled by structural practices to filter and trap sediment, such that the erosion and sedimentation resulting from dewatering operations, does not affect flowing streams and lands on or off-site.

5.3 Off-site Drainage Facilities for Sediment Control:

At the City’s discretion, the City may allow surface water runoff and associated sedimentation to be discharged into drainage facilities off the site of development only if each of the following conditions are met:

1) It is not practicable to completely manage runoff and sedimentation on the site in a manner that meets the performance standards and design standards of this regulation;

2) The off-site drainage facilities and channels leading to them are designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the requirements of this regulation;

3) Adverse environmental impacts on the site of development will be minimized.

4) A request to use off-site drainage facilities and all information related to these proposed drainage facilities should be made a part of the developer’s application.
ARTICLE 6: SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN REQUIREMENTS

6.1 Plan Requirements:

a. When required by this regulation, a soil erosion and sediment control plan shall be required for the land disturbance activities outlined in Article 3. Furthermore, in accordance with the appropriate requirements of Article 3, the plan shall be prepared, submitted to the City, and approved by the City, prior to any earth- or land-disturbance. The person proposing land-disturbing activities shall submit the plan, and supporting information, as outlined herein, to the following office:

   Stormwater Program Manager
   Division of Sewerage and Drainage
   Department of Public Utilities
   910 Dublin Road, Utilities Complex
   Columbus, Ohio 43215

b. The erosion and sediment control plan shall contain sufficient information, drawings, and notes to describe how soil erosion and off-site sedimentation will be minimized. It is preferred that the erosion and sediment control plan be submitted as part of the Stormwater Drainage Plan, i.e., CC and/or Drawer D drawings. The City shall review the plan to determine compliance with this regulation and the Standards and Specifications prior to approval. The plan shall serve as a basis for all subsequent grading and stabilization.

6.2 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Content:

Any person seeking approval of a land disturbance proposal shall, on a map rendered from a base derived from the site Master Drainage Plan or site grading plan, at a scale not to exceed 1”=100’, provide the following information:

a. Location of the land disturbance area and its general surroundings including but not limited to:

   1) Vicinity map indicating north arrow, scale, and other information necessary to easily locate the site;

   2) Off-site areas susceptible to sediment deposits or to erosion caused by accelerated runoff from the land disturbance area, such as ponds and small streams;

   3) Off-site areas affecting potential accelerated runoff and
erosion control.

b. Existing topography of the land disturbance area and adjacent land within fifty feet of the boundaries. A topographic map should contain an appropriate contour interval to clearly portray the conformation and drainage pattern of the area;

c. The location of existing buildings, structures, utilities, water bodies, drainage facilities, vegetative cover, paved areas (streets, roads, driveways, sidewalks, etc.) and other significant natural or man-made features on the land disturbance area and adjacent land within fifty feet of the boundaries.

d. A general description of the predominant soil types, their location and their limitations for the proposed use;

e. Proposed use of the land disturbance area including present development and ultimate utilization with detail on final soil cover, both vegetative and impervious;

f. All proposed earth disturbance including:

1) Areas of excavation, grading, and filling.
2) The proposed final elevations and slopes.
3) Kinds of utilities and proposed areas of installation.
4) Proposed paved and covered areas in square feet.
5) Proposed kind of cover on areas not covered by buildings, structures, or pavement. Description shall be in such terms as: lawn, turfgrass, shrubbery, trees, forest cover, rip-rap, mulch, etc;

g. Provisions for temporary and permanent erosion and sedimentation control, per the standards of Article 5. Provisions should include the number, types, dimensions, and locations of all runoff; erosion or sediment control devices to be utilized either temporarily or permanently on the area of land disturbance.

h. Provisions for management of stormwater, per the requirements of this regulation and the City’s Stormwater Drainage Manual. Provisions should be made for both on-site and off-site tributary areas, including control of accelerated on-site runoff to a stable
receiving outlet, the site conditions around points of all surface water discharge from the site, and velocities of the 10-year flow at outfalls;

i. Description of measures that will be undertaken to prevent pollution of existing streams during construction activities and after construction is complete.

1) Description of mitigation measures to repair damage to the stream channels if the stream channel must be disturbed.

2) Justification for earth disturbance within the stream channel.

j. Provisions for maintenance of control facilities including easements to ensure short-term erosion and sediment pollution control;

k. Proposed construction sequence describing the relationship between the implementation and maintenance of controls, including permanent and temporary stabilization and the various stages or phases of earth disturbance and construction. The sequence of construction shall, as a minimum, include a schedule and time frame for the following activities:

1) Clearing and grubbing for those areas necessary for installation of perimeter controls;
2) Construction of perimeter controls;
3) Remaining clearing and grubbing;
4) Road grading;
5) Grading for the remainder of the site;
6) Utility installation and whether storm drains will be used, protected or abandoned after construction;
7) Final grading, landscaping or stabilization; and;
8) Removal of controls.

l. Seeding mixtures and rates, lime and fertilizer application rates, and kind and quantity of mulching for both temporary and permanent vegetative control measures. Details on proposed methods and schedules of providing temporary and permanent stabilization, pertaining to seeding and/or mulching shall be included;

m. Map reference data including title, scale, direction, legend, and date on all plan maps; additionally, the plan, as part of the overall stormwater drainage plan, shall provide space for signatures of City of Columbus officials;

n. Statement identifying the name, address, and telephone number of the person(s) preparing the plan, the owner of the property where the
grading is proposed and the developer and/or person responsible for the development area;

o. A statement indicating that the owner will notify the City forty-eight (48) hours before commencing any land disturbing activity. At the time this notice is given, the owner shall identify the site manager.

p. Appearing on the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, as part of the overall Stormwater Drainage Plan, shall be a certification (signature and seal) by a Professional Engineer, registered in the State of Ohio, that the plan has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of this regulation, and in accordance with good engineering practices and principles.

6.3 The City may waive specific requirements for plan detail or may require additional information to show that work will conform to basic requirements of this regulation.

6.4 Any person seeking approval of an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan shall submit design computations and applicable assumptions for all structural measures for erosion and sediment control. Volume and velocity of flow shall be provided for all surface water conveyance. This information shall be provided for surface water outlets.

ARTICLE 7: ADMINISTRATION AND APPEALS

7.1 General Intent:
Prior to the development or re-development of any land in the City, the responsible person shall meet the requirements outlined in Article 3.4 of this regulation:

a. For projects involving earth- or land-disturbance of 2 or more acres, an erosion and sediment control plan shall be developed and submitted for review and approval to the City, to ensure that compliance with the provisions of this regulation will be achieved during and after development. This plan shall be approved prior to commencement of work.

b. For projects involving earth- or land-disturbance of less than 2-acres, when not a part of a larger common plan of development, it is not necessary to submit an erosion and sediment control plan; however, the person responsible for such land- disturbing activities must comply with all other provisions of this regulation. These sites will be
subject to spot-inspection and site investigation by the City to ensure compliance with this regulation.

7.2 Plan Review:

The City shall upon receipt of the plan initiate review and make a good faith effort to indicate its approval or disapproval (status of compliance or non-compliance) within 21-days, to the person who filed the plan. Indication of disapproval (non-compliance) shall include the plan deficiencies and the procedures for filing a revised plan. In the event an approved plan necessitates a revision, pending preparation and approval (determination of compliance) of the revised plan, earth-disturbing activities shall proceed only in accordance with conditions outlined by the approving agent. Failure to act within the above-described plan review time, shall not imply or represent plan approval.

Plan approval does not constitute assurance that the proposed facilities will perform in the manner indicated by the design. The responsibility of the proper functioning operation and maintenance of the facilities remains with the owner. The owner shall be responsible for providing any additional means or methods necessary to meet the intent of these regulations.

7.3 Appeal Process:

Regarding this regulation, any person aggrieved by any written order or written requirement, final determination (whether approval or disapproval), and action or inaction (including failure to respond or review plans per the requirements of this regulation) may appeal to the Director of Public Utilities, pursuant to City of Columbus Code Chapter 1145.82.

ARTICLE 8: COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITY

8.1 Performance Liability:

No provision of this standard shall limit, increase or otherwise affect the liabilities of the developer nor impose any liability upon the City not otherwise imposed by law.

8.2 Ownership and Maintenance:

The person(s) or entity responsible for the continued maintenance of temporary and permanent erosion control measure shall, prior to any earth- or land-disturbance, be identified to the satisfaction of the City. Erosion and sediment control facilities, which are to be privately owned and maintained by an individual or group of property owner(s) shall be:
a. Designed and constructed by the developer with easements sufficient to allow adequate access for inspections, maintenance and corrective actions, if necessary, by the City.

b. Inspected as needed by the City to ensure privately owned installations are being properly maintained and, if not, the City may compel the owners to make the necessary repairs at the expense of the owner.

c. Maintained as installed by the developer according to the approved design and not be altered unless approved by the City.

d. All temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control practices shall be designed and constructed to minimize maintenance requirements. They shall be maintained and repaired as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function.

8.3 Operations and Management:

Prior to any earth- or land-disturbance on a development area, the person(s) or entity responsible for continued operation and management of temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control measures, shall be identified to the satisfaction of the City.

Both during and after site development the responsible person(s) or entity, as identified above, is responsible for:

a. Carrying out all provisions as approved on the erosion and sediment control plan and required by this standard.

b. Promptly removing all soil, miscellaneous debris or other materials that may become spilled, dumped or otherwise deposited on any public thoroughfares during transport to and from the development site, and

c. Taking precautions to inhibit the deposition of sediment into any sewer system or natural watercourse.

d. The developer shall assume responsibility and all cost for removing any sedimentation deposited in downstream drainage ways or facilities deemed objectionable by the City to the proper functioning of these downstream areas.

8.4 Inspection and Enforcement:
a. Development Sites involving land-disturbance of less than 2-acres, when not a part of a larger plan of common development: These sites, while not requiring submission of an erosion and sediment control plan, are subject to spot-inspection and site investigation by the City to determine that requirements of this regulation are being met.

b. Development Sites involving land-disturbance of 2 or more acres, including those development areas being a part of a larger common plan of development or sale: It shall be the responsibility of the site owner to provide notification to the City 48-hours prior to commencement of initial site land-disturbance. In addition, the site owner shall provide notification to the City, at least 48-hours prior to any work within or across a stream-channel. Furthermore, within 45-days after Site Final Stabilization has been achieved, it shall be the responsibility of the site owner to file a Notice that site activities are complete. All notifications shall be made to the following City office:

Stormwater Program Manager  
Division of Sewerage and Drainage  
Department of Public Utilities  
910 Dublin Road, Utilities Complex  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  

Phone: (614) 645-6311

Inspection and enforcement actions shall include the following:

1) General Inspection Requirements:

   i) The City or its representative, may inspect all site development activities, including erosion and sediment control devices and facilities while a development site, when subject to this regulation, is under construction. When facilities are not constructed according to approved plans, the City has the explicit authority to compel compliance with the approved plan and the objectives and standards of this regulation.

   ii) Final Inspection: Prior to final inspection, the developer’s engineer shall provide the site grading plan documenting the intended site final grades.

2) General Inspection Procedures:

   i) A copy of the approved erosion and sediment control plan shall be maintained on site, or in a location easily accessible by the contractor and the City’s inspector.
ii) On developing areas with disturbed areas in excess of 2 acres, the permittee may request that the inspection agency inspect work completed at the stages of construction specified below to ensure accordance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan, the grading or building permit, and this regulation:

(a) Upon completion of installation of perimeter erosion and sediment controls, prior to proceeding with any other earth disturbance or grading. Other building or grading inspection approvals may not be authorized until initial approval by the inspection agency is made; and

(b) Upon final stabilization before removal of sediment controls.

iii) Every active site having a designed erosion and sediment control plan may be inspected for compliance with the plan at a frequency to be determined by the City.

iv) Inspectors shall prepare written reports after every inspection. The inspection report shall describe:

(a) The date and location of the site inspection;

(b) Whether or not the approved plan has been properly implemented and maintained;

(c) Any practice deficiencies or erosion and sediment control plan deficiencies; and the agreed upon type(s) of corrective action necessary to rectify any identified deficiencies.

(d) If a violation exists, the type of enforcement action taken.

(e) The site manager shall sign and receive a copy of the report before the inspector leaves the site.

v) The inspection agency shall notify the on-site personnel or the owner /developer when deficiencies are observed, describing the nature of the deficiency, the agreed upon corrective action, and the time period in which to have the deficiency corrected. If after a reasonable amount of time for voluntary compliance,
the corrective actions are not undertaken to the satisfaction of the City, the City may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to Columbus City Codes Section 1145.80 and proceed with other enforcement remedies as provided by Columbus City Codes Chapter 1145 and other applicable provisions of the Columbus City Codes. Where the violations and/or deficiencies represent an immediate and substantial threat to the public health, safety or welfare, the City may immediately proceed with enforcement remedies as provided by Columbus City Codes Chapter 1145 and other applicable provisions of the Columbus City Codes.
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Appendix B
Native Plant Species for Stormwater Quality
Best Management Practices
Selection of Native Plant Species
We are fortunate in Ohio to have a great diversity of plants to choose from, including many that thrive under adverse conditions. Native plants can be found to suit a variety of sites: wet or dry, sun or shade, high or low fertility, and acidic or calcareous soils. When used correctly, native plants may:

- **Promote Biodiversity:** Contributes to the ecological balance of flora and fauna that have evolved in the geographic area. Natives perpetuate the relationships that exist between native plants, the soils, and the many organisms that depend upon them for survival. Biodiversity is degraded through the destruction of natural landscapes and their invasion by exotic species. Because biodiversity has evolved over thousand of years, this loss is irreversible.
- **Save Time, Money, and Energy:** Native plants generally require less maintenance making the use of natives less expensive. Because they are adapted to a local region, they tend to resist damage from freezing, drought, common diseases, or herbivores.
- **Conserve Natural Resources:** Because they are adapted to the soils, temperatures and rainfall patterns, native plants typically require less irrigation and fertilization than traditional plantings. Many native species thrive in poor soils. Native plants, used wisely, can protect water quality by controlling soil erosion and moderating floods and droughts.
- **Attract Wildlife:** Native plants are the best choice for attracting and nourishing native wildlife. Birds, mammals, butterflies and other wildlife depend on the many characteristics that native plants provide. These species have evolved with the local bird, mammal, butterfly and insect populations and are therefore their best source of food and habitat.
- **Genetic Resource.** Native plants serve as an important genetic resource for future food crops. However, in the long run, natives will, in most cases, form self-sustaining plant communities that provide the keystone elements for ecosystem restoration. They are a vital component of any native ecosystem.

What are invasive plants?
Invasive species are aggressive non-native plants introduced into environments where they did not evolve. These species are also referred to as exotics, aliens, weeds, and non-indigenous species.

Invasive species spread rapidly because they often have no natural pests to limit their spread, displacing native species and disrupting natural ecosystems by changing the composition, structure and function of natural plant communities. **Table B-1** lists common invasive plant species found in Franklin County that should be avoided.

---

1 The Ohio State University Extension Bulletin 865
**Appendix B**  
Native Plant Species  
For Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ailanthus altissima</em></td>
<td>Tree of Heaven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alliaria petiolata</em></td>
<td>Garlic mustard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Berberis thunbergii</em></td>
<td>Japanese barberry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coronilla varia</em></td>
<td>Crown vetch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euonymous alatus</em></td>
<td>Burning bush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euonymous fortunei</em></td>
<td>Wintercreeper vine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemerocallis fulva</em></td>
<td>Day lily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ligustrum vulgare</em></td>
<td>Common privet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lonicera maackii, L. morrowii, L. tatarica</em></td>
<td>Bush honeysuckle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lonicera japonica</em></td>
<td>Japanese honeysuckle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lythrum salicaria</em></td>
<td>Purple loosestrife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ranunculus ficaria</em></td>
<td>Lesser celandine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rosa multiflora</em></td>
<td>Multiflora rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polygonum cuspidatum</em></td>
<td>Japanese knotweed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vinca minor</em></td>
<td>Periwinkle, Myrtle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table B-2** lists native plant species that are approved for use in stormwater best management practices in the City of Columbus. The plants listed are generally available in nursery stock specializing in native plants. If no specific designation for use is shown, the designer must determine the survivability of the selected species based on site conditions.
### Appendix B
Native Plant Species
For Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices

#### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorus americanus</td>
<td>Sweet flag</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agastache nepetoides</td>
<td>Yellow giant hyssop</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agastache scrophulariaefolia</td>
<td>Purple giant hyssop</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alisma subcordatum</td>
<td>Common water plantain</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allium canadense</td>
<td>Wild onion</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allium cernu cum</td>
<td>Nodding wild onion</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorpha fruticosa</td>
<td>Indigo bush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andropogon gerardi</td>
<td>Big bluestem grass</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andropogon virginicus</td>
<td>Broom sedge</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemone canadensis</td>
<td>Meadow anemone</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemone cylindrica</td>
<td>Thimbleweed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemone virginiana</td>
<td>Tall anemone</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemonella</td>
<td>Rue anemone</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 See attached citations and reference list for source information.
3 Information provided by The Native Plant Guide for Streams and Stormwater Facilities in Northeastern Illinois
4 Ohio native grass
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### Table B-2
**Approved Native Plant Species**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>thalictroides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelica atropurpurea</td>
<td>Great angelica</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apocynum androsaemifolium</td>
<td>Spreading dogbane</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquilegia canadensis</td>
<td>Wild columbine</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepias incarnata</td>
<td>Swamp milkweed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepias purpurascens</td>
<td>Purple milkweed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepias sullivantii</td>
<td>Prairie milkweed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepias syriaca</td>
<td>Common milkweed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepias tuberosa</td>
<td>Butterfly weed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asclepias verticillata</td>
<td>Whorled milkweed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster cordifolius</td>
<td>Heart-leaved aster</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aster divaricatus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster ericooides</td>
<td>Heath aster</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster firmus</td>
<td>Shining aster</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster laevis</td>
<td>Smooth blue aster</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Table B-2 is updated and explained. Refer to the source for more detailed information.

3. Stormwater Basin Tested: Indicates whether the species has been tested in stormwater basin conditions.

Salt Tolerant: Indicates if the plant species is salt tolerant.
## Appendix B
Native Plant Species
For Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices

### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow Saturated</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland Shallow</th>
<th>Edge Shallow</th>
<th>Emergent Deep</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested³</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aster lanceolatus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster lateriflorus</td>
<td>Side-flowering aster</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster macrophyllus</td>
<td>Big-leaved aster</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster novae-angliae</td>
<td>New England aster</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster oolentangiensis</td>
<td>Sky-blue aster</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster praealtus</td>
<td>Willow aster</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster puniceus</td>
<td>Bristly aster</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster sagittifolius</td>
<td>Arrow-leaved aster</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster shortii</td>
<td>Short's aster</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aster umbellatus</td>
<td>Flat-top aster</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astragalus canadensis</td>
<td>Canadian milk vetch</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisia australis</td>
<td>Blue wild indigo</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisia lactea</td>
<td>White wild indigo</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisia tinctora</td>
<td>Yellow wild indigo</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidens cernua</td>
<td>Nodding beggars tick</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² For stormwater quality best management practices.
³ Tested for stormwater basin conditions.
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow Saturated</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland Shallow</th>
<th>Edge Shallow</th>
<th>Emergent Deep</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bidens coronata</td>
<td>Tall swamp marigold</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidens frondosa</td>
<td>Common beggars tick</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blephilia hirsuta</td>
<td>Wood mint</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boltonia asteroides</td>
<td>False aster</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouteloua curtipendula</td>
<td>Side-oats grama</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brasenia schreberi</td>
<td>Water shield</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromus ciliatus</td>
<td>Fringed brome</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromus pubescens</td>
<td>Woodland brome</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cacalia atriplicifolia</td>
<td>Pale indian plantain</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cacalia muhlenbergii</td>
<td>Great indian plantain</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cacalia plantaginea</td>
<td>Prairie indian plantain</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cacalia suaveolens</td>
<td>Sweet indian plantain</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calamagrostis canadensis</td>
<td>Blue joint grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caltha palustris</td>
<td>Marsh marigold</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campanula</td>
<td>Tall bellflower</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Soil Moisture</td>
<td>Upland Buffer</td>
<td>Meadow</td>
<td>Wooded Wetland</td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>Emergent</td>
<td>Stormwater Basin Tested</td>
<td>Salt Tolerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>americana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex annectens</td>
<td>Large yellow fox sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex aquatilis</td>
<td>Long-bracted tussock sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex atherodes</td>
<td>Hairy-leaved lake sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex bebbii</td>
<td>Bebb's oval sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex bicknellii</td>
<td>Copper-shouldered oval sedge</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex brevior</td>
<td>Plains oval sedge</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex cephaloidea</td>
<td>Rough-clustered sedge</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex comosa</td>
<td>Bristly sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex crinita</td>
<td>Fringed sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex cristatella</td>
<td>Crested oval sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex crus-corvi</td>
<td>Crowfoot fox sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex davisii</td>
<td>Awned graceful sedge</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carex emoryi</td>
<td>Riverbank sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex frankii</td>
<td>Bristly cattail sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex granularis</td>
<td>Pale sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex grayi</td>
<td>Common bur sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex haydenii</td>
<td>Long-scaled tussock sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex hystericina</td>
<td>Porcupine sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex interior</td>
<td>Prairie star sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex lacustris</td>
<td>Common lake sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex lupulina</td>
<td>Common hop sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex lurida</td>
<td>Bottlebrush sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex muhlenbergii</td>
<td>Sand bracted sedge</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex muskingumensis</td>
<td>Swamp oval sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex normalis</td>
<td>Spreading oval sedge</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carex pensylvanica</td>
<td>Common oak sedge</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex plantaginea</td>
<td>Plantain-leaved wood sedge</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex prairea</td>
<td>Fen panicled sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex projecta</td>
<td>Loose-headed oval sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex rosea</td>
<td>Curly-styled wood sedge</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex scoparia</td>
<td>Lance-fruited oval sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex shortiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex squarrosa</td>
<td>Narrow-leaved cattail sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex stipata</td>
<td>Common fox sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex straminea</td>
<td>Awned oval sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex stricta</td>
<td>Common tussock sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex tribuloides</td>
<td>Awl-fruited oval sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carex typhina</td>
<td>Common cattail sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex utriculata</td>
<td>Common yellow lake sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex vesicaria</td>
<td>Tufted lake sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex viridula</td>
<td>Green yellow sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex vulpinoidea</td>
<td>Brown fox sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamaecrista fasciculata</td>
<td>Partridge pea</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelone glabra</td>
<td>Turtlehead</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinna arundinacea</td>
<td>Common wood reed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clematis virginiana</td>
<td>Virgin's bower</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coreopsis palmata</td>
<td>Prairie coreopsis</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coreopsis tripteris</td>
<td>Tall coreopsis</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotalaria sagittalis</td>
<td>Rattlebox</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyperus esculentus</td>
<td>Field nut sedge</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Tested$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Danthonia spicata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decodon verticillatus</td>
<td>Swamp loosestrife</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deschampsia caespitosa glauca</td>
<td>Tufted hair grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmanthus illinoensis</td>
<td>Illinois sensitive plant</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodium canadense</td>
<td>Showy tick trefoil</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodium canescens</td>
<td>Hoary tick trefoil</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodium illinoense</td>
<td>Illinois tick trefoil</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodium sessilfolium</td>
<td>Sessile-leaved tick trefoil</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhena americana</td>
<td>Beak grass</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodecatheon meadia</td>
<td>Shooting star</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulichium arundinaceum</td>
<td>Three-way sedge</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinacea pallida</td>
<td>Purple coneflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow Saturated</th>
<th>Meadow Shallow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland Shallow</th>
<th>Edge Deep</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Echinacea purpurea</td>
<td>Broad-leaved purple coneflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinochloa crusgalli</td>
<td>Barnyard grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleocharis acicularis</td>
<td>Needle spike rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleocharis obtusa</td>
<td>Blunt spike rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleocharis palustris major</td>
<td>Great spike rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleocharis smallii</td>
<td>Creeping spike rush</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elymus canadensis</td>
<td>Canada wild rye</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elymus riparius</td>
<td>Riverbank wild rye</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elymus villosus</td>
<td>Silky wild rye</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elymus virginicus</td>
<td>Virginia wild rye</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilobium coloratum</td>
<td>Cinnamon willow herb</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equisetum hyemale</td>
<td>Tall scouring rush</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eryngium yuccifolium</td>
<td>Rattlesnake master</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium coelestinum</td>
<td>Blue mistflower</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium fistulosum</td>
<td>Hollow joe-pye weed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium maculatum</td>
<td>Spotted joe-pye weed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium perfoliatum</td>
<td>Common boneset</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium purpureum</td>
<td>Purple joe-pye weed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium rugosum</td>
<td>White snakeroot</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium serotinum</td>
<td>Late boneset</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbia corollata</td>
<td>Flowering spurge</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipendula rubra</td>
<td>Queen of the prairie</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentiana andrewsii</td>
<td>Bottle gentian</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentiana flavida</td>
<td>Cream gentian</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geranium maculatum</td>
<td>Wild geranium</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geum triflorum</td>
<td>Prairie smoke</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glyceria canadensis</td>
<td>Rattlesnake grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceria grandis</td>
<td>Reed manna grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceria pallida</td>
<td>Pale manna grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceria striata</td>
<td>Fowl manna grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthemum autumnale</td>
<td>Sneezeweed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus giganteus</td>
<td>Tall sunflower</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus grosseserratus</td>
<td>Sawtooth sunflower</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus mollis</td>
<td>Downy sunflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus occidentalis</td>
<td>Western sunflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus rigidus</td>
<td>Prairie sunflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus strumosus</td>
<td>Pale-leaved sunflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus tuberosus</td>
<td>Jerusalem artichoke</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Appendix B

## Native Plant Species

For Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices

### Table B-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow Saturated</th>
<th>Meadow Shallow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Wooded Shallow</th>
<th>Edge Shallow</th>
<th>Emergent Deep</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heliopsis helianthoides</td>
<td>False sunflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heracleum maximum</td>
<td>Cow parsnip</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heuchera richardsonii</td>
<td>Prairie alum root</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus laevis</td>
<td>Halberd-leaved rose mallow</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus moscheulos</td>
<td>March hibiscus</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus palustris</td>
<td>Swamp rose mallow</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierochloe odorata</td>
<td>Sweet grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericum pyramidatum</td>
<td>Great St. John's wort</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericum virginicum</td>
<td>Marsh St. John's wort</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericum prolificum</td>
<td>Shrubby St. John's wort</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hystrix patula</td>
<td>Bottlebrush grass</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilex verticillata</td>
<td>Winterberry</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris virginica shrevei</td>
<td>Blue flag iris</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris versicolor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus canadensis</td>
<td>Canadian rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix B
### Native Plant Species
#### For Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Juncus dudleyi</td>
<td>Dudley's rush</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus effusus</td>
<td>Common rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus tenuis</td>
<td>Path rush</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus torreyi</td>
<td>Torrey's rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justicia americana</td>
<td>Water willow</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koeleria cristata</td>
<td>June grass</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhnia eupatoroides corymbulosa</td>
<td>False boneset</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lathyrus palustris</td>
<td>Marsh vetchling</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leersia oryzoides</td>
<td>Rice cut grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemna minor</td>
<td>Duckweed, floating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lespedeza capitata</td>
<td>Round-headed bush clover</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liatris aspera</td>
<td>Rough blazing star</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liatris cylindracea</td>
<td>Cylindrical blazing star</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liatris pycnostachya</td>
<td>Prairie blazing star</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liatris scariosa nieuwlendii</td>
<td>Savanna blazing star</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table B-2: Approved Native Plant Species*

*Salt Tolerant: Salt Tolerant*
### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liatris spicata</td>
<td>Marsh blazing star</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobelia cardinalis</td>
<td>Cardinal flower</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobelia inflata</td>
<td>Indian tobacco</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobelia siphilitica</td>
<td>Great blue lobelia</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobelia spicata</td>
<td>Pale spiked lobelia</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludwigia alternifolia</td>
<td>Seedbox</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupinus perennis occidentalis</td>
<td>Wild lupine</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycopus americanus</td>
<td>Common water horehound</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lythrum alatum</td>
<td>Winged loosestrife</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matteuccia struthiopteris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentha arvensis villosa</td>
<td>Wild mint</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mertensia virginica</td>
<td>Virginia bluebells</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimulus ringens</td>
<td>Monkey flower</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monarda fistulosa</td>
<td>Wild bergamot</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monarda punctata</td>
<td>Horse mint</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Tested^3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napaea dioica</td>
<td>Glade mallow</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelumbo lutea</td>
<td>Lotus (clay ball)</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuphar advena</td>
<td>Yellow pond lily</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nymphaea tuberosa</td>
<td>White water lily (rooted buds)</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nymphaea</em> <em>oderata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oenothera biennis</td>
<td>Common evening primrose</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Onoclea</em> <em>sesabilis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opuntia humifusa</td>
<td>Eastern prickly pear</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmorhiza claytonii</td>
<td>Hairy sweet cicely</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Osmunda</em> <em>cinnomomea</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Osmunda</em> <em>regalis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxypolis rigidior</td>
<td>Cowbane</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum clandestinum</td>
<td>Deer-tongue grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum rigidulum</td>
<td>Munro grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicum virgatum (^3)</td>
<td>Switch grass</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^2 Stormwater quality practices may vary for the same species in different geographic and environmental contexts.

^3 Certain species may require specific testing to confirm suitability for stormwater management practices.
## Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parthenium integrifolium</td>
<td>Wild quinine</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</td>
<td>Virginia creeper</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicularis lanceolata</td>
<td>Fen betony</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peltandra virginica</td>
<td>Arrow arum</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penstemon calycosus</td>
<td>Smooth beard tongue</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penstemon digitalis</td>
<td>Foxglove beard tongue</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penstemon hirsutus</td>
<td>Hairy beard tongue</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penthorum sedoides</td>
<td>Ditch stonecrop</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petalostemum candidum</td>
<td>White prairie clover</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petalostemum purpureum</td>
<td>Purple prairie clover</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlox divaricata</td>
<td>Woodland phlox</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlox pilosa</td>
<td>Sand prairie phlox</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physostegia virginiana</td>
<td>Obedient plant</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table B-2
**Approved Native Plant Species**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physostegia virginiana arenaria</td>
<td>Prairie obedient plant</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonatum canaliculatum</td>
<td>Smooth Solomon's seal</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonum amphibium stipulaceum</td>
<td>Water knotweed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygonum pensylvanicum</td>
<td>Pinkweed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontederia cordata</td>
<td>Pickerel weed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamogeton natans</td>
<td>Common pondweed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamogeton pectinatus</td>
<td>Sago pondweed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentilla arguta</td>
<td>Prairie cinquefoil</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenanthes altissima</td>
<td>Tall white lettuce</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pycnanthemum muticum</td>
<td>Broad-leaved mountain mint</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pycnanthemum tenuifolium</td>
<td>Slender mountain mint</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pycnanthemum virginianum</td>
<td>Common mountain mint</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow Saturated</th>
<th>Meadow Shallow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland Shallow</th>
<th>Edge Shallow</th>
<th>Emergent Deep</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested³</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ranunculus fascicularis</td>
<td>Early buttercup</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratibida pinnata</td>
<td>Yellow coneflower</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhynchospora macrostachya</td>
<td>Horned beak rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa carolina</td>
<td>Pasture rose</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa palustris</td>
<td>Swamp rose</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudbeckia hirta</td>
<td>Black-eyed susan</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudbeckia laciniata</td>
<td>Wild golden glow</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudbeckia speciosa sullivanti</td>
<td>Showy black-eyed susan</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudbeckia subtomentosa</td>
<td>Sweet black-eyed susan</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudbeckia triloba</td>
<td>Brown-eyed susan</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex altissimus</td>
<td>Pale dock</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex orbiculatus</td>
<td>Great water dock</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex verticillatus</td>
<td>Swamp dock</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabatia angularis</td>
<td>Rose gentian</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittaria latifolia</td>
<td>Common arrowhead</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saururus cernuus</td>
<td>Lizard's tail</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizachyrium scoparium</td>
<td>Little bluestem</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus acutus</td>
<td>Hard-stemmed bulrush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus americanus</td>
<td>Chairmaker's rush</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus atrovirens</td>
<td>Dark green rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus cyperinus</td>
<td>Wool grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus fluviatilis</td>
<td>River bulrush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus pendulus</td>
<td>Red bulrush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus pungens</td>
<td>Chairmaker's rush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus tabernaemontani</td>
<td>Soft-stem bulrush</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus validus creber</td>
<td>Great bulrush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrophularia marilandica</td>
<td>Late figwort</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senecio aureus</td>
<td>Golden ragwort</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senna hebecarpa</td>
<td>Wild senna</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silene regia</td>
<td>Royal catchfly</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Tested

3 Salt Tolerant
# Appendix B
Native Plant Species
For Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices

## Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Silene stellata</em></td>
<td>Starry campion</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Silene virginica</em></td>
<td>Fire pink</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Silphium integrifolium</em></td>
<td>Rosin weed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Silphium laciniatum</em></td>
<td>Compass plant</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Silphium perfoliatum</em></td>
<td>Cup plant</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Silphium terebinthinaceum</em></td>
<td>Prairie dock</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sisyrinchium angustifolium</em></td>
<td>Stout blue-eyed grass</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sisyrinchium atlanticum</em></td>
<td>Eastern blue-eyed grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sium suave</em></td>
<td>Tall water parsnip</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Smilacina racemosa</em></td>
<td>Feathery false Solomon's seal</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Solidago altissima</em></td>
<td>Tall goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Solidago caesia</em></td>
<td>Blue-stemmed goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solidago canadensis</td>
<td>Canadian goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago flexicaulis</td>
<td>Broad-leaved goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago gigantea</td>
<td>Late goldenrod</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago graminifolia</td>
<td>Common grass-leaved goldenrod</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago juncea</td>
<td>Early goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago nemoralis</td>
<td>Old-field goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago ohioensis</td>
<td>Ohio goldenrod</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago patula</td>
<td>Swamp goldenrod</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago riddellii</td>
<td>Riddell's goldenrod</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago rigida</td>
<td>Stiff goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago rugosa</td>
<td>Rough goldenrod</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidago speciosa</td>
<td>Showy goldenrod</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghastrum nutans ³</td>
<td>Indian grass</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparganium americanum</td>
<td>American bur reed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparganium eurycarpum</td>
<td>Common bur reed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x     x     x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartina pectinata</td>
<td>Prairie cord grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x     x     x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporobolus heterolepis</td>
<td>Prairie dropseed</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipa spartea</td>
<td>Porcupine grass</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styloforum diphylum</td>
<td>Calendine poppy</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tephrosia virginiana</td>
<td>Goat's rue</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teucrium canadense</td>
<td>Germander</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalictrum dasycarpum</td>
<td>Purple meadow rue</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalictrum dioicum</td>
<td>Early meadow rue</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradescantia ohiensis</td>
<td>Common spiderwort</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>xx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglochin maritima</td>
<td>Common bog arrow grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triosteum aurantiacum</td>
<td>Early horse gentian</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Typha latifolia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniola latifolia</td>
<td>Spike grass</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vallisneria americana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbena hastata</td>
<td>Blue vervain</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbena stricta</td>
<td>Hoary vervain</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbesina alternifolia</td>
<td>Wingstem</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernonia altissima</td>
<td>Smooth tall ironweed</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernonia altissima taeniotricha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernonia fasciculata</td>
<td>Common ironweed</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernonia missurica</td>
<td>Missouri ironweed</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vernonia novaboracencis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veronicastrum virginicum</td>
<td>Culver's root</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola lanceolata</td>
<td>Lance-leaved violet</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola pedata lineariloba</td>
<td>Bird's foot violet</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zizania aquatica</td>
<td>Wild rice</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zizia aptera</td>
<td>Heart-leaved meadow parsnip</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zizia aurea</td>
<td>Golden alexanders</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WOODY MATERIAL - Native Ohio Trees and Shrubs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acer negundo</td>
<td>Box elder</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer rubrum</td>
<td>Red maple</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer saccharinum</td>
<td>Silver maple</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer saccharum</td>
<td>Sugar maple</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesculus flava</td>
<td>Yellow Buckey</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesculus glabra</td>
<td>Ohio Buckeye</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnus incana</td>
<td>Black Alder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnus serrulata</td>
<td>Smooth Alder</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelanchier</td>
<td>Serviceberry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table B-2**
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>arborea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelanchier laevis</td>
<td>Smooth Serviceberry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aronia arbutifolia</td>
<td>Red Chokeberry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aronia melanocarpa</td>
<td>Black chokeberry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asimina triloba</td>
<td>Pawpaw</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula alleghaniensis</td>
<td>Yellow Birch</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula lenta</td>
<td>Sweet birch</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula nigra</td>
<td>River birch</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula populifolia</td>
<td>Gray birch</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpinus caroliniana</td>
<td>Blue beech</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carya glabra</td>
<td>Pignut hickory</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carya laciniosa</td>
<td>Kingnut hickory</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carya ovata</td>
<td>Shagbark hickory</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceanothus americanus</td>
<td>New Jersey tea</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtis occidentalis</td>
<td>Hackberry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalanthus occidentalis</td>
<td>Buttonbush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cercis canadensis</td>
<td>Redbud</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chionanthus virginicus</td>
<td>Fringe tree</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clethra alnifolia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus alternifolia</td>
<td>Alternate If dogwood</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus amomum</td>
<td>Silky dogwood</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus florida</td>
<td>Flowering dogwood</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus racemosa</td>
<td>Grey dogwood</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus sericea</td>
<td>Red-osier dogwood</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cratageus crus-galli</td>
<td>Cockcpur</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diospyros virginiana</td>
<td>Persimmon</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagus grandifolia</td>
<td>American beech</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus americana</td>
<td>White ash</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</td>
<td>Green ash</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus quadrangulata</td>
<td>Blue ash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnocladus dioicus</td>
<td>KY coffee tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hamamelis virginiana</td>
<td>Witchhazel</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilex verticillata</td>
<td>Winterberry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juglans nigra</td>
<td>Black Walnut</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniperus virginiana</td>
<td>Eastern red cedar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindera benzoin</td>
<td>Spicebush</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>Sweet gum</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liriodendron tulipifera</td>
<td>Tulip tree</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyssa sylvatica</td>
<td>Black gum</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</td>
<td>Virginia creeper</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physocarpus opulifolius</td>
<td>Ninebark</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platanus occidentalis</td>
<td>Sycamore</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus deltoides</td>
<td>Eastern cottonwood</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus tremuloides</td>
<td>Quaking aspen</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus serotina</td>
<td>Black cherry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus virginiana</td>
<td>Choke cherry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus alba</td>
<td>White oak</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland</th>
<th>Edge</th>
<th>Emergent</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quercus bicolor</td>
<td>Swamp white oak</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Stormwater Basin</td>
<td>Tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus coccinea</td>
<td>Scarlet oak</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus imbricaria</td>
<td>Shingle Oak</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus macrocarpa</td>
<td>Bur oak</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus muhlenbergii</td>
<td>Chinquapin oak</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus palustris</td>
<td>Pin oak</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus rubra</td>
<td>Red oak</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus shumardii</td>
<td>Shumard oak</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus velutina</td>
<td>Black oak</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhus aromatic</td>
<td>Fragrant sumac</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhus copallina</td>
<td>Winged sumac</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhus typhina</td>
<td>Staghorn sumac</td>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix amygdaloides</td>
<td>Peachleaf willow</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix discolor</td>
<td>Pussy willow</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix nigra</td>
<td>Black willow</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix sericea</td>
<td>Silky willow</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambucus canadensis</td>
<td>Elderberry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix B
Native Plant Species
For Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices

### Table B-2
Approved Native Plant Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Soil Moisture</th>
<th>Upland Buffer</th>
<th>Meadow Saturated</th>
<th>Meadow Shallow</th>
<th>Wooded Wetland Shallow</th>
<th>Edge Shallow</th>
<th>Emergent Deep</th>
<th>Stormwater Basin Tested³</th>
<th>Salt Tolerant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sassafras albidum</td>
<td>Sassafras</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiraea alba</td>
<td>Meadowsweet</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiraea tomentosa</td>
<td>Steeple bush</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylea trifolia</td>
<td>Bladdernut</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxodium distichum</td>
<td>Bald cypress</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thuja occidentalis</td>
<td>Arborvitae</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilia americana</td>
<td>American basswood</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum cassinoides</td>
<td>With-rod</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum dentatum</td>
<td>Arrow wood</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum lentago</td>
<td>Nannyberry</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum prunifolium</td>
<td>Black haw</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum recognitum</td>
<td>Northern arrow-wood</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum trilobum</td>
<td>American highbush cranberry</td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Citations and References for Native Plant Species:

In cooperation with
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5
US Fish and Wildlife Service, Chicago Field Office
US Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

Native Ohio Tree Species Suitable for Planting within 1,000 feet of State Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers. 2005. Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves.


Ohio DNR, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves; and The Nature Conservancy. 2000. Ohio’s Invasive Plant Species. ODNR DNAP (614) 265-6453 or ODNR Div of Wildlife (614) 265-6309. TNC (6114) 717-2770
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Appendix C
Calculation Worksheets
DITCH COMPUTATION SHEET

DITCH INFORMATION
MANNING'S "n" FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: ______________</th>
<th>ALLOWABLE VELOCITY FOR (in f.p.s.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sod or Jute Mat Lining:</td>
<td>Seeded Lining:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved Lining:</td>
<td>Sod or Jute Mat Lining:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumped Rock Channel Protection:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Checked by: ______________________________  Date: ______________

Design Frequency For:
Velocity: _____________ Yrs
Depth: _____________ Yrs

DITCH CALCULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. No</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>High Point</th>
<th>Point of Solution</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Drainage Area</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Velocity</th>
<th>Coef</th>
<th>Runoff</th>
<th>Coe. X A</th>
<th>C1A</th>
<th>1 depth f.t.</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Velocity in f.p.s</th>
<th>Depth in ft.</th>
<th>Ditch Time Check</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Calculated by: ______________________________

Checked by: ______________________________  Date: ______________

Paved Lining: _______________________

Sod or Jute Mat Lining: __________________

Seeded Lining: _____________________

Dumped Rock Channel Protection: __________________

Checked by: ______________________________

Date: ______________

Pour the results of the computations into the table above.

August 2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M.H. or C.B. No.</th>
<th>Sta.</th>
<th>ε CA (acres)</th>
<th>ε t (minutes)</th>
<th>Rainfall Intensity (in/hr)</th>
<th>Discharge Q (cfs)</th>
<th>Length of pipe (ft)</th>
<th>Size of pipe (inches)</th>
<th>Slope of pipe, $S$ (ft/ft)</th>
<th>$\Delta h_s$ (ft)</th>
<th>$S_f$</th>
<th>$H_f = S_f L$ (feet)</th>
<th>TW or 0.8 D Elev.</th>
<th>HW Elev.</th>
<th>Crit. Elev.</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix D
Example Calculations for Stream Corridor Protection Zone and Stormwater Quality Controls
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## Example Development Sites: Area Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Identifier</th>
<th>Size (ac)</th>
<th>Equivalent Land Use (Table 3-5: Runoff Coefficients for Determining WQv)</th>
<th>Runoff Coefficient for WQv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area 1: Low Density Residential</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>½ acre lots</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 2: Low Density Residential</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>½ acre lots</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 3: High Density Residential</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1/8 acre lots (TND-NE)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 4: Commercial</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Commercial/Business (TND-TC) and Industrial</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area 5: Industrial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Commercial/Business (TND-TC) and Industrial</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example Development Sites:
Stream Corridor Protection Zone Delineation

Stream Corridor Protection Zone Delineation Calculations (Section 1.3.1)
Stream Corridor Protection Zone Widths shall be determined by using the equation in Section 1.3.1.

Stream 1:
Stream Corridor Protection Zone Width for 1800 acre contributing drainage area = \(147 \times \left(\frac{1800}{640}\right)^{0.38}\) = 218 feet.

Stream 2:
Stream Corridor Protection Zone Width for 1910 acre contributing drainage area = \(147 \times \left(\frac{1910}{640}\right)^{0.38}\) = 223 feet.

Stream 3:
Stream Corridor Protection Zone Width for 20 acre contributing drainage area = \(147 \times \left(\frac{20}{640}\right)^{0.38}\) = 39 feet, however a minimum of 50 feet must be used per Section 1.3.1.
Example Development Sites:
Dry Extended Detention Basin Sizing for Area 1

Area 1: 40 acres Low Density Residential
Area 2: 40 acres Low Density Residential
Area 3: 10 ac High Density Residential
Area 4: 5 acres Commercial
Area 5: 2 acres Industrial

10 Acre Stream Corridor Protection Zone

Example Calculations for Stream Corridor Protection Zone and Stormwater Quality Controls

Note: The water quality capture volume plus sediment storage volume includes the forebay volume and micropool volume.

\[ WQV_{\text{Forebay}} = 0.1 \times WQV \] (Sec. 3.3.4.1)
\[ WQV_{\text{Micropool}} = 0.1 \times WQV \] (Sec. 3.3.4.1)
\[ = 0.08 \text{ ac-ft} \]
\[ \text{Discharge} = \frac{\text{Extended Detention Volume}}{\text{Drawdown time}} \] (Sec. 3.3.4)
\[ = \frac{0.75 \text{ ac-ft}}{48 \text{ hrs}} \times \frac{(43560 \text{ sf/ac})(1\text{h/3600s})}{43560 \text{ sf/ac}} \]
\[ = 0.08 \text{ ac-ft} \]
\[ \text{Assume max depth} = 5 \text{ ft} \]
\[ \text{4 inch diameter perforated riser} \]
\[ \text{Area of perforation} = 0.049 \text{ in}^2 \]
\[ \text{Flow through perforation} \] (Sec. 3.3.4.1)
\[ = AC(64.4 H)^{1/2} \]
\[ = (0.049/144) \times 0.8 \times 64.4 \times 5^{1/2} \]
\[ = 0.005 \text{ cfs} \]
\[ \text{Number of perforations} \] (Sec. 3.3.4.1)
\[ = 0.19 \text{ cfs} / 0.005 \text{ cfs} \]
\[ = 38 \text{ (Use 5 rows with 8 perforations per row with each row 4 inches apart)} \]

\[ WQV_{\text{must be routed through the outlet structure to ensure that the drawdown times presented in Section 3.3.4.1 are met.}} \]
Example Development Sites:

Wet Detention Basin Sizing for Area 2

Area 2:
- Wet Detention Pond
  \[ WQV = P \times C \times A / 12 \] (Sec. 3.3.2)
  \[ WQV = (0.75 \times 0.3 \times 40 \text{ ac}) / 12 \]
  \[ = 0.75 \text{ ac} \]
- Extended Detention = 0.75 * WQV
  (Sec. 3.3.4)
  \[ = 0.56 \text{ ac} \]
- Permanent Pool = 0.75 * WQV
  (Sec. 3.3.4)
  \[ = 0.56 \text{ ac} \]
- Sediment Storage = 0.2 * WQV
  (Sec. 3.3.4)
  \[ = 0.15 \text{ ac} \]
- Forebay = 0.1 * WQV (Sec. 3.3.4.2)
  \[ = 0.08 \text{ ac} \]
- Discharge = Extended Detention
  Volume / Drawdown time
  (Sec. 3.3.4)
  \[ = (0.56 \text{ ac} / 24 \text{ hrs}) \times (43560 \text{ sf/ac} \times 1 \text{ h/3600 s}) \]
  \[ = 0.28 \text{ cfs} \]

Assume Max depth = 5 ft
Outlet orifice size: (Sec. 3.3.4.1)
\[ Q = AC(64.4 H)^{1/2} \]
\[ 0.28 = A \times 0.66(64.4 \times 5)^{1/2} \]
\[ A = 0.024 \text{ ft}^2 \]
\[ D = (4 \times 0.024 \text{ ft})^{1/2} \times 12 \]
\[ = 2 \text{ inches} \]

Extended Dry detention
Basin Site

\[ ^{1} \text{WQ, must be routed through the outlet structure to ensure that the drawdown times presented in Section 3.3.4.2 are met.} \]
Example Development Sites:
Wetlands Sizing for Area 2 (Alternative to Wet Detention Basin)

Area 2 (Alternative):
Wetland
WQV = \( P \cdot C \cdot A / 12 \) (Sec. 3.3.2)
WQV = \( (0.75 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 40) / 12 \)
= 0.75 ac-ft
Extended Detention = WQV (Sec. 3.3.4)
= 0.75 ac-ft
Sediment Storage = 0.2 \* WQV
(Sec. 3.3.4)
= 0.15 ac-ft
Forebay = 0.1\*WQV (Sec. 3.3.4.1)
= 0.08 ac-ft
Max depth = 2 ft
Surface Area = 0.75 ac-ft / 2 ft
= 0.38 ac
Discharge = Extended Detention Volume / Drawdown time
(Sec. 3.3.4)
= \((0.75 \text{ ac-ft} / 24 \text{ hrs})\times(43560 \text{sf/ac})\times(1 \text{h/3600s})\)
= 0.38 cfs
Outlet orifice size (Sec. 3.3.4.3):
\[ Q = AC(64.4 H)^{1/2} \]
0.38 = \( A \times 0.66(64.4 \times 2)^{1/2} \)
\( A = 0.05 \text{ ft}^{2} \)
\[ D = \left(4 \times \frac{0.05}{2}ight)^{1/2} \times 12 \]
= 3.0 inches

Extended Dry Detention Basin Site
Wetland Site

1WQV must be routed through the outlet structure to ensure that the drawdown times presented in Section 3.3.4.3 are met.
Example Development Sites:
Wetland Water Balance for Area 2

The following water balance calculation shall be performed to demonstrate that any proposed stormwater wetland is sufficient to maintain normal pool elevation(s) during a thirty day drought at summer evaporation rates. The City requires that the permanent pool of any proposed stormwater wetland shall be at least two times the volume of evapotranspiration during a thirty day drought at summer evaporation rates or 0.75\(WQ_v\), whichever is greater.

The change in water storage is given by:

\[ \Delta V = \text{Inflows} - \text{Outflows} \]

Potential inflow sources include rainfall-runoff and baseflow, and potential outflows include basin discharges, evaporation and evapotranspiration. During a drought, assume that there is no rainfall-runoff, baseflow, or basin discharges.

Therefore:

\[ \Delta V = -(E_t) * A * T \]

where:

\[ \Delta V = \text{change in volume of the permanent pool (ac-ft/month)} \]
\[ E_t = \text{evapotranspiration rate (inches/day)} \]
\[ = 75 \text{ percent of the summertime pan evaporation rate}^1 \]
\[ A = \text{surface area of permanent pool (acres)} \]
\[ T = \text{duration of drought} = 30 \text{ days} \]

The pan evaporation rate reported by NOAA for the region including the City of Columbus is 0.2 inches/day for all of the summer months of June, July and August. Therefore:

\[ \Delta V = -(0.75*0.2 \text{ inches / day}) * A *30 \text{ days }*1 \text{ foot/12 inches} \]
\[ = -0.375 \times A \text{ ac-ft} \]

In other words, the volume of water lost to evapotranspiration in the wetlands will be 0.375 times the area of the wetland, and the permanent pool depth will decrease approximately 0.375 ft (4 inches) during a one-month drought where no rainfall occurs. The permanent pool volume must be twice the evapotranspiration volume, i.e., 0.75 times the area of the wetland, or 0.75 times the \(WQ_v\), whichever is greater. Vegetation selected for constructed wetlands must be able to tolerate a drawdown of this depth.

---

1 Treatment Wetlands, pg. 192.

Example Calculations for Stream Corridor Protection Zone and Stormwater Quality Controls

August 2012
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Example Development Sites:
Bioretention Facility Sizing for Area 3

Area 3:

Bioretention
WQV = \( P \times C \times A / 12 \) (Sec. 3.3.2)
WQV = \((0.75'' \times 0.5 \times 10 \text{ ac}) / 12\) 
= .31 ac-ft = 13,612 cu. ft.

Maximum Drainage Area = 5 ac.
\( \rightarrow \) 10 ac / 5 ac per filter = 2 units
Volume per Unit = WQV / 2
= 6,806 cu. ft.

Sediment Storage = 0.2 Vol (Sec. 3.3.5)
= 1,361 cu. ft.

Discharge = Volume / Drawdown
(Sec. 3.3.5.1)
= 6,806 cu. ft. / 40 hrs
= .05 cfs

Surface Area of each Facility
\( d \) = planting media depth = 4 ft
\( h \) = max depth water = 1 ft
\( K \) = planting media permeability
= \( 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \) ft/sec

\( A = \frac{WQV \times d}{3600 \times K \times (h + d)} \)
(Sec. 3.3.5.1)
\( A = \frac{.05 \times 4}{3600 \times 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \times 5} \) 
= .088 ac = 3,833 ft

Area = 3,833 sq ft

Plan View

Profile View
Example Development Sites:
Extended Dry Detention Swale for Area 4

Extended Dry Detention Swales
WQV = P*C*A/12
WQV = (0.75"*0.8"*5ac)/12
= 0.25 ac-ft = 10,890 cu.ft.

Extended Detention = WQV
= .25 ac-ft

Sediment Storage = 0.2 * WQV
= .05 ac-ft

Discharge = Extended Detention Volume / Drawdown time
= 10,890 cu ft / 40 hrs
= .08 cfs

Assume max depth = 1 ft
Surface Area = .25 ac-ft/1 ft
= .025 acres

Assume swale length = 600 ft
Swale width = 18 ft

Extended Dry Detention Basin Site
Wet Detention Basin Site
Bioretention Facility Site
Example Development Sites:
Sand Filter Water Quality Treatment for Area 5

- Area 5: Sand Filter Site
  WQV = P * C * A / 12 (Sec. 3.3.2)
  WQV = (0.75" * 0.8 * 2 ac) / 12
  = 0.1 ac-ft (43560sf/ac)
  = 4,356 cu ft
  Sedimentation Basin = WQV
  (Sec. 3.3.5.2)
  Sediment Storage = 0.2 * WQV
  (Sec. 3.3.4)
  = 871 cu ft
  Discharge = Extended Detention Volume / Drawdown time
  (Sec. 3.3.5.2)
  = 4,356 cu ft / 40 hrs
  = 0.03 cfs
  Assume depth on filter = 4 ft
  (Sec. 3.3.5.2, L&G#2)
  Surface area, sand filter
  Af = WQv*d/(k * t * (h+d)) (Sec. 3.3.5.2)
  = 4,356 cu ft * 1.5 ft
  (3.5 ft/day * 1.67 day)(2 ft+1.5 ft)
  = 319 sq ft

- 10 Acre Stream Corridor Protection Zone
- Area 2: 40 acres Low Density Residential
- Area 3: 10 ac High Density Residential
- Area 4: 5 acres Commercial
- Area 5: 2 acres Industrial

Plan:
4,356 + 871 cu ft = 319 sq ft

Section A-A:
Storm Water Runoff
4,356 + 871 cu ft
Perforated Risers
Sand Bed = 319 sq ft
Perforated Underdrain
Filtered Outflow = 0.03 cfs
Example Development Sites:  
Vegetated Swale Water Quality Treatment for Area 5  
(Alternative to Sand Filter)

Water Quality Flow ($WQ_f$) Determination:
Given $C = 0.8$
Overland slope = 1.5%
Overland path, $L = 75$ feet
Overland surface = paved
Drainage area ($A$) = 2 acres

Time of concentration ($t_0$) = $[0.007(n*L)^{0.8}]/(P_2^{0.5}*s^{0.4})$
$t_0 = [0.007(0.011*75)^{0.8}]/(2.63^{0.5}*0.015^{0.4})$
$t_0 = 0.02$ hrs*60mins./hr = 1.2 mins.
Minimum $t_0$ is 5 minutes per Section 2.2.2.2, therefore, use 5 minutes.
Using Figure 2-1, $i_{WQf} = 1.7$ in/hr
$WQ_f = C*i*A = 0.8*1.7*2 = 2.7$ cfs

Channel Sizing:
Channel shape = Trapezoidal
Channel sideslopes = 4:1
Swale slope ($S$) = 0.03 ft/ft
Manning’s $n$-value = 0.20 (per Section 3.3.6.1)
Maximum depth of flow ($d$) = 3"/12 = 0.25 feet (per Section 3.3.6.1)
Maximum swale width ($W$) = 10 feet (per Section 3.3.6.1)

Using Manning’s Equation find capacity of channel with maximum bottom width ($Q_s$). For a trapezoidal channel with 4:1 side slopes, a 0.25 feet flow depth, and 10-feet bottom width, $A = 2.75$ ft$^2$, and $R = 0.23$ feet.

$$Q = (1.49/n) * A * R^{2/3} * S^{1/2}$$

$$Q = (1.49/0.20) * 2.75 * 0.23^{2/3} * 0.03^{1/2}$$

$$Q = 1.1$$ cfs

Number of swales required = $WQ_f/Q = 2.7/1.1 = 2.5$ swales needed; use 3 swales
Appendix E
Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report Checklists
This Page Left Intentionally Blank
Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report for
Stormwater Basins and Wetlands

Project Location (including SP coordinates)

Inspector Name ___________________________ ___________________________________
Inspection Date/Time _____________________ ___________________________________
Stormwater Pond Owner or Contact Name _______________________
  Normal Pool ___________________________ ___________________________________
  Normal Dry ______________ Watershed ___________________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Items</th>
<th>Checked?</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed?</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Embankment and Emergency Spillway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Adequate vegetation and ground cover</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Embankment erosion</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Animal burrows</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Unauthorized plantings</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Cracking, bulging, or sliding of embankment</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Emergency spillway</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Leaks on downstream embankment</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Abutment protection or riprap failures</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Visual settlement or horizontal misalignment of top of embankment</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Other (specify)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Riser, principal spillway and control structure (catch basin, manhole, riser pipe, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced concrete _____</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrugated pipe _____</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry _____ Plastic _____</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other _____ Describe ________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Orifice(s) obstructed</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Trash rack(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Debris removal necessary</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Corrosion control</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Sediment accumulation inside riser or control structure</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Concrete/Masonry condition riser</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Cracks or displacement</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Items</td>
<td>Checked?/Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?/Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Minor spalling (&lt;1&quot;)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Major spalling (rebars exposed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Joint failures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. Water tightness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Metal pipe condition (corroded)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Control valve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Operational/exercised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Chained and locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Pond drain valve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Operational/exercised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Chained and locked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Outfall channels flowing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Permanent pool (wet ponds)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Floating or floatable debris removal required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Visible pollution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Shoreline problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Sediment accumulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sediment forebays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Sedimentation noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Sediment removal when depth of sediment &gt; 20% of plan depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dry pond areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Vegetation adequate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Undesirable woody vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Low flow channels clear of obstructions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Standing water or wet spots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Sediment and/or trash accumulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Condition of outfalls into and out of pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Riprap failures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Slope erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Storm drain pipes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Endwalls/headwalls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Encroachments on ponds or easement area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Complaints from residents <em>(describe on back)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Aesthetics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Inspection Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Checked?</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed?</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. Grass height</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Graffiti removal necessary</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Other (specify)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Any public hazards (specify)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Maintenance access</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Monitor mosquito larvae presence (seasonal)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Constructed wetland areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Vegetation healthy and growing (50% surface area coverage)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Evidence of invasive species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Excessive sedimentation in wetland area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inspection Frequency Key:**  

A = Annual, SA = Semi-Annual, M = Monthly, S = After Major Storm (*)

Adapted from: Georgia Stormwater Management Manual – Adapted from Watershed Management Institute, Inc. (1997)

### SUMMARY

1. Inspector’s remarks:________________________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________________________________________
   _________________________________________________________________________________________
   _________________________________________________________________________________________
   _________________________________________________________________________________________

2. Dates any maintenance must be completed by:______________________________________________

### CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSONALLY EXAMINED AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM AND BELIEVE THE INFORMATION IS TRUE, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE.

_________________________________________  __________________________  ________
Authorized Representative Signature                  Title          Date
This Page Left Intentionally Blank
Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report for Media Filters

Project Location (including SP coordinates)

Inspector Name _________________________  ___________________________________
Inspection Date/Time _____________________  ___________________________________
Owner or Contact Name ___________________ Watershed______________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Items</th>
<th>Checked? Yes/No</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed? Yes/No</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Debris removal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Bioretention and contributing areas clean of debris</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Any dumping of yard wastes into facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Litter (branches, etc.) present</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Vegetation (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Plant height not less than design water depth</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Plant composition according to approved plans</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. No placement of inappropriate plants</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Grass height not greater than 6 inches</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. No evidence of erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Dewatering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Dwaters between storms</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. No evidence of standing water</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sediment deposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Swale clean of sediments</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Sediment depth should not be &gt; than 20% of swale design depth</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Outlets/overflow spillway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Good condition (no need for repair)</td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. No evidence of erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. No evidence of blockages</td>
<td></td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Integrity of facility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Filter bed has not been blocked or filled inappropriately</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Vandalism</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Items</td>
<td>Checked?</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sand Filter Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Media / Filtration Chamber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Media removal/disposal if drain time &gt; 72 hours</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Replace media if &gt;90% of the design depth has been lost</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Check for cracks/ leakage</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sedimentation Chamber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Clear of sediment buildup (7 to 10-year cleanout recommended)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Check for cracks/leakage</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inspection Frequency Key**

- A = Annual, SA = Semi-annual, M = Monthly, S = After Major Storm


**SUMMARY**

1. Inspector’s Remarks:
   - __________________________________________
   - __________________________________________
   - __________________________________________
   - __________________________________________

2. Dates any maintenance must be completed by: ______________________

**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSONALLY EXAMINED AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM AND BELIEVE THE INFORMATION IS TRUE, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE.

________________________________     __________________    ______________
Authorized Representative Signature                     Title           Date
## Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report for

**Vegetated Swales, Filter Strips and Level Spreaders (*)**

### Project Location

(including SP coordinates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspector Name</th>
<th>_________________________</th>
<th>___________________________________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Date/Time</td>
<td>_______________________</td>
<td>___________________________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner or Contact Name</td>
<td>______________________</td>
<td>Watershed __________________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Inspection Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Items</th>
<th>Checked?</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed?</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vegetated Swales and Filter Strips (Vegetated Swales, as applicable)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Debris removal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Facility and adjacent area clear of debris</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Inlets and outlets clear of debris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Any dumping of yard wastes into facility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Litter (branches, etc) present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Adjacent area stabilized</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Grass mowed to height of 3 inches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Any evidence of erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Adequate grass coverage greater than 75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Dewatering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Facility dewatered between storms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Check dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Any evidence of sedimentation buildup</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Any evidence of erosion at downstream toe of drop structures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Swales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Swale clean of sediments</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Sediment depth should not be &gt; than 20% of swale design depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Outlets/overflow spillway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Good condition (no need for repair)</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Any evidence of erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Any evidence of blockages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Integrity of facility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Has facility been blocked or filled inappropriately</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Check for evidence of erosion/washout of inlet/outlet filter media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Bioretention planting soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Any evidence of planting soil erosion</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Maintenance Needed?</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Inspection Frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report for
Vegetated Swales, Filter Strips, and Level Spreaders

August 2012

Page 1 of 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Items</th>
<th>Checked?</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed?</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. Organic layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Mulch covers entire area (no voids) and to specified thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Mulch is in good condition</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Level Spreaders**

1. Vegetated area has vigorous stand of grass downstream of level spreader | SA, S |
2. Spreaders uniformly distributes flow over level lip. | SA, S |
3. Check for evidence of erosion/washout of inlet/outlet filter media. | A |

**Inspection Frequency Key:**  A=Annual, SA = Semi-Annual, M=Monthly, S=After Major Storm

(*) Adapted from: Georgia Stormwater Management Manual – Adapted from Watershed Management Institute, Inc. (1997)

**SUMMARY**

1. Inspector’s Remarks: __________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________

2. Dates any maintenance must be completed by: _____________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________

**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSONALLY EXAMINED AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM AND BELIEVE THE INFORMATION IS TRUE, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE.

_________________________________________    ___________________________________    ________________
Authorized Representative Signature              Title           Date
Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report for Proprietary Devices (PD)/Water Quality Units (WQU)

Inspector Name ________________________   Inspection Date/Time _______________

Plan # ________________    Project Name _____________________________________

Project Location _______________________   Watershed ________________________

Owner or Contact Name ________________________   Copy of Manifest____________

Model Number/Type:

(City Use Only GIS # ________________ )

Plan Structure # ________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Items</th>
<th>Checked?</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed?</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trash Present</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Present</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment Present</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Clean</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orifice Plate Open</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspection Frequency Key:  A =Annual, SA=Semi-Annual, Q=Quarterly

SUMMARY
1. Inspector’s Remarks: _________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________

2. Dates any maintenance must be completed by: ___________________________

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSONALLY EXAMINED AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM AND BELIEVE THE INFORMATION IS TRUE, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE.

Authorized Representative Signature ________________________ Title ________________________ Date ________________

Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report for Proprietary Devices
August 2012
Page 1 of 1
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# Operation and Maintenance Inspection Report
## For Pervious Pavement Systems

### Project Location
**including SP coordinates**

**Inspector Name** _________________________   ___________________________________

**Inspection Date/Time** _______________________   ___________________________________

**Owner or Contact Name** ___________ _________

**Watershed**______________________________

### Type of Pervious Pavement Systems:
- Porous Pavement _____
- Pervious Concrete _____
- Concrete Pavers ____
- Grid Pavements _____
- Vegetated Grid Pavements _____
- Other ____________________________

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Items</th>
<th>Checked?</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed?</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Pavement Surface</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Sediment accumulation</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Debris accumulation (mulch, trash, grass clippings and etc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Standing water (signs of clogging)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Weeds or grass growing in pavement area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Damaged surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Is settlement observed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Sand or etc. missing between pavers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Snow or other items stored on pavement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Heavy trucks or buses stored on pavement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Adjacent Areas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Run on from adjacent property, sediment, trash and etc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Any evidence of erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Adequate grass coverage greater than 75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Dewatering</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Does stormwater discharge from pavement area within 24 to 48 hours after the end of a storm event. Include checking monitoring wells/cleanouts if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M, S</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Structures and Underdrains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Any evidence of sedimentation buildup</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M, S</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Any evidence of erosion from under drain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M, S</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. All orifices and weirs open</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M, S</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Any evidence of structure failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M, S</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Other issues
   a. Complaints from residents
   b. Public Hazards
   c. Signs erected to mark Pervious Pavement areas
   d. Training provided to employees
   e. Training provided to contractors (landscape companies, sewer cleaning, pavement resurfacing/sealing)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Items</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Maintenance Needed?</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Inspection Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Other issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Complaints from residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Public Hazards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Signs erected to mark Pervious Pavement areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Training provided to employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Training provided to contractors (landscape companies, sewer cleaning, pavement resurfacing/sealing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Inspection Frequency Key: A=Annual, SA = Semi-Annual, M=Monthly, S=After Major Storm

(*)

**SUMMARY**

1. Inspector’s Remarks __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________________

2. Dates any maintenance must be completed by: ______________________________________
   ______________________________________

**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSONALLY EXAMINED AND AM
FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION ON THIS FORM AND BELIEVE THE
INFORMATION IS TRUE, ACCURATE AND COMPLETE.

_________________________________________    __________________________     ________________
Authorized Representative Signature              Title           Date
Appendix F
CC Drawing Submittal Forms
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EXHIBIT A - DOSD DRAWING NUMBER APPLICATION FORM

CITY OF COLUMBUS DIVISION OF SEWERAGE AND DRAINAGE
DRAWING NUMBER APPLICATION
FOR SEWER CONSTRUCTION PLANS

Drawing Number (assigned by DOSD): _______________

Drawing Type: ___Sanitary  ___Storm  ___Combined  ___Other ( )

Project Title: __________________________________________

Project Zoning Code Class: Res. _____Apt. _____  Comm. _____ Other___

Acreage: Project On-site ____  Above ________  Below ______

Easements: Number Required____ On-site ____  Off-site____  Platted ___

Contact Information:

Property Owner Name: ______________________________________

Address: _________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________

Phone: _______________  Email Address: ____________________

Contact (if other than property owner): ______________________

Address: _________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________

Phone: _______________  Email Address: ____________________

Project Developer (organization name): ______________________

Primary Developer Contact Person: __________________________

Address: _________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________

Phone: _______________  Email Address: ____________________

Plan Prepared by (organization name): ________________________
Primary Contact Person: ________________________________

Address: __________________________________________

____________________________________________________

Phone: ___________________  Email Address: ______________

Design Date: ____________

Enclosed: Design Area Map_____  Calculations_____  Prelim. Easement Description____
Other ____  (Describe: _________________________)

Digital File Name(s) Submitted:
EXHIBIT B
General Notes
To Be Included Within the CC-Plans

• The City of Columbus Construction and Material Specifications, (current version) including all supplements thereto, shall govern all construction items that are a part of this plan unless otherwise noted.

• The Contractor shall notify the following Divisions at least 24-hours in advance of anticipated start of construction:
  
  Division of Sewerage and Drainage (614) 645-7102
  Transportation Division, Inspection Services Section (614) 645-3182

• The Contractor is responsible for the investigation, location, support, protection, and restoration of all existing utilities and appurtenances whether shown on these plans or not. The Contractor shall expose all utilities or structures prior to construction to verify the vertical and horizontal effect on the proposed construction. The Contractor shall call, toll free, the Ohio Utilities Protection Service (1-800-362-2764) 72-hours prior to construction and shall notify all utility companies at least 48-hours prior to work in the vicinity of their underground lines.

• Construction of this project may not begin until the easements indicated have been recorded by the City.

• The Developer/Owner shall, prior to any construction operation, deposit with the City the total estimated costs for inspection and where required a repaving guarantee.

• Any modification to the work as shown on these drawings must have prior written approval by the Administrator, Division of Sewerage and Drainage.

• All plastic sewer lines shall be deflection tested after installation in conformance with the requirements of item 901 of the City of Columbus, Construction and Material Specifications, current version.

• All concrete pipe, storm and sanitary sewer structures will be stamped or have such identification noting that said pipe, storm and sanitary structures have been inspected by the City of Columbus and meets their specifications. Pipe and structures without proper identification will not be permitted for installation.

• Erosion and sediment control measures are required as part of this project. Erosion and Sediment Control measures specific to this site may be found on Sheet No. ___ of this plan. Land-disturbing activities must comply with all provisions of the Division of Sewerage and Drainage ERÓSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL REGULATION. All land-disturbing activities shall be subject to inspection and site investigation by the City of Columbus and/or the Ohio EPA.

• It is the responsibility of the site owner to notify the City of Columbus two working days prior to commencement of initial site land disturbance on any site of one or more acres. This includes site clearing, grubbing and any earth moving. Primary erosion and sediment
control practices are mandated by regulation to be in place from the beginning of the construction activity. Please contact The Stormwater Management Office @ (614) 645-6700 or fax @ (614) 645-1506. Details of this requirement may be found in the EROSION AND SEDIMENT POLLUTION CONTROL REGULATION (adopted June 1, 1994). Failure to comply may result in enforcement action as detailed in the Columbus City Codes Section 1145.80.

THIS NOTE SHALL BE ADDED TO ANY PLAN WHERE CITY OF COLUMBUS PARK PROPERTY MAY BE INVOLVED IN THE LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION:

“The Contractor is hereby notified to contact the City Forester of the Recreation and Parks Department (Phone: (614) 645-3350) 24-hours prior to any construction in or near the park property.”

- The Contractor shall ensure there is a surveyor’s level and rod on the project for use in performing grade checks whenever sewer line structures or pipe are being installed. The Contractor shall make this equipment available for use and assist the City inspector in performing grade checks when requested by the inspector. The inspector will make all reasonable attempts to confine requests for assistance in performing grade checks to times convenient to the Contractor.

These checks will be performed to ensure the following:
1. Proper placement of each structure.
2. Proper installation of initial runs of pipe from a structure.
3. Grade, after an overnight or longer shutdown.
4. Grade, at any other time the inspector has reason to question grade of installation.

Grade checks performed by the City inspector in no way relieve the Contractor of the ultimate responsibility to ensure construction to the plan grade.

- The amount of fill within designated FEMA floodplain areas onsite is ____ C.Y. The amount of fill compensated within designated FEMA floodplain areas onsite is ____ C.Y.

- The ponding or detention areas shown on the plans are a part of the storm sewer facilities. The Developer/Owner will assume the responsibility to maintain the ponding or detention areas so as not to reduce the water storage areas. If the Owner does not maintain the ponding and detention areas, the plan will become void and the City will plug the sewer at the outlet.

As a condition of final acceptance, the property owner shall be responsible for providing as-built surveys to verify the final grades and elevations of stormwater detention basins and wetlands that are to be owned and operated by the City. At the completion of home construction, the Owner/Developer shall field survey the stormwater detention facility to verify that the facilities are constructed according to approved plans. Should a discrepancy between the plans and constructed grades exist, the design storage of the detention facility shall be restored by the Owner/Developer as directed by the City of Columbus.
Exhibit C  
Sample Signature Block  
To Be Included on the Cover Sheet of the CC Plans

APPROVALS: SIGNATURES BELOW SIGNIFY ONLY CONCURRENCE WITH THE GENERAL PURPOSES AND GENERAL LOCATION OF THE PROJECT. ALL TECHNICAL DETAILS REMAIN THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ENGINEER PREPARING THE PLANS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT SECTION MANAGER, DIVISION OF SEWERAGE AND DRAINAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>ADMINISTRATOR, DIVISION OF SEWERAGE AND DRAINAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATOR, DIVISION OF ELECTRICITY</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>CITY ENGINEER, TRANSPORTATION DIVISION</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATOR, DIVISION OF WATER</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN AND PLAN SERVICES ENGINEER, TRANSPORTATION DIVISION</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- FOR PROJECTS NEAR PARK PROPERTY

DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS | Date

Note: Refer to DOSD digital submittal standards for current title block format.
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Exhibit D - SWMS Plan Review Checklist for CC Drawings

Plans

___ Registered Engineer signature and seal
___ 22” x 34” paper size
___ 22” x 34” mylar (final plans only)
___ Digital submittal on disk/CD
___ Completed CC-drawing application form
___ Easement Descriptions and Exhibits
___ Seven (7) sets of check prints

Master Drainage Plan

___ Project Title
___ North arrow and scale
___ Project boundaries
___ Existing and proposed topography at two-foot contour intervals
___ Pre-development and post-development sub-basins
___ Location and capacity of the immediate downstream receiving waterway or drainage system
___ Pre-development and post-development major routing flow paths
___ Soil type by sub-basin
___ Streams and Stream Corridor Protection Zones
___ Proposed stormwater facilities
___ Existing field tile locations
___ Lines designating the phases of multiphase development projects
___ Lot lines, streets, right-of-ways, setbacks, and easements
___ Flood Hazard limits and classifications
___ Regulated wetlands
___ All outfalls identified with major outfalls clearly labeled

Calculations

___ Stream Corridor Protection Zone and Floodplain Compensation
   ___ Stream Corridor Protection Zone sizing calculations
   ___ Floodplain fill volume calculations
   ___ Compensatory floodplain fill volume calculations
___ Impervious Area
Storm sewers
- Pipe sizing calculations
- Hydraulic grade line check calculations
- Pavement spread calculations
- Inlet spacing/capacity calculations
- Inlet tributary area map(s)

Culverts
- Hydrologic calculations
- Hydraulic calculations/overtopping analysis
- Tributary area map

Constructed Open Watercourses
- Ditch sizing calculations
- Tributary area map
- HEC-2 analysis, if required

Flood routing
- Hydrologic calculations
- Hydraulic calculations

Detention
- Predeveloped flow calculations
- Post developed flow calculations
- Critical Storm determination calculations
- Stage-discharge curve
- Stage-storage curve
- Routing calculations
- Storage Volume Table (shown on plans)

Stormwater Quality BMPs
- Water quality volume (WQv) calculations
- Drawdown calculations
- Required areas for media filters (Group 2)
- Design and design flow rate for swale and filters strips (Group 3)
- BMP Maintenance plan

Commercial Activity Areas
- Location shown and area clearly delineated
- Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) identified
- Materials handling areas clearly delineated
- High-risk and low-risk pollutant source identified
___ On-site storm and sanitary sewer systems including discharges and outfalls shown
___ If applicable, oil/water separator, spill containment (110% of volume stored) and treatment systems shown
___ Area covered from rainfall with cover or roof of required dimensions
___ Area graded to minimize runoff
___ Appropriate methods for material disposal shown including sanitary sewer or other

Easement Descriptions

___ Legal Descriptions
    ___ Legal size paper
    ___ Registered surveyor signature and seal

___ Exhibits
    ___ Legal size paper
    ___ Registered surveyor signature and seal

___ Owner Name
___ Mailing address
___ Phone number

Title Sheet

___ Correct project title
___ Location map
___ Bench marks
___ Estimated quantities
___ Standard drawings
___ General notes
___ Signature block

Plan View

___ North Arrow orientation
___ Proper structure numbering
___ Scale
___ Reference point
___ Property information
___ Stream identification
    ___ SCPZ limits
____ 100 year flood plain limits (if different from SCPZ limits)
____ Floodplain fill and compensatory volume location and limits

____ Stormwater facilities size, types, and location
   ___ Water quality BMPs
   ___ Detention facilities (include maximum ponding limits)
   ___ Storm sewers
   ___ Open channels
   ___ Flood routing
   ___ Culverts

____ Proposed and existing easements
   ___ Agricultural field tiles
   ___ Existing and proposed utilities
   ___ Proper structure and pipe annotation
   ___ Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3)

Profile View

___ Scale
___ Stationing
___ Utility, street, driveway, and stream crossings
___ Proper structure and pipe annotation
___ Granular backfill and encasement limits
___ Proper ground surface line types

Details and Cross Sections

___ Open channel and flood routing swale cross-sections
___ Culvert profiles
   ___ Elevation information
   ___ Flow and velocity data
___ Stormwater BMP details
   ___ Plan view
   ___ Elevation view
   ___ Volume and drawdown data
   ___ Planting list
___ Detention Ponds
   ___ Cross section(s)
   ___ Elevation information
   ___ Forebay details
   ___ Outlet structure details
Exhibit E – Erosion and Sediment Control Land Disturbance Note

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL. Land Disturbance areas less than one* acre and not part of a larger common plan of development are not required to submit to the City of Columbus a full scale erosion and sediment control plan for approval. However, the proposed land disturbing activities must comply with all of the provisions of the Division of Sewerage and Drainage Erosion and Sediment Control regulation. All land disturbing activities shall be subject to inspection and site investigation by the City of Columbus to determine compliance with City standards and regulations. Failure to comply with these regulations may subject the site to enforcement action by the City. Questions regarding Erosion and Sediment Control may be referred to the Stormwater Management Office at 645-6311.

Onsite Contact:
Phone:
FAX:
E-mail:

---

*As of March 10, 2003 – NPDES Permit Phase II
Appendix G
As-Built Location Forms
This form must be filled out and submitted for each constructed outfall that discharges directly to an open watercourse. Please submit completed forms with the final plan submission.

### Stormwater Outfall Proposed Location

**Drawing No. (CC, Drawer D, etc.)** _______________

**State Plane Coordinate of proposed outfall location**
- Northing ____________
- Easting ______________
- Elevation ____________

Check all that apply:

**Name of receiving stream, if known*** _________________________________

*If name is unknown, indicate the major stream/river the watercourse is tributary to (i.e. Hellbranch Run, Scioto River, Olentangy River, Alum Creek, Big Walnut Creek, etc.)

**Outfall Type:**
- _____ Constructed open channel (tributary area = _____ acres)
- _____ Piped (if piped, please designate the following attributes)

**Pipe Shape:**
- _____ Circular
- _____ Pipe arch
- _____ Elliptical
- _____ Box section
- _____ Other Describe: ____________________________

**Pipe Material:**
- _____ Concrete
- _____ Corrugated Metal (CMP)
- _____ Ductile Iron (DI)
- _____ Vitrified Clay
- _____ High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE)
- _____ Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)
- _____ Other Describe: ____________________________

**Pipe Size:**
- _____ inches inside diameter, or
- _____ inches (rise) x _____ inches (span)

For office use only: City asset no. assigned _________________
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This form must be filled out and submitted for the location of each outlet structure of a stormwater control facility. Please submit completed forms (one per structure/unit) with the final plan submission.

Project Name: _______________________________________________________________

Site Street Address: __________________________________________________________

Parcel Identification Number (PID): _____________________

Owner/Contact Name: _________________________________________________________

Owner/Contact Phone Number: _________________________________________________

Owner/Contact Mailing Address: _________________________________________________

Drainage area (acres): _________________________________________________________

Drawing No. (CC, Drawer D, etc.)/Plan Structure No.____________________________

____ Proposed State Plane Coordinate of (check those that apply):

____ Principle spillway location for dry detention basin
____ Principle spillway location for wet detention basin
____ Principle spillway location for constructed stormwater wetland
____ overflow catch basin or standpipe for bioretention/rain garden facility
____ Outlet end of sand filter
____ Outlet end of vegetated swale or filter strip that are designed to serve as a water quality BMP only
____ Overflow catch basin or standpipe for dry extended detention swale
____ Manhole/access way to proprietary device (e.g. filter, hydrodynamic separator, etc) Manufacturer Name and Model #  _______________________________
____ Catch basin/orifice plate for parking lot storage
____ Outlet structure for permeable/porous pavement system
____ Outlet structure for green roof system
____ Outlet structure for rainwater harvesting system
____ Other, describe__________________________________________________________

Ohio South Zone State Plane Coordinates:

Northing______________________________________________________________

Easting_______________________________________________________________

Is facility intended to provide (check those that apply):

____ Water quality control only
____ Water quantity control only, or
____ Water quality control and water quantity control

Is facility located underground? (Yes/No) ______

For what type of development does this facility provide control (check those that apply)?

____ Commercial
____ Industrial
____ Multifamily residential
____ Single-family residential
____ Other, describe ___________________________________________________

August 2012
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Appendix H
Stormwater Control Monitoring Requirements in the Big Darby Watershed
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MONITORING POST-CONSTRUCTION BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP) FOR THE BIG DARBY CREEK WATERSHED

For no less than five (5) years following construction completion of the post-construction BMP the applicant/developer shall implement a monitoring program for discharges from site BMPs in the Big Darby Creek Watershed in general compliance with recommendations from the Draft Big Darby Accord and in accordance with the following requirements:

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Sample locations: The applicant/developer shall monitor at all stormwater BMP outfalls discharging to the Darby watershed at a point where the BMP discharges to a receiving stream or offsite sewer system.

Sample interval: The applicant/developer shall collect two (2) effluent samples on a quarterly basis. Two samples are required in each of the representative seasons: Winter, Spring, Summer and Fall generally corresponding to the calendar periods of Dec 1 – February 28; March 1 – May 30; June 1 – August; and September 1 – December 31. One effluent sample per quarter must be collected during the dry period, with no precipitation for three days preceding collection of the sample. The other effluent sample per quarter must be collected during a rain event greater than or equal to 0.25 inches in 24 hours.

Sample Collection: samples shall be taken by a commercial laboratory or firm specializing and experienced in sample collection, testing, analysis and reporting.

Flow-weighted composite samples shall be collected for analysis. Samples collected for flow-weighted composite shall be taken during the entire event or during the first three hours, whichever occurs first. Each sample shall be separated by a minimum period of fifteen minutes, or a maximum period of forty-five minutes, with a minimum of three samples per event. Flow-weighted composite samples may be taken with a continuous sampler or by combining discrete samples in proportion to the flow.

If automatic samplers are used the automatic samplers shall be capable of being triggered via a flow meter when a set depth point is reached. Automatic samplers may be programmed to collect sample aliquots at specified time intervals over the course of the wet weather event (3 hours).

Analysis: Effluent stormwater runoff composite samples shall be tested for total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorus (P) and total nitrogen (N).

Rainfall data: The applicant/developer shall maintain records of the date and duration (in hours) of the storm event(s) sampled; the project location and the location of
sampling; type of post-construction BMP structure sampled; rainfall measurements or estimates (in inches) of the storm event which generated sampled runoff; the duration (in hours) between the storm event sampled and the end of the previous measurable storm event; an estimate of the total volume (in gallons) of the discharge sampled; and lab data showing the effluent concentration of TSS, P and N.

The time lag between the storm event and the last previously measurable storm event shall also be reported.

WET WEATHER SAMPLING

Definition: Wet weather precipitation events are defined as greater than or equal to 0.25 inches in 24-hours.

When the applicant/developer is unable to collect samples due to adverse climatic conditions, he shall submit an explanation of why samples could not be collected, including available documentation of the event. Adverse climatic conditions which may prohibit the collection of samples include weather conditions that create dangerous conditions for personnel or otherwise make the collection of a sample impracticable (local drought, extended frozen conditions, etc.)

DRY WEATHER SAMPLING

The BMP monitoring locations shall be field screened for the presence of dry weather flows and the findings reported to the City. Any flow observed in each of the designated locations seventy-two (72) hours following the end of the last precipitation event will be defined as dry weather discharge from the stormwater outfalls.

If flow is noted, obtain and test the sample or note “no flow”.

The constituents present in dry weather flow will be characterized by collecting an individual grab sample from each outfall identified as having dry weather discharge, along with a record of the amount of flow discharged at the time of sample collection.

ANNUAL REPORT

The applicant/developer shall submit an annual report to the Stormwater and Regulatory Management Section. The Report shall present an evaluation of the monitoring data to characterize the seasonal quality of storm water discharges.

The Annual Report shall contain:
- the date and duration (in hours) of the storm event(s) sampled
- project location
The Annual Report along with the Operations and Maintenance Report required under the Stormwater Drainage Manual for each calendar year January 1 through December 31 must be submitted not later than January 31 of the following year. Submit Annual Reports to the City of Columbus at the address below:

Post-Construction BMPs
Stormwater and Regulatory Section
1250 Fairwood Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43206-2272