

Attendees:

Members: Lori Duguid, Aaron Schill, Laura Shinn, Joshua Kimsey, James Silcott

Advisory Member: Betsy Pandora

Staff: Randy Bowman, Bill Lewis, Nick Popa, Daniel Moorhead

Guests: David Roseman, Tara Reprogle, Jody Dzurainin, Kat Fridenmaker, Andrew Neutzling, Cole Miller, Jeff Stephens, Brian Hagerty

Members Not in Attendance: None

---

The following notes summarize the items which were discussed at the Bicycle Sub-Committee (BSC) meeting held on February 27, 2013:

#### **Previous Meeting Notes**

- Hamilton Road Project – Aaron Schill suggested looking at two 8' paths on both sides of the road in lieu of one the 10' path.

#### **Business Rules**

- The procedure for BSC recommendations has been clarified. For technical (design, best practice, etc.) issues that require BSC review, city staff will present the issue for the BSC to review/recommend. City staff will then implement based on the recommendation and update T&PC. For fiscal and policy issues that require BSC review, city staff will present the issue to T&PC to be assigned to the BSC to review/recommend. T&PC will approve or disapprove of the recommendation by BSC. City staff will then implement based on T&PC's decision.

#### **SR-161 Shared-use Path Update**

- Brian Hagerty: The City of Columbus should treat connectivity from the path to adjacent properties in a manner similar to the vehicular connectivity provided on all roadway projects. Drives are often reconstructed onto private property utilizing temporary easements and there is no reason that temporary easements could not be used to construct path connections to adjacent land use. If this connectivity could improve business access to customers, it would certainly be a good investment in economic development.

- Brian Hagerty: Will eminent domain be required for this project? I have heard rumors that the city may not be able to use eminent domain to acquire right-of-way for a shared-use path only project, but when I reviewed the Ohio Revised Code I did not see anything that would prevent the city from using eminent domain for a shared-use path.
- Question: Will Bikes May Use Full Lane signs be used on SR-161?

### **Bike Plan Update: Overview of Bicentennial Bikeways Plan**

- Staff gave an overview of the current Bicentennial Bikeways Plan (BBP) with the following topics:
  - Background and Purpose
  - Where We Were Then
  - Technical Advisory Group
  - Plan Development Outreach
  - Plan Content
  - Bikeway Facility Types
  - Demonstration Projects
  - Implementation
  - Challenges and Opportunities
- Comment: Find if changes made to BBP-recommended facilities were upgrades or downgrades.
- Comment: Evaluate the success of Phase 1 projects.
- Comment: Refine criteria for recommendations – some are too narrow; some are too broad.
- Comment: There was more drastic improvement from 2008-2012 if one considers newer design standards for bike facilities.
- Question: Are there ways to survey the users these facilities? Survey should be more than counts; like interview surveys for road projects.
- Comment: Plan did not have input from all demographics. In particular, Somali and Hispanic populations were not represented.
- Comment: Plan update should include the Sixth “E”: Equity.

### **2013 Resurfacing Bike Projects**

- Staff shared maps of the 2013 Resurfacing Bike Projects
- Near East:



• North Linden:



**Other Business:**

- Announcement: The Bike Share Program is accepting comments for bike share station locations. The commenting period ends March 1st.

**Action Items Summary:**

1. Subcommittee to continue to be prepared to be consulted regarding Downtown Action Plan.