# **University Area Review Board**

50 West Gay Street, Fourth Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-9031 (614) 645-6096 (614) 645-1483 fax



MEETING SUMMARY

date February 19, 2015

place **Northwood & High Building** 

2231 North High Street, Room 100

time 6:30pm - 10:45pm

members present members absent

1.

Ted Goodman, Brian Horne, Bob Mickley, Pasquale Grado, Doreen Uhas-Sauer(6:32), Frank Petruziello(6:35)

A.

**Approval of Minutes** 

Meeting Summary from January 2015

motion by Mr. Grado/ Mr. Mickley

motion To approve as submitted.

vote 4-0 to Approve

В.

**Applications for Certificate of Approval** 

97-105 East 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue **Community Housing Network** 

Chris Bruzzese (Berardi + Architects), Laurie Sutherland (CHN) applicant:

to be reviewed: building and site review

6:33 - 6:46

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Goodman enquired about the sections.
- Mr. Bruzzese reviewed the building section and materials.
- Mr. Petruziello commented on the progress of the proposal.
- Mr. Horne questioned whether the stone watertable was at the right height.

Mr. Petruziello / Mr. Grado motion by motion To approve the proposal as submitted.

vote 6-0 to Approve

applicant: to be reviewed:

6:46 - 7:10

32-44 West 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue

Bradley Blumensheid (Rhythm Architecture), Barrett Jardine (Barret Jardine Design), Wayne Garland (Owner)

Multi-Family Residences | Garage

building and site review

Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.

- Mr. Mickley recused himself.
- Mr. Petruziello enquired whether the smooth CMU was ground CMU?
- Mr. Blumensheid stated that Oberfelds calls the product smooth CMU.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the watertable might want to be cast stone.
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer commented that the railings should be opaque to hide the junk.
- Mr. Blumensheid stated that they looked at that option and it was inappropriate with the building style.

motion by Mr. Petruziello / Mr. Horne

To approve the proposed development on the condition:

That the stucco joints be designed and not left to the contractor.

- That all materials and color samples be presented to staff.
- That the watertable be made of cast stone.

6-0 to Approve

vote

motion

3.			
applicant:			
to be reviewed:			
7:10 – 7:33			

**190 West 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue**Mike Church (Owner)
building and site review

# **Multi-Family Residence**

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the ridge line needs to align; the offset ridgelines cause strange transitions from the existing to the original. Mr. Church reviewed the plans.
- Mr. Horne stated that the addition wall needs to align with the existing wall.
- Mr. Goodman commented that the porch lacks detail.
- Mr. Grado expressed concern about triple stacked parking. Mr. Ferdelman stated that code permits the triple stack.
- Mr. Church commented that he intended to replicate the front porch.
- The Board reacted negatively to the idea of replicating the porch rather than restoring the existing.
- Mr. Ferdelman stated that the best option would be to keep the roof and replace the foundation and deck of the porch.

motion by

# Mr. Petruziello / Ms. Uhas-Sauer

To approve the proposed addition and remodel on the condition:

motion

- That the roof be simplified one ridge.
- That porch details be submitted to staff for review and approval; restore not replace.
- That the addition shall not project beyond the existing Eastern wall.

vote

## 6-0 to Approve

applicant: to be reviewed: 7:33 -8:02 165 East 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue

**Beta Theta Pi Fraternity** 

Dan Pickett, Rex Hagerling (Moody Nolan Architects)

building and site review

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the cornice does not look right.
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer expressed concern that the cornice height was too high.
- Mr. Pickett stated that the cornice is at 32'.
- Mr. Horne expressed concern regarding how the water is lead off the building.
- The Board and Applicant discussed the priority of the doors and how to express the door for entry from the parking.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the portico should be lowered.
- Mr. Hagerling clarified that the widows are aluminum clad wood windows.

# motion by

# Ms. Uhas-Sauer / Mr. Mickley

To approve the proposed development on the condition:

motion

- That the building will have the secondary doors.
- That approval is subject to BZA granting the requested Variances.
- That staff is provided a fully dimension wall section.

vote

# 6-0 to Approve

applicant: to be reviewed: 8:02 – 8:22

## 1457 Neil Avenue

**Multi-Family Residence** 

Barrett Jardine (Barret Jardine Design), Wayne Garland (Owner)

building and site review

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Mickley recused himself.
- Ms. Uhas- Sauer stated that it was important to retain the fish scale siding.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the stair enclosure on the side is unfortunate.
- Mr. Horne suggested the extra balcony be removed; minimize the intrusion of the addition.

- Mr. Petruziello expressed concern regarding the rear porch roof.
- Mr. Horne stated that the porch roof should be flat or near flat
- Mr. Grado commented that the plan and elevation were inconsistent.

#### **Tabled**

# motion

To consider: Flat rear porch roof.

- Minimize the side stair; no balcony.
- Conformance of plan and elevation.

1469 Neil Avenue **Multi-Family Residence** applicant: Barrett Jardine (Barret Jardine Design), Wayne Garland (Owner) building and site review

to be reviewed: 8:22 - 8:30

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Mickley recused himself.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that a smaller sized siding should be used. The addition seems a little clumsy.
- Mr. Horne stated that the garage was well designed.
- Mr. Goodman stated that the proposal was an approvable design lacking details; set dormers back on garage.

# **Tabled**

# To consider:

motion

- Smaller sized siding on addition.
- Set dormers back on garage.
- Additional details.

7. applicant: to be reviewed: 8:30 - 8:42

# 1770 North High Street

Jim Dreiss (dkd Studio) building and graphics

Bullwinkle's

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Dreiss reviewed the modifications to the storefront and patio.
- Mr. Grado and Ms. Uhas-Sauer stated that they were opposed to the use.
- Mr. Grado stated that this portion of High Street has seen some recent investment and this proposal is to its detriment.
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer commented that the sign graphics and business name are cheap and inappropriate for the location.
- Mr. Dreiss stated that he acknowledges the comment, but stated that the client has made their decisions.
- Mr. Petruziello stated that the background of the sign shall be opaque.

motion

Ms. Uhas-Sauer / Mr. Mickley motion by

To approve the modified storefront and signage on the condition:

That the sign shall have an opaque background.

vote 4-2 to Approve (Mr. Grado, Ms. Uhas-Sauer) C.

applicant: to be reviewed: 8:42 – 8:58

# Applications for Zoning, Code Enforcement and/or Conceptual Review 1583-1585 North 4<sup>th</sup> Street Multi-Family

Nick Cavalaris (Attorney), Julie Bullock (Architect), Adam Smith (Owner) zoning variance | building and site review

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Cavalaris discussed the requested variances; especially lacking a curbcut limited the ability to develop the parcel.
- Ms. Bullock reviewed the site and building.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that he believed the proposal should be 3 units not 4; the 3<sup>rd</sup> unit can be a bigger unit.
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer complimented the use of brick and the use of the townhouse typology.
- Mr. Horne commented that the first floor should complimentary with the adjacent units.
- Ms. Bullock asked if the building should face 4<sup>th</sup> or Chittenden.
- The Board indicated that it should respond to the corner condition and could face both streets.

# Tabled To consider:

motion

- Reduce the count to 3 units.
- Align building face with building to the North.

# 2. East 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue, East 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue, SCG South Residential Pearl Alley and Section Alley

applicant:

Stephen M. Caplinger (Creative Design + Planning) Erin Prosser (Campus Partners), Brad Parrish (Architectural Alliance), Pete Edwards (Edwards Company) conceptual | building and site review

to be reviewed: 8:58 – 9:40

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Parish reviewed the proposal.
- The Board expressed concern regarding the manner of presentation.
- Mr. Horne commented that he can make sense of Building 3 and 4, but Building 2 seems out of control.
- Mr. Parish commented that Building 2 is meant to be different than Buildings 3 and 4; to bring the scale up to the SCG.
- Mr. Horne stated that in the previous review the Board requested the long 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue elevation be broken up.
- Mr. Parish highlighted the recessed entries that do break up the volumes.
- Mr. Horne suggested stepping down Building 2 as it went to the East.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the refinement of Building 2 had fallen flat; too many transitions from East to West.
- Mr. Goodman commented that the North elevation of Building 2 needs more 3 dimensional relief; cornices and balconies that drop back 8 feet not 2 or 3.
- Mr. Petruziello concurred with Mr. Goodman regarding the bulkiness of Building 2.
- Mr. Parish enquired what would help presentation.
- Mr. Goodman requested boards with full scale drawings; Ms. Uhas-Sauer suggested a model might help
- Mr. Grado suggested 3d renderings with the context buildings, including the CHN building.
- Mr. Mickley stated that vinyl siding would be inappropriate.

## **Tabled**

# To consider:

motion

- Break presentation into individual buildings
- Clarify the rhythm of the elevations.
- 3 dimensional relief on Building 2's North elevation
- Consider another material rather than vinyl.

applicant: to be reviewed: 9:40 – 10:08

# 1437 -1439 North High Street

Village Idiot

Scott McCrary (Owner), Andrew Kern (Artist) code enforcement | patio, signage

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report regarding the code violations for wall mural, wall sign and projecting sign.
- Mr. McCrary stated he had been changing out murals at this location for a few years.
- Mr. Ferdelman clarified that murals do not require permits but commercial advertisements do.
- The Board and Applicant discussed how the mural could remain, through a variance process or by removal of the commercial logos.
- Mr. McCrary and Mr. Ferdelman had a discussion regarding the UARB approvals and the lack of permits.

# Signage

motion by

Mr. Horne / Mr. Mickley

To approve the wall and projecting signs as built on condition:

• That drawings are submitted to staff for approval and a Building Permit is pursued.

• That the wall mural is removed or that the mural is modified to remove any aspect of commercial speech.

vote

6-0 to Approve

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report regarding the code violations for installing a patio without permit.
- Mr. Horne enquired what is different from what was previously approved.
- Mr. Ferdelman reviewed the 2011 approved plans and the as-built photos.

# **Patio**

motion by

Mr. Mickley / Ms. Uhas-Sauer

To approve the patio and railing as built on the condition:

• That drawings are submitted to staff for approval and a Building Permit is pursued.

vote 6-0 to Approve

applicant:
to be reviewed:
10:08 – 10:21

# 1918 Indianola Avenue

Alpha Gamma Sigma

Jim Clarke (Clark Architects, Inc.), Mr. replace siding

- Mr. Ferdelman gave a report and the proposal.
- Mr. Petruziello expressed concern regarding the chosen color and the siding.
- The Board was in agreement that the color and lap siding with trimmed corners is not appropriate.
- Mr. Horne enquired whether the shingles could be preserved.
- Mr. Goodman asked for drawings of the patio.
- The Board suggested preserving the existing shakes with stain.
- The Board and Applicant discussed the existing and proposed sign.

# Tabled To consider:

- No lap siding or corner boards.
- Preserve shake siding or replace with like product.
- Drawings of the deck plan, section and elevations.

D.		Staff Issued Certificates of Approval			
	10:21 – 10:30		items approved		
	1.	2 East Blake Avenue	fence		
	2.	338 King Avenue	rear porch (rebuild)		
	3.	1359 North High Street	resurface awning (Hippie Hut)		
	4.	2115-2117 Indiana Avenue	roof		
	5.	2148 Indianola Avenue	windows		
	6.	2383 North High Street	repair sign - reinstall (Rutherford Funeral Home)		
	7.	1487-1499 Hamlet Street	window, door		
	8.	2164 Tuller Avenue	exterior modifications		
	motion by	Mr. Petruziello / Ms. Uhas-Sauer			
	motion	To approve as submitted.			
	vote	6-0 to Approve			
E.		Board Approved Applications Issued Cer	ed Certificates of Approval approved: items approved COA issued		
	1.	(Verizon)	11/20/2014: cell antennas	01/20/2015	
	2.	1758 North High Street (Pop's Printed Apparel)	12/15/2014: sign reface	01/16/2015	
F.	1.	2015 Appointments   Reappointments UAC – George Kane			
G.	1.	Next Meeting Thursday March 19, 2015   6:30pm   223	31 North High Street (Northwood & High E	Building, Room 100)	