MEETING SUMMARY

May 18, 2017
Northwood & High Building
2231 North High Street, Room 100
6:30pm – 8:40pm

members present
Ted Goodman, Pasquale Grado, Doreen Uhas-Sauer, Keoni Fleming, Stephen Papineau, Frank Petruziello

members absent
Abby Kravitz

A. Business of the Board

1. Approval of Meeting Summary from April 2017
   6:30 motion by Mr. Grado / Ms. Uhas-Sauer
   motion To approve as submitted
   vote 6 - 0 to Approve

B. Applications for Certificate of Approval

1. 257 East 11th Avenue Residence
   applicant: Derrick Haber (Owner)
   to be reviewed: 6:30 – 7:05
   • Mr. Haber reviewed the modifications in the proposal; added windows, porch details, 10:12 roof pitch and other details.
   • Mr. Petruziello expressed concern that the proposed building may look squat compared to its neighbors; the drawings do not have enough information.
   • Mr. Goodman commented that the building will need to come out of the ground some; the first floor height should be at approximately the same height of the neighboring residences, 30” to 36”.
   • Ms. Uhas-Sauer commented that the proposal needs some landscaping.
   • Mr. Haber stated that the landscaping will be left to the prospective owner of the building.
   • Mr. Fleming noted several discrepancies between the plan, sections and elevations.
   motion by Mr. Petruziello / Mr. Papineau

To approve as submitted on the condition:
1. That the window head height on the first floor shall match the height of the entry door head.
2. That the height of the first floor should match the height of the first floors of the adjacent properties, approximately 30” to 36” above grade.
3. Add windows to plan per elevation dated 5/18.
4. That the porch posts shall be clad 6x6 posts, per typical Board detail.
5. That the porch skirt shall be wood lattice.
6. That the porch fascia shall mimic the neighboring porches.
7. Provide landscape plan to staff for review and approval.
   vote 6 - 0 to Approve
**1980 North High Street**

*PNC Bank*

**applicant:** Amy Blair (*Expedite The Diehl*)

**signage**

- Ms. Blair stated that it was her understanding that the signs were ok, but the building face has some issues.
- Mr. Grado agreed with the statement.
- Mr. Ferdelman reported that the building owner (Scott Solomon) had started improving the exterior but is in mid process; the contractor is using a cement parging and striking lines to mimic the terra cotta tiles above; Mr. Solomon stated that the parging will be painted to closely match the tiles above.
- Mr. Grado stated that the finish is not acceptable.
- Mr. Ferdelman commented that the improvements are not completed.
- Mr. Petruziello asked whether the Board had approved of the proposed solution.
- Mr. Grado replied that that is his point; we did confirm that the Board would not approve a sign till the building face was improved.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the need to improve the corner was a condition of approval for the Ad Mural from David Hodge; that was several months ago.
- Mr. Ferdelman agreed that the Ad Mural was approved with the condition that the corner be improved by a certain date; he stated that he would need to look into when the graphic was approved.
- Mr. Goodman commented that stucco will never come close to matching the terra cotta; it will always look like a mistake.
- Mr. Ferdelman commented that the parging work may be considered maintenance; maintenance does not require a permit.
- Mr. Grado commented that the appearance of the façade is the Board’s concern.
- Mr. Petruziello replied that it would only be maintenance if he were to replace like-for-like, he is not proposing a marquee.
- Mr. Goodman commented that we want the building to look good; a high profile tenant should have to deal with a crummy façade – for their sake and your sake.
- Mr. Petruziello requested a reading of the motion from last month.
- Mr. Ferdelman replied that the item was tabled, but the notes stated that the Board would not approve new signage until the sign band was improved.
- Mr. Fleming stated that the improvements need to be in place or documented before the Board can move forward with the application.
- Mr. Ferdelman reviewed that to apply stucco to the brick in this particular instance does not require a building permit (Staff conferred with BZSD (6/12/2017) and was corrected. Applying any new exterior finish to a building requires a Building Permit).
- Mr. Grado commented that the Board was to be presented with a proposal to make the improvements to the sign band; this applicant has not presented those improvements.
- Mr. Papineau commented that the corner is the responsibility of the applicant of the Ad Mural.
- Ms. Blair reviewed the sign locations and materials; she stated that understands the Board’s concerns because it was her understanding the signs would be mounted to an architectural background; we do not want our channel letters of a surface that looks bad.
- Mr. Petruziello reviewed the details with the applicant;
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer expressed some concern regarding the red surround on the storefront under the Chick Harley bas-relief.
- Mr. Ferdelman stated that that storefront is not part of this tenant space.

**motion by**

Mr. Grado / Mr. Petruziello

**motion**

That no signage will be approved until a plan to finish the area of exposed service brick and that the metal fascia above the storefront and below the brick is addressed.

**vote**

6 - 0 to Approve
3. 314 King Avenue Residence
Mike Murphy (JSB Home Solutions) Pete Kengeter, Howard Fradkin (Owners)
rear patio enclosure

- Mr. Murphy reviewed the scope of the project and the details of the enclosure system.
- Mr. Grado stated that the detailing of the enclosure system was not compatible with the existing porch; the proportions of the elements need to be more sensitive to the architecture of the porch; the system could be contained within confines of existing porch elements.
- Mr. Goodman commented that the porch addition needs to be done in the same vernacular.
- Mr. Petruziello enquired as to the goal of the project.
- Mr. Kengeter stated that they hope to create a four season room to view out to the garden.
- Mr. Petruziello remarked that a good solution would be a modernist glass enclosure that healed around the existing elements.
- Mr. Kengeter replied that the existing porch has significant structural issues; it is original 1890’s construction with a log holding it up.
- Mr. Fleming stated that maybe the system could be contained within the posts and extended out into the garden.
- Mr. Grado enquired whether a flat roof could work.
- Mr. Murphy replied that the roof system needs some slope.
- Mr. Grado further elaborated on Mr. Flemings comment.
- Mr. Goodman stated that the porch details, post and rails, should read stronger than the enclosure glass.

Tabled
To Consider:
1. That the enclosure system be contained within the posts and extended.
2. That the new roof be stick built rather than the modular roof system.

4. 2407 North High Street LMS Realty
Scott McAfee (Brilliant Colorworks)
signage

- Mr. McAfee reviewed the proposal and clients request; he stated that the former signs where applied with significant amounts of silicone; removing the silicone damages the tile.
- Mr. Grado commented that the sign on the south should not extend beyond the tile area.
- Mr. Ferdelman reviewed the guidelines for signage from the UDP.
- Mr. Papineau commented that the sign graphics are too big, but the sign cabinet maybe should cover the entire tile.
- Mr. Petruziello stated that the sign should be limited to Legacy Management Services and the blade sign may be LMS for short; the sign cabinet could wrap the corner; the projecting sign moved to the north end of the leased space.
- Mr. Grado disagreed with Mr. Petruziello’s proposal.
- Mr. Goodman commented that all of the exposed conduit should be removed.

Tabled
To Consider:
1. That the signage should be reduced to one wall sign and one projecting sign.
2. That the sign cabinet may cover the entire tile but with limited graphics based on the UDP Guidelines.
3. That the entire exposed conduit be removed.
5. 77 East 10th Avenue (APN:010-269255)  
Multi-Family  
Wayne Garland (Buckeye Realty), Bradley Blumensheid (dkb Architects)  
building and site review  
- Ms. Garland reviewed the modifications in the design.  
- Mr. Goodman commented that the design is good to go.  
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer commented that the design is on the right track.  
- Mr. Blumensheid reviewed the modifications in the parapet, windows and material.  
- Mr. Papineau complimented the applicants for addressing the Boards comments.  
- Mr. Petruziello expressed concern regarding the downspouts.  
- The Board and Applicant talked through the wall and other exterior details.  
- Mr. Garland stated that he will use internal cast iron downspouts.  
- Mr. Fleming remarked that he liked the proposal and Scheme A is a better proposal.

motion by  
Mr. Petruziello / Mr. Papineau  
To approve the construction of a new multi-family building on the condition:  
1. That the downspouts shall be internal.

vote  
5 - 0 to Approve

6. 244 King Avenue  
University Apartments  
applicant: Paul Lyda (SignAffects)  
signage, graphics  
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer left prior to the presentation of this item.  
- Lyda talked through the details of the sign.  
- Mr. Petruziello stated that the sign element that projects above should have a flatter cord.  
- Mr. Grado commented that the sign was appropriate, though the street address should be moved to the building.

motion by  
Mr. Grado / Mr. Petruziello  
To approve the monument sign:  
1. That the center semicircular projection be consistent with the rendering (flatter cord) rather than the elevation.  
2. That the address be removed from the sign and may be relocated to the building

vote  
5 - 0 to Approve

C. Staff Issued Certificates of Approval  
items approved  
1. 28 East 11th Avenue  
roof  
2. 61 West 9th Avenue  
roof  
3. 86 West 8th Avenue  
roof  
4. 107-121 West 14th Avenue  
roof  
5. 119 East 13th Avenue  
roof  
6. 1473 Neil Avenue  
roof  
7. 114 East 13th Avenue  
stairs  
8. 1586 North High Street (Verizon)  
sign reface

motion by  
Mr. Grado / Mr. Papineau  
To approve as submitted.

vote  
6 - 0 to Approve
### D. Board Approved Applications Issued Certificates of Approval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>COA Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1516 North High Street (Chumley's)</td>
<td>2/16/2016</td>
<td>storefront, signs and patio</td>
<td>4/17/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1510 North High Street (Popeye's)</td>
<td>4/20/2016</td>
<td>awnings, signs and patio</td>
<td>5/8/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1567 North High Street (First Watch)</td>
<td>2/16/2016</td>
<td>storefront, signs and patio</td>
<td>4/17/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2114 North High Street (Amazon - Signs)</td>
<td>4/20/2016</td>
<td>signage</td>
<td>5/4/2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. Next Meeting

1. **Thursday June 15, 2017 | 6:30pm | 2231 North High Street (Northwood & High Building, Room 100)**