

August 8, 2012

Mr. Scott J. Nally Director Ohio Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 1049 Columbus, OH 43216-1049

Dear Director Nally:

The City of Columbus met with your staff in early July to discuss a proposal that we are very excited about. We believe it has the potential to put Columbus and Ohio on the cutting edge of how to sensibly address the tough issues of eliminating sewer overflows and improving water quality. The purpose of this letter is to request Ohio EPA's approval of the City's proposed plan. As set forth below, the City is not proposing any delay in compliance with its Consent Orders. Instead, the City is proposing to take a fresh approach to the Consent Orders, and to spend the capital dollars above ground, transforming neighborhoods and creating permanent local jobs, instead of investing solely in little-used tunnels. Our proposal is consistent with USEPA guidance on Integrated Planning and it should result in greater water quality benefits than the current gray infrastructure CSO/SSO plan by incorporating both stormwater and sewer overflows.

Background

The City of Columbus entered into Consent Orders with Ohio EPA in 2002 (SSOs) and 2004 (CSOs). Pursuant to those orders, the City submitted a Wet Weather Management Plan to Ohio EPA on July 1, 2005. That plan was conditionally approved by Ohio EPA in January 2009.

The City has spent over a billion dollars to date in implementing the WWMP. The City has expanded its two wastewater treatment plants so that they can each treat 50% more wet weather flows. This work was done on time, by July 1, 2010, and has resulted in a dramatic decrease in wet weather overflows and bypasses.

In addition, the City has started construction on a CSO tunnel that will virtually eliminate CSOs in the downtown area. The original WWMP called for a near-surface tunnel and partial treatment for CSOs to an agreed level of service (four overflows per year). That work was supposed to be completed in 2025. Instead, the City is building a deep tunnel that will virtually eliminate CSOs downtown and will have the City meeting its level of service for downtown CSOs in 2015. In other words, the City will have virtually resolved its CSO issues in just ten years, and ten years ahead of schedule.



Letter to Director Nally August 8, 2012 Page 2

The work that remains to be done under the current WWMP is directed at eliminating SSOs and basement backups. Unfortunately, this work has a number of disadvantages. It is very expensive (estimated as an additional \$2.5 B) and will remove far less volume of overflows compared to the current CSO tunnel. Most of the money will be spent on two large tunnels that will only be put in service an average of 5 or 6 times per year.

Another significant disadvantage to the current WWMP is that it does not include any green infrastructure. Columbus entered into consent orders with Ohio EPA earlier than most other cities in Ohio and elsewhere. At the time the WWMP was being developed, the advantages of green infrastructure and how it might help resolve wet weather issues was not well understood. As a result, Columbus is one of the few major cities that does not include green infrastructure in its plan. Columbus should not be penalized for its early cooperation with Ohio EPA.

The existing WWMP will also do very little to improve the discharges from the City's storm sewer system. Stormwater is one of the leading causes of water quality impairment in central Ohio.

Recently, USEPA has recognized the importance of allowing cities to take into consideration all of the regulatory challenges of complying with the Clean Water Act, and prioritize work to achieve water quality goals more efficiently. In June, 2012, USEPA issued the "Integrated Municipal Stormwater and Wastewater Planning Approach Framework." According to this policy, cities are encouraged to integrate work needed to comply with stormwater regulations and sewer overflow elimination. The Integrated Plan Framework strongly encourages the use of green infrastructure to meet these challenges.

Columbus' Proposal

The City would like the opportunity to explore whether there is a better alternative than the current gray WWMP. Specifically, the City believes it is possible to create an Integrated Plan that has two major elements: removing stormwater from the sewers (commonly known as inflow/infiltration or I/I removal), and then routing that stormwater to green infrastructure for treatment prior to being released. The I/I removal would include removing I/I from private sources, such as homeowners' sewer laterals. The green infrastructure would include improvements in the public right-of-ways in our neighborhoods, such as porous pavement sidewalks, rain gardens, and trees. We also envision the removal of vacant housing and the creation of pocket parks as part of the plan. The plan may also need to include some gray solutions as well, such as tunnels or relief sewers but we are unable to properly design these features today without an examination of the full suite of options and how they integrate.

The potential benefits of this plan are enormous. First, there are environmental benefits. We believe it will significantly improve water quality compared to the gray WWMP. In addition, green infrastructure has been recognized as providing many ancillary benefits, such as air quality improvements, habitat, etc. It will also put Columbus ahead of stormwater regulations that are eminent.

Second, there are many other benefits to our community. The improvements we are envisioning may improve property values and help stabilize some of our most challenging neighborhoods. We also recognize that these improvements will require perpetual maintenance, which will in turn lead to permanent jobs.

Letter to Director Nally August 8, 2012 Page 3

To determine if this is feasible, the City needs to analyze a number of things. Specifically, the City needs to determine: is it practically and legally feasible to remove private I/I; can I/I removal coupled with green infrastructure provide quantifiable and reasonably certain elimination of SSOs and basement backups; and is it possible to gain acceptance of this plan from the public and our suburban partners. While this is an enormous undertaking, unparalleled in scope, we stand ready to dedicate the resources necessary to determine the answers to these questions.

Proposed Schedule

The current WWMP was submitted to Ohio EPA with a schedule that concludes in 2045. The January 2009 conditional approval letter requires the City to resubmit the schedule for the post-2025 projects in January 2015, and the revised schedule must be shorter than the current schedule. The City is also required to resubmit its affordability analysis in January 2015 to support its proposed revised schedule.

The City is asking Ohio EPA for approval to do the following:

- Submit an Integrated Plan that will replace the WWMP on September 1, 2015
- Also on September 1, 2015, submit a revised schedule for the gray WWMP as required by
 the January 2009 approval letter. This would include a schedule for finishing the gray
 WWMP more quickly than the current schedule. If the Integrated Plan is not acceptable to
 Ohio EPA, the City can finish the current gray WWMP no later than is currently scheduled.
 In other words, no time will be lost as a result of the City's Integrated Planning effort.
- Submit the affordability analysis required by the January 2009 approval letter on September 1, 2015.
- Delay the projects listed in Attachment A until the Integrated Plan is approved or denied.

The Integrated Plan that the City will submit on September 1, 2015 will:

- Comply with USEPA's Integrated Planning Framework, and its recently issued "General Accountability Considerations for Green Infrastructure."
- Include modeling demonstrating that the Integrated Plan fully complies with the Consent Orders
- Compare water quality advantages of Integrated Plan to the gray WWMP.
- Include proposed schedules and milestones. The schedule will be as expeditious as practical, and will be no longer than the current proposed schedule.
- Set forth legal authority to accomplish private I/I removal.
- Include public input in plan development and a plan for future public involvement in implementation.
- Include results of suburban outreach.

Letter to Director Nally August 8, 2012 Page 4

The City is also prepared to undertake the following projects simultaneously with developing its Integrated Plan:

- Third Avenue Green Infrastructure for CSO and SSO areas
- Real Time Control of the Sewer Collection System
- Public Outreach
- Repurpose vacant lots in Barthman/Parsons area
- Priority Area Roof Control and Sewer Lining in Miller/Kelton area
- DSR 83 weir raise

The City requests a prompt response to its proposal for two reasons. First, we cannot meet the deadlines we are proposing unless we begin the work soon. We are ready to begin immediately, but need approval first. Second, some of the projects on Attachment A are scheduled to begin this year. If Ohio EPA does not authorize the City's Integrated Planning approach, we need to know as soon as possible so we can recommence the gray WWMP.

We are therefore requesting a response by September 10, 2012.

The City is very excited about the opportunity to be one of the leading examples of Integrated Planning. We look forward to answering any questions you have.

Sincerely,

Greg J. Davies

Director

GJD:sls